Millennium Cohort Study Second Survey

A User's Guide to Initial Findings

Edited by

Kirstine Hansen and Heather Joshi

July 2007



Centre for Longitudinal Studies

Bedford Group for Lifecourse and Statistical Studies Institute of Education, University of London





Leading education and social research Institute of Education University of London



This edition dated 20 July 2007 contains a few corrections over the previous version posted on 11th June 2007, by the:

Centre for Longitudinal Studies Bedford Group for Lifecourse and Statistical Studies Institute of Education, University of London 20 Bedford Way London WC1H 0AL

Website: www.cls.ioe.ac.uk © Centre for Longitudinal Studies ISBN 1 898453 60 8

The Centre for Longitudinal Studies (CLS) is one of six centres that comprise the Bedford Group for Lifecourse and Statistical Studies (www.ioe.ac.uk/bedfordgroup).

CLS is devoted to the collection, management and analysis of large-scale longitudinal data. It has responsibility for Britain's internationally renowned birth cohort studies, the National Child Development Study (1958 cohort) and the 1970 British Cohort Study, and leads the consortium conducting the ESRC's Millennium Cohort Study.

The views expressed in this work are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the Economic and Social Research Council or the Office for National Statistics.

All errors and omissions remain those of the authors.

CONTENTS

List of contributors

Acknowledgements

Executive summary

- 1. Introduction Kirstine Hansen and Heather Joshi
- 2. Housing, neighbourhood and community Gareth Hughes, Sosthenes Ketende and Ian Plewis
- 3. Family demographics and relationships Lisa Calderwood
- 4. Grandparents Denise Hawkes and Heather Joshi
- 5. Parenting Kate Smith
- Child health Carol Dezateux, Alice Sullivan, Summer Sherburne Hawkins, Tim Cole and Heather Joshi
- 7. Child behaviour and cognitive development Anitha George, Kirstine Hansen and Ingrid Schoon
- 8. Parental health and lifestyle Lisa Calderwood, Yvonne Kelly and Lidia Panico
- 9. Parental employment Kelly Ward and Shirley Dex
- 10. Income and poverty Kelly Ward, Alice Sullivan and Jonathan Bradshaw
- 11. Childcare Anitha George and Kirstine Hansen
- 12. Older siblings Ian Plewis
- 13. Conclusions Kirstine Hansen and Heather Joshi

LIST OF CONTRIBUTORS

NAME	TITLE	INSTITUTION
Jonathan Bradshaw	Professor of Social Policy	University of York
Lisa Calderwood	Millennium Cohort Study Survey Manager	Centre for Longitudinal Studies, Institute of Education, University
Tim Cole	Professor of Medical Statistics	Institute of Child Health, University College London
Carol Dezateux	Professor of Paediatric Epidemiology	Institute of Child Health
Shirley Dex	Professor of Longitudinal Social Research	Centre for Longitudinal Studies
Anitha George	Research Officer	Centre for Longitudinal Studies
Kirstine Hansen	Research Director:	Centre for Longitudinal Studies
Denise Hawkes	Research Officer	Centre for Longitudinal Studies
Gareth Hughes	Research Officer	Centre for Longitudinal Studies
Heather Joshi	Director: Centre for	Centre for Longitudinal Studies,
Yvonne Kelly	Lecturer in Epidemiology and Public Health	Department of Epidemiology, University College London
Sosthenes Ketende	Research Officer	Centre for Longitudinal Studies
Lidia Panico	Research Officer	Department of Epidemiology, University College London
lan Plewis	Professor of Longitudinal Research Methods	Centre for Longitudinal Studies
Ingrid Schoon	Professor of Psychology	City University
Summer Sherburne Hawkins	Dept of Health funded Researcher	Institute of Child Health
Kate Smith	Millennium Cohort Study	Centre for Longitudinal Studies
Alice Sullivan	Research Officer	Centre for Longitudinal Studies
Kelly Ward	Research Officer	Centre for Longitudinal Studies

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are grateful for the co-operation of the children who form the Millennium Birth Cohort and their mothers, fathers and other family members, all entirely voluntary. We wish to acknowledge the initiation and funding of the survey by the Economic and Social Research Council, and its substantial supplementation by the consortium, led by the Office for National Statistics (ONS), of government departments: the Department for Education and Skills, the Department of Work and Pensions, the Department of Health and the governments of Wales, Scotland and Northern Ireland. The National Evaluation of the Children's Fund offered the opportunity to enhance the second survey in several ways, as did the International Centre for Child Studies.

The work could not have been accomplished without the involvement of a large number of advisers drawn from academics, policy-makers and funders, who we consulted throughout the design of the surveys. Particular thanks go to those who served on the MCS Advisory Committee and the MCS Advisory Groups for the second survey.

The survey and this document also benefited from the enthusiasm and expertise of:

- Researchers, programmers, field-managers and interviewers at NOP, and their sub-contractors, Millward Brown in Northern Ireland.
- The staff of the Newcastle Information Centre of HM Revenue and Customs, formerly the Department of Social Security
- The members of the CLS tracing team, IT team, communications team, database team, survey and research teams.

The production of this report has been funded by the ONS-led consortium of government departments. We are grateful to members of their staff who have made comments on this report.

The Millennium Cohort Study Internal Team (CLS)

The following team contributed to the second survey of the MCS:

Prof Heather Joshi, Study Director Dr Kirstine Hansen, Research Director and Deputy Study Director

Chris Baker, Administrator Denise Brown, Cohort Relations Robert Browne, Database Manager Lisa Calderwood, Survey Manager **Prof Shirley Dex** Peter Deane, Tracing Team Kevin Dodwell, Tracing Team, Anitha George, Research Officer Dr Denise Hawkes, Research Officer Gareth Hughes, Research Officer Jon Johnson, Senior Database Manager Sosthenes Ketende, Research Officer Tiziana Leone, Research Officer Mary Londra, Survey Officer Suzanna Mouzo, Tracing Team Prof Ian Plewis, Director of Methodology Rachel Rosenberg, Data Officer Peter Shepherd, Director of Survey Operations Kate Smith, Survey Manager Dr Alice Sullivan, Research Officer Mina Thompson, Administrator Kelly Ward, Research Officer

Members of the Research Collaborators' Team

Prof Mel Bartley, University College London Dermot Bowler, City University, London Dr Helen Bedford. Institute of Child Health. Prof Julia Brannen, Institute of Education Prof John Bynner, Institute of Education Prof Tim Cole, Institute of Child Health Dr Leslie Davidson, Columbia University Prof Carol Dezateux, Institute of Child Health Dr Elsa Ferri, Institute of Education Dr Leon Feinstein, Institute of Education Dr Yvonne Kelly, University College London Prof Kathleen Kiernan, London School of Economics Prof Alison Macfarlane, City University Prof Sir Michael Marmot, University College London Dr Barbara Maughan, Institute of Psychiatry Prof Catherine Peckham, Institute of Child Health Prof Christine Power, Institute of Child Health Prof Ingrid Schoon, City University Dr Marjorie Smith, Institute of Education

We are also indebted to Professor Neville Butler, who died in February 2007. Founder of the 1958 and 1970 British Cohort Studies and an ardent supporter of the Millennium Cohort Study, he inspired and supported the MCS survey team up until the final year of his life. We dedicate this publication to him.

Fieldwork Contractors

NOP World

Nick Moon Claire Ivins Nickie Rose Nikki Steel

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report presents some of the main initial findings of the Second Survey of the Millennium Cohort Study conducted by the Centre for Longitudinal Studies, which is based at the Institute of Education, University of London. It is intended to provide an introduction to potential users of the survey and to stimulate further in-depth and longitudinal analysis.

1. Introduction

The second sweep of the Millennium Cohort Study (MCS2) collected information from 15,590 families of children born across the UK in 2000-2 when they reached the age of three. Almost all of these families (14,898) had been in the first survey when the children were nine-months-old. An additional 692 families were recruited for the second survey in England who had been eligible for the first survey but not included.

The study's first sweep, carried out during 2001-2, laid the foundations for this major new longitudinal research resource, involving a year-long cohort of around 19,000 babies. It recorded the circumstances of pregnancy and birth, the all-important early months of life, and the social and economic backgrounds of the families into which the children were born.

The second survey data allow researchers for the first time to chart the changing circumstances of these children and their families and offer some direct measurements of the children's development at the age of three which can be related to their earlier experiences. The sample was designed to provide adequate numbers from areas with high proportions of minority-ethnic residents (in England) and high child poverty, as well as the three smaller countries of the UK. This does not necessarily yield adequate samples of all minority ethnic groups, especially those not living in the sort of areas that were over-sampled, such as the Chinese. In most of the tables, as noted in them, the numbers analysed exclude some cases with insufficient information or in small categories that would require different treatment. such as families where the child is not living with their mother or is a twin or triplet. Percentages reported here are re-weighted to provide representative estimates. Attention is drawn to differences among them if statistically significant. Note also that behind the averages and proportions lie the individual differences between every child. To say, for example, that girls are ahead of boys for a particular indicator like vocabulary, on average, does not mean that all girls are ahead of all boys. It would also be quite wrong to suggest that all children from a particular disadvantaged background are destined to fail. What the survey is setting out to do is chart the risks that threaten to limit the achievements of a new generation.

2. Housing, neighbourhood and community

Moving home is often an important event in the lives of families with young children. Over one-third of the sample from sweep 1 (38 per cent) had changed address in the intervening 27 or so months. Families in Scotland had moved the longest distance on average (35 kms) whereas families in Northern Ireland had moved the shortest distance (11 kms). Most families had not moved far, however, and many had found other homes in the same neighbourhood. Very few survey members had left the UK or moved from one UK country to another between the surveys. Almost half the families who had moved had done so because they wanted a bigger home (47.2 per cent) while nearly a quarter (22.7 per cent) said they had wanted to move into a better area.

Sweep 2 confirmed that mobility is socially and geographically patterned. It is more common in Scotland than in Northern Ireland; less common in families with a South Asian background; and more common in the groups that were lower-income, flat-dwelling and renting at the time of sweep 1.

The main survey respondents in Northern Ireland were particularly positive about their home area in terms of bringing up children and feeling safe. They also reported a calmer atmosphere in the home, as did Indian families. White and Indian families were also more likely to describe their area as excellent for bringing up children. Black ethnic groups, however, were least likely to consider their area 'very safe'.

3. Family demographics and relationships

This chapter presents a picture of both change and stability in the membership of the cohort families. By MCS2 just over a quarter of the children had gained a younger brother or sister, and there had been comings and goings among the parents with whom they lived. In around 6 per cent of families interviewed for both surveys, two parents had parted company. A further 3 per cent headed by a single parent at sweep 1 had since gained a second parent. Well over half the 'new' parents were the child's natural father who had not been living in the same home as the child at sweep 1. Among natural fathers who were not living with the child at sweep 2, two-thirds were reported to be in some form of contact. More than half (56 per cent) of the absent fathers made some maintenance payments.

There were also signs of stabilisation in family life. The proportion of couples who were legally married went up, and the vast majority of the cohort families still comprised two natural parents. At age three, 15 per cent of the families were headed by lone mothers. This is much the same rate as at sweep 1, but with some turnover noted above and differential loss of families who had only one parent at sweep 1. Wales had the highest proportion of lone natural-mother families at both sweeps while Northern Ireland had the highest proportion of married natural-parent families. Lone parenthood was far more common among younger mothers aged 16 to 24 (42.5 per cent) than 35 to 39-year-olds (8.1 per cent). Only a fifth of younger mothers were married to the child's father, but a quarter were cohabiting with him. Black Caribbean families had a far higher proportion of lone mothers (46.6 per cent) than White (14.3 per cent) or Indian (5 per cent) families.

Family size also varied substantially by ethnic group. Cohort children in Bangladeshi families were most likely to have three or more siblings (32.6 per cent) while White (8 per cent), Mixed (8 per cent) and Indian children (4.8 per cent) were least likely.

4. Grandparents

Almost all the cohort children had at least one living grandparent at sweep 2. Twentyfive per cent of the children had had some form of childcare from a grandparent and 90 per cent of cohort families had received financial support from grandparents.

Over 80 per cent of the grandparents had been born in the UK. Those who had not were most likely to have come from Pakistan, India, Ireland and Bangladesh (about 6 per cent of the cohort's parents were second-generation immigrants who had been born in the UK). Although few families included resident grandparents (4 per cent), in many cases the two generations of parents saw a lot of each other. For example, 65 per cent of mothers whose own mothers were alive saw them at least weekly and one-fifth saw them daily.

Interestingly, the children of working mothers who had been looked after by a grandparent at nine months showed similar levels of linguistic development to those who had been in early formal childcare settings. On average, they had almost as high a vocabulary score as those who had attended formal care and they were clearly ahead of those who had been cared for by the father or had received another type of informal care while their mother had been working. Assessments of the children's 'school readiness' (which measured their understanding of concepts such as colours, letters, numbers/counting, sizes, comparisons and shapes) put infants with grandparent care a little further behind those who had been in formal care. However, they were still ahead of those who had experienced other types of informal care.

5. Parenting

The study provides a rich resource to look at different aspects of parenting, particularly in relation to fathers. Both parents (where there were two living with the child) were asked a wide range of questions regarding their activities and behaviours with their children and their different parenting styles and beliefs. Their responses provide a unique picture of what parents were doing with their children at the age of three, and how well they felt they were managing as parents.

There are many similarities in parenting practice and beliefs between mothers and fathers. There are also common features across types of families, distinguished here by: country in the UK, ethnic group, age at interview and family employment status. Working mothers were less likely to feel they had enough time with their child, but on most other measures of parenting, they were no different from other mothers. In fact, two-earner couples were most likely to read to their child regularly. This provides indirect evidence that maternal employment does not eliminate 'quality time' with the child.

Employed fathers, who were more likely than employed mothers to be working fulltime and for long hours, were most likely to feel that they did not have enough time with their child. Fathers in general were less likely to report reading every day to their child than were mothers, but, if anything, it was fathers in families with no parent in paid work who were least likely to get involved in reading. Otherwise there was little difference in the parenting behaviour of fathers with or without jobs. Fathers in Wales, however, were most likely to say they never read to their children (7 per cent) while Scots fathers were least likely to say this (3 per cent).

There were also clear differences in parenting style across different groups of mothers and fathers, whether employed or not. For example, Black Caribbean mothers were most likely to report that they had lots of rules (39 per cent) compared to 17 per cent of Bangladeshi mothers, who were the least likely. However, these two groups were both markedly more likely than average to rate themselves very good mothers (65 per cent of Bangladeshi and 42 per cent of Black Caribbean mothers, compared with the UK average of only 31 per cent).

Virtually all mothers said they wanted to impart such values as independence, obedience and respect. But mothers in Northern Ireland were keener to instil religious values in their children than mothers in the other UK countries. Eighty-five per cent of Northern Irish mothers considered religious values important, compared with just over half in England, Wales and Scotland.

Pakistani and Black African mothers (98 and 96 per cent) also regarded religious values as important whereas only half of White mothers did (54 per cent). There was also an age divide. Older mothers wanted their children to adopt religious values (64 per cent of 35 to 39-year-olds) but only a minority of 16 to 24-year-old mothers (38 per cent) felt they were important.

It will be interesting to discover whether these systematic and individual differences in parenting styles and attitudes will change as the child gets older and whether they will be related to behaviour and achievement later on. This is something that MCS data will be able to reveal in the future.

6. Child health

This preliminary look at the health data collected by sweep 2 suggests that while the majority of pre-school children in the four UK countries were healthy, a minority were in poor health. One in six had a longstanding illness. The survey also showed that children starting out in disadvantaged communities were more likely to suffer disability and ill health, and to experience more problems with vision and hearing, as well as asthma and other longstanding conditions, chronic infections and injuries. However, there is no systematic tendency for poor health among children starting out or living in areas with a high proportion of minority-ethnic residents. This perhaps reflects ethnic diversity in health–related behaviours such as breastfeeding and parental smoking.

One-quarter of the cohort children were either overweight or obese (5 per cent obese). Children in disadvantaged areas were a little more likely to be overweight and obese. However, the highest proportion of overweight (but not obese) children was found in the more advantaged areas of Wales. Indian children were least likely to be overweight or obese (9.2 per cent) while Black Caribbean infants were most likely to be too heavy for their age (32.5 per cent). There were no statistically significant differences in obesity between boys and girls.

Some early and important gender differences were, however, observed in other areas. Boys were more likely to be delayed in toilet training and speech, to have suffered from wheezing and asthma and to have required medical attention for injuries. Girls were more likely to have had chickenpox and to have received the combined MMR vaccine. These variations may relate to different social expectations and early social experiences and may in turn influence access to early-years provision and later health.

7. Cognitive development and behaviour

The survey pioneered the mass collection of data on three-year-olds' cognitive skills in their own home. Two established assessments were used: the Naming Vocabulary Subtest of the British Ability Scales and the School Readiness Composite (SRC) of the Revised Bracken Basic Concept Scale. The first is part of a set of cognitive assessments designed to assess children's expressive language skills. The SRC consists of six tests that measure 'readiness' for formal education by assessing knowledge of colours, letters, numbers/counting, sizes, comparisons and shapes. Both assessments were administered by survey team members in computer-assisted interviews.

The results show marked differences between children from advantaged and disadvantaged backgrounds. Better cognitive scores were achieved by children from families with two working parents who were highly educated and had higher incomes. The vocabulary assessment revealed that girls had marginally better expressive language skills than boys. Children in Scotland were ahead of those in the rest of the UK by about two months, which represents about three months of development at this age. Scots children and girls also did well in the school readiness assessment. The lead in average scores in Scotland is equivalent to about two months' progress while girls, on average, are three months ahead of boys.

Ethnic differences also appeared to be marked, with Bangladeshi and Pakistani children recording relatively low scores. The vocabulary assessment results, taken at face value, would represent a severe delay for the Bangladeshi and Pakistani children. Their scores were well below those normally expected for two-and-a-half-year-olds, let alone those aged over three, as these were. This is despite the fact that the assessment was not offered to non-English-speaking children. Before drawing firm conclusions about how to interpret this finding, it will be necessary to investigate the circumstances in which the assessments were and were not done, allowing for whether they lived in homes where English was not the main language spoken, which could slow their development of English vocabulary. There may also be cultural differences in the children's readiness to attempt the task or engage with an unfamiliar visitor.

Similar patterns were found in the school readiness results: Bangladeshi boys were the lowest scorers and White girls the highest. Bangladeshi children's school readiness scores, again taken at face value, were about one year behind those of White children, and Pakistani children's scores fell short of White children's by eleven months for boys and 10 months for girls. Again, many factors may be contributing to this gap, and this assessment may therefore not be a fair indicator of these children's current or future ability. The same can be said of other differences that the assessment highlighted. Although only 4 per cent of the White children had scores in the 'delayed' range, over one quarter of the Black African and Black Caribbean children, as well as the Bangladeshi and Pakistani children, were 'delayed' for their age. These disparities merit a great deal of further investigation.

Children from homes where English was not the only language spoken in general tended to have lower cognitive scores than those where English was the only language. However, children from homes where any Welsh was spoken, did at least as well as children from other homes in Wales where English was the only language spoken.

The children's emotional and behavioural problems were assessed using the Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire. This was included in a computer-assisted self-completion exercise undertaken by parents (usually the mother). The results suggest that most children are relatively well-behaved and emotionally adjusted. However, children from less disadvantaged families were assessed as having fewer behavioural problems than the more disadvantaged. This was seen consistently across parental education, occupation and income. Girls were assessed as having fewer behavioural problems than boys. Living in a home where Welsh is spoken was not associated with delays in behavioural development.

More problems were reported for children of specific ethnic groups. However, ethnic differences in cultural expectations must be considered when looking at all these results.

8. Parental health and wellbeing

The health of parents matters in our account of the millennium children's lives as an important part of the context in which they are growing up. MCS2 collected data on health and related behaviours, including general self-rated health, longstanding illnesses, cigarette smoking, alcohol and recreational drug use, psychological morbidity, life satisfaction and height and weight. Each of these is considered for mothers and fathers in relation to age, country of residence, ethnicity, occupation, educational qualifications, family structure and employment status.

Most parents seem to be in reasonably good health, as would be expected of parents with children aged three, but about 30 per cent smoked and smoking was more prevalent among the youngest parents. More than half of younger mothers (under 25) were smoking at the time of interview compared with about one in five of those aged 35 and over. White mothers were most likely to be heavy smokers.

The large majority of parents also drank some alcohol. Fathers in England and Wales were more likely to drink alcohol five or more times a week (17 and 15 per cent respectively) than those in Scotland and Northern Ireland (10 and 4 per cent). For mothers, the likelihood of drinking alcohol rose with age. The reverse was true for recreational drug use. Mothers in one-parent and two-parent cohabiting families were most likely to report such drug use while mothers in Northern Ireland were least likely to do so.

Mothers in Northern Ireland were, however, more likely to be receiving treatment for depression (11.3 per cent) than mothers in Scotland (9.8 per cent), Wales (8.7 per cent) or England (7.4 per cent). The vast majority of cohort children's parents (around 5 out of 6) said they were reasonably satisfied with their lives.

9. Parental employment and education

The economic activity of parents is another vitally important element of the context in which the cohort child is growing up. It influences not only the income level and household resources but the time available to spend with the child. It is well known that mothers' employment has substantially increased since the 1960s, largely due to mothers with young children taking paid work.

Just over half (54 per cent) of the millennium cohort mothers were employed when their child was three, up from around 50 per cent in the first survey. At sweep 2, 13 per cent had full-time jobs and 41 per cent had part-time work. One in four mothers (27 per cent) had given birth to another child since sweep 1. Worklessness was high and relatively persistent among lone mothers. Two-thirds of lone mothers who had been without employment at sweep 1 (and who were in both surveys) were still neither employed nor partnered at sweep 2.

Fathers of MCS three-year-olds were slightly less likely to be employed than all UK fathers but more likely to be self-employed than the UK male employed population.

A significant minority of the parents had gained a wide range of academic or vocational qualifications in the previous two years (about 20 per cent), suggesting that having young children does not prevent mothers and fathers participating in formal learning.

10. Income and poverty

The survey was able to estimate whether parental net income fell below a given threshold (60 per cent of the national median) after our own adjustment for family size and composition. The proportion of cohort families in this category, in the UK, stood at 26 per cent in MCS2, compared with 27 per cent at MCS1.

We could not ask the detailed questions about household income that would have enabled us to reproduce the government's official child poverty measures for children of all ages. One of these sets a poverty line at 60 per cent of the median (mid point) of the distribution of household income, adjusted for number and age of people in it, but not for housing costs. In 2001-2, at the time of the first MCS survey, this UK measure for child poverty stood at 23 per cent with income below this threshold. It then stood at 22 per cent not only in 2003-4 (at the time of the second MCS survey) but also in the latest official estimates which are for 2005-6. In any case, our survey covered family income rather than household income (the latter would include the income of any other adults in the home). Although our measure puts more cases in the 'poverty' bracket than the official definition, the two sources concur that there was a small overall downward change between 2001-2 and 2003-4. One third of the MCS2 families were in this poverty category in at least one of the surveys, one-sixth of them at both MCS1 and MCS2.

Groups at high risk of being in the family income poverty category at the second survey included lone mothers without employment (92 per cent), no-earner couples (85 per cent), Pakistani and Bangladeshi families (around two- thirds), and those with four or more children (54 per cent). A majority (56 per cent) of those who said they were finding it difficult to manage financially had income below the poverty line, and could accurately be said to be 'suffering' poverty. However, the link between poverty status and subjective poverty was not always complete. Over four in ten of those finding it difficult to manage were estimated to have income above the poverty line, and 8 per cent of those who said they were 'living comfortably' had income below the threshold.

The data collected will enable further light to be thrown on how families spend their money and what they cannot afford, and on movements in and out of poverty.

11. Childcare and early education

The majority of pre-school children now experience some non-maternal care. Childcare outside the family is no longer merely a service for parents who are unable to cope, or a 'custodial' arrangement for working mothers. About six out of ten children in MCS2 were in at least one form of childcare (usually just one). Mothers making these arrangements were both employed and not employed. Children of employed mothers were in childcare for 21 hours a week on average – nine hours longer than the children of non-employed mothers (22 per cent of non-employed mothers had made childcare arrangements).

The main arrangement was classified as 'formal' if it involved a group setting such as a day nursery or nursery school (30 per cent) or a childminder or nanny (13 per cent). The other 57 per cent of arrangements, classified as 'informal', involved family members (mainly grandparents and partners) and neighbours, besides some employed mothers looking after their children themselves while working. Children looked after by their working mothers spent 32 hours a week, on average, in that form of care.

Interestingly, whether the mother was working or not appeared to have little effect on the amount of time fathers or mothers' partners cared for the children. If mothers did not work, children spent 16 hours a week being cared for by fathers, but even when mothers worked this figure increased only to 19 hours a week.

On average, nurseries and crèches offered the most expensive form of childcare (£3.77 per hour). The average price for childminder, nanny, au pair and other non-relative care was £3.54 an hour while playgroups charged £2.67. Of the families using care, it was the most advantaged parents who were more likely to choose formal group care (42 per cent of those in the top of four family-income groups). This may be an indicator of early intergenerational transmission of social advantage.

However, the next highest percentage receiving formal group care was for children from the most socio-economically disadvantaged groups (30 per cent in the lowest income group - below £181 per week). This suggests that government policies for the early years, such as Sure Start and the National Neighbourhood Nurseries Initiative, are successfully reaching disadvantaged children in England.

12. Older siblings

Data were collected about older siblings (aged 4 to 15) of the cohort children in sweep 2 to contribute to the National Evaluation of the Children's Fund in England. This chapter presents information on the activities reported for siblings in only that country.

The survey showed that use of breakfast clubs, after-school clubs and homework clubs increased with age during the primary years and then started to fall off during secondary school, with after-school more used than breakfast clubs. Homework clubs were used more by all minority-ethnic groups than by White pupils, but especially by the Pakistani/Bangladeshi and Black/Black British children. There is also some evidence that mothers with the highest qualifications used the services more than mothers with intermediate qualifications. The questions put to parents also covered children's participation in activities such as sport, art and dance outside school, and sports and music clubs (not regular lessons) in school, which varied by age and gender.

A self-completion survey for children aged 10 to 15 produced information on paid work, parental control, attitudes to school and anti-social behaviour. This showed that boys are more likely than girls to be in paid work, and young White people are more likely to be working than young people from the three main minority-ethnic groups (Black/Black British; Pakistani/Bangladeshi and Indian).

Responses to the questionnaire suggest that mothers with higher educational qualifications tend to exercise more control and that boys face more curbs than girls. The survey also showed that teenagers (13 to 15s) had less positive attitudes to school and their home area than younger children. They also admitted more antisocial behaviour than younger children but there were no differences by ethnic group. Boys and young White people reported more victimisation.

Chapter 1

INTRODUCTION

Kirstine Hansen and Heather Joshi

The second survey of the Millennium Cohort Study (MCS) collected information from 15,590 families of children born in 2000-02 across the United Kingdom. This was done when the children were aged three, between 2003 and 2005. This dataset offers the first of many opportunities to take a longitudinal perspective on the lives of the cohort and the families bringing them up, for the four countries of the UK.

The first survey, when the children were aged nine months, recorded the circumstances of pregnancy and birth as well as the all-important early months of life, and families' social and economic background. These multi-disciplinary baseline data reveal the diversity of starting points for these 'Children of the New Century' (see Dex and Joshi eds, 2005).

The second survey data enable longitudinal study and allow researchers for the first time to chart the changing circumstances of children and their families and relate outcomes at age three to earlier experiences.

This report offers a first look at the data collected at MCS sweep 2 (MCS2). It is intended to provide an introduction to potential users of the survey and to stimulate further analysis. It should be read with the documentation on the MCS Sampling and on Response rates (Plewis, 2004; Plewis and Ketende, 2006), the Derived Variable Guide and the Guide to the MCS2 data (Hansen ed, 2006), all of which are available from the CLS website (www.cls.ioe.ac.uk) and from the Data Archive at Essex University.

There are some points to note about data quality in the version of MCS2 data used in this Guide. Although there have been a number of automatic and researcher-initiated checks on the quality and accuracy of the data, more inconsistencies are often thrown up as the data are used more extensively. The initial data cleaning revealed that the coding of some occupations was inadequate. A recoding of the occupation data by ONS coders has therefore been initiated and is currently under way, but revised SOC and NS-SEC variables were not available in time for this report. Recent analysis has also revealed some inconsistencies in income variables, which there is not sufficient evidence to resolve. Occupation and income variables are measured, therefore, with some error.

The study design

The sample for the first sweep included babies born between September 1, 2000 and August 31, 2001 in England and Wales, who would form an academic-year cohort. In Scotland and Northern Ireland, the start date of the birthdays was delayed to November 23, 2000 to avoid an overlap with an infant feeding survey. In the event,

the sampled cohort was extended to 59 weeks of births to make up for a shortfall in numbers that became apparent during fieldwork. The last eligible birth date in these countries was January 11, 2002. Children with sample birth dates were eligible for the survey if they lived in one of some 400 electoral wards across the UK when aged nine months.

The disproportionately stratified design of the survey was to ensure adequate representation of:

- All four UK countries.
- Areas in England with higher minority ethnic populations (more than 30 per cent Black or Asian in the ward at the 1991 census).
- Disadvantaged areas (electoral wards whose value of the Child Poverty Index in 1998-9 was above 38.4 per cent). This represents the cut-off threshold for the top 25 per cent of disadvantaged wards in England and Wales, and encompasses a slightly greater fraction in Scotland and Northern Ireland.

Further details can be found in the Millennium Cohort Study: Technical Report on Sampling (Plewis ed, 2004).

The selection of wards labelled 'disadvantaged' came after the choosing of minority ethnic wards. All the wards selected in the 'ethnic' stratum had values of the Child Poverty Index above or close to the cut-off threshold, so they too can be thought of as 'disadvantaged' by this definition. The third, under-represented, stratum is the rest, sometimes called 'advantaged' as shorthand, although 'non-disadvantaged ' is more accurate since it covers the majority of areas without high child poverty.

The minority ethnic and child poverty indicators are used for stratification purposes. They appear in some tables in this report as indicators of the type of community where the child started life. It should be emphasised that they are aggregate rather than individual measures. Not all minority ethnic children were in the 'ethnic' wards, and some (though not many) children sampled in such wards were White. Similarly, many children in non-advantaged wards were living in disadvantaged families when sampled, and not all children in disadvantaged wards were from disadvantaged families. There was, however, a greater concentration of minority ethnic cohort families in the minority ethnic stratum than there was of disadvantaged families in the (other) disadvantaged wards. Furthermore, note that these indicators contain information about the child's home in 2001-02, based on external evidence from the 1990s. The work on the second survey presented here does not extend to updating the information about changes in surroundings, either of those who have moved or those who have remained in an initial location which may have changed around them. The sampling weights associated with these strata will never change as they are fixed on entry to the cohort.

Response at MCS2

The second survey attempted to follow all 18,552 families who took part in MCS1 where the child was still alive and in the UK. It also attempted to contact another

1,389 families in England who appeared to have been living in sample wards at MCS1 and therefore were eligible for the survey, but whose addresses reached DWP records too late for sweep 1 (Hansen ed, 2006).

Almost all the achieved sample of 15,590 families had been in the first survey when the children were nine months old -14,898, a response rate for the follow-up of 79 per cent. An additional 692 families were recruited for the second survey in England who had been eligible for the first one but not included. The achieved sample sizes at MCS2 are given in Table 1.1 for both surveys, for the wards initially selected, the children in the achieved sample, and the families they came from. The number of children exceeds that of families as about 1 per cent of families had multiple births, mostly twins. There were also ten sets of triplets. At MCS2, 15,808 children were participating in the study from 15,590 families, down from 18,818 and 18,552 respectively at MCS1. Table 1.1 also reports the number of families where there was a response from a partner at MCS2 (10,479). In all, 2,782 respondents were single parents (of whom 49 were lone fathers) and another 2,373 were two-parent families where the partner did not give an interview. A family's response is considered 'productive' if there are data from any one of six instruments used at sweep 2. The six data collection instruments were: main interview, partner interview, proxy partner interview, BAS Naming Vocabulary, Bracken Basic Concept Scale, height and weight.

Achieved samples in MCS1 and MCS2									
	Number Achieved responses**								
	sample wards*	Chil	Children Families interviewed		Families interviewed Partners***		Partners***		gle ents
Sweep		1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2
Total UK	398	18,818	15,808	18,552	15,590	13,599	10,479	3,194	2,738
England	200	11,695	10,188	11,533	10,050	8,558	6849	1,853	1,775
of which									
MCS1 and 2			9,489		9,358		6,482		1,551
MCS2, New			699		692		367		224
Wales	73	2,799	2,288	2,761	2,261	1,957	1,542	590	440
Scotland	62	2,370	1,841	2,336	1,814	1,758	1,189	375	259
N Ireland	63	1,955	1,491	1,923	1,465	1,326	899	376	264

Table 1.1 breaks down the sample by country (at MCS1). The so-called new families are the 692 additional families recruited at sweep 2 in England.

Table 1.1

A a la ta via al la ava

* counting 'superwards' (amalgamations created to absorb very small wards) as a single unit ** all productive contacts: those who responded to any one of six instruments used at sweep 2

***excluding proxy interviews All numbers unweighted

Response rates

These achieved sample sizes represent the following response rates, out of the issued sample, after adjusting for eligibility. The numbers of families from whom responses could be expected were estimated after removing from the base those where the child had died (n=16), those where the family had emigrated (n=169), and others classified as ineligible.

- All families in MCS2, 79 per cent
- New families in MCS2; 53 per cent
- Families who had been interviewed at MCS1, 81 per cent

As discussed in the Technical Report on Response (Plewis and Ketende, 2006), the mobile group of 'new families' missed at sweep 1 continued to prove rather elusive. Their response rate of 53 per cent lowers the average from the 81 per cent continuation rate of the sweep 1 respondents.

There were 10,479 interviews with partners, representing 81 per cent of the achieved sample where there was a resident partner eligible for interview. The survey did not attempt to collect data from non-resident parents.

Movements between UK countries

Families interviewed at MCS2 overwhelmingly remained in their original country (98.8 per cent). Of the remainder, 53 families left England for one of the other countries, 57 moved out of Wales (all but one to England), 39 left Scotland, and 34 moved out of Northern Ireland, also mostly to England. The sample in England gained 111 incomers to offset the 53 leaving. Incomers to Wales totalled 24; Scotland received 25 and Northern Ireland nine. Table 1.2 also shows that of the original sample, just over one in five were not followed up from Scotland and Northern Ireland, while relatively fewer were not followed in England and Wales (around one in six).

			MCS2 UK country						
			Northern Country						
		England	Wales	Scotland	Ireland	Unknown	Total		
		83.0	0.3	0.2	0.1	16.5	100		
MCS1	England	9,305	24	22	7	2,175	11,533		
UK		2.0	80.3	0.0	0	17.7	100		
country	Wales	56	2,204	1	0	499	2,760		
		1.6	0.2	76.7	0.1	21.4	100		
	Scotland	33	4	1,775	2	522	2,336		
	Northern	1.1	0	0.1	76.2	22.6	100		
	Ireland	22	0	2	1,441	458	1,923		
		49.5	13.2	10.6	8.6	18.0	100		
	Total	9,416	2,232	1,800	1,450	3,654	18,552		

 Table 1.2

 MCS1 'productive' respondent families by MCS1 and MCS2 country

Unweighted numbers and row percentages. 'Country unknown' combines unproductive and ineligible

There is information about changes of address across surveys in Chapter 2 and about changes in family composition in Chapter 3.

Structure and content of MCS2 instrument

The content of the sweep 2 instrument is summarised below. The module lettering reflects the order of each part of the interview with the self-completion sections in between interviews on parental health (module G) and employment, income and education (J).

Respondent	Mode	Summary of content
Main/Partner	Interview	Household module
Mother/main	Interview	Household module Module A: Non-resident parents Module C: Pregnancy, labour and delivery Module D: Baby's health and development Module E: Childcare Module F: Grandparents and friends Module G: Parental health
	Self-completion	Module H: Child's temperament and behaviour Relationship with partner Previous relationships Domestic tasks Previous pregnancies Mental health Attitudes to relationships, parenting
	Interview	Module J: Employment, income, education Module K: Housing and local area Module L: Interests and time with baby Module N: Older siblings
Father/Partner	Interview	Module B: Father's involvement with baby Module C: Pregnancy, labour and delivery Module F: Grandparents and friends Module G: Parental health
	Self-completion	Module H: Self-completion Baby's temperament and behaviour Relationship with partner Previous partners Previous children Mental health Attitudes to marriage, parenting, work
	Interview	Module J: Employment and education Module L: Interests
Interviewer	Observations	Home environment Neighbourhood
Child	Assessment	BAS Naming Vocabulary Bracken Basic Concept Scale Height and weight Oral fluids
Older sibling	Self-completion	
*In the vast majority of interview was with the	f cases the main intervi father or father figure.	iew was with the natural mother and the partner

Table 1.3 MCS2: Summary of survey elements

Fieldwork timetable

Fieldwork started in September 2003 in England and Wales and finished in January 2005. In Scotland and Northern Ireland, it began in December 2003 and ended in April 2005. The sample was issued to interviewers, in batches, every four weeks, in 17 waves representing four weeks of cohort children's birth dates. For further details, see Hansen ed, 2006 or the Technical Report on Fieldwork (Moon, 2006).

Languages

In all, 257 main interviews were in languages other than English, as were 173 partner interviews. More than 15 languages were involved, mainly Urdu, Bengali and Punjabi. A detailed breakdown by language is provided in the Technical Report on Fieldwork.

Distribution of cohort members' ages at MCS2				
Age (months)	n	Percentage		
31-34	10	0.063		
35	1,756	11		
36	6,802	43		
37	3,294	21		
38	1,506	9.5		
39	731	4.6		
40	410	2.6		
41	267	1.7		
42	179	1.1		
43	158	1.0		
44	140	0.89		
45	149	0.94		
46	104	0.66		
47	102	0.65		
48-54	191	1.2		
Total number of children	15,799	100		

Age at interview

Table 1.4 Distribution of cohort members' ages at MCS2

Note: Interview date is missing for nine cases.

Despite considerable delays in finishing fieldwork, which led to some children being interviewed well beyond their third birthday, most responses were obtained within three months of that birthday. Three-quarters of responses were at ages 35 to 37 months and 94 per cent within seven months either side of 36 months (Table 1.4).

Ethnicity and immigration status

The inclusion of a question at MCS2 about the country of birth of both respondents will give analysts a better idea of whether the ethnic groups recorded at MCS1 represent first-generation immigrants or the British-born. It also asked those not born in the UK how long they had lived here. This information is summarised in Table 1.5. Around half of those in the Indian and Black ethnic groups surveyed had been born in the UK, whereas most Pakistani and other unspecified minority ethnic groups were

immigrants (as were 4 per cent of Whites). A detail not shown is the contrast within the Black group: 80 per cent of Black Caribbean respondents were British-born, while among Black Africans, 72 per cent were born abroad and mostly had arrived since 1990.

These findings should be borne in mind when reading the analyses by ethnicity that appear in the following chapters without, for simplicity, also showing this breakdown by immigration status. There is also information on the country of birth of mothers in the separate dataset derived from birth registration (not covered by this report) and on the country of birth of the grandparents, discussed in Chapter 4.

	Main					Partner				
	White	Indian	Pakistani	Black	Other	White	Indian	Pakistani	Black	Other
Born in the UK	95.5	49.5	33.7	50.5	35.8	95.9	45.2	25.5	51.1	33.8
Came to the										
UK 1950-1969	0.6	3.7	1.7	2.4	1.3	0.8	10.2	3.7	6.7	5.4
Came to the										
UK 1970-1989	1.9	16.3	27.5	12.9	17.4	1.6	24.7	31.1	13.8	25.4
Came to the										
UK 1990-2004	2.0	30.5	37.1	34.2	45.5	1.7	19.9	39.7	28.4	35.4
Observations	12,581	377	933	451	373	8,244	276	483	205	251
Main: chi so	Main: chi square (12) = 4730.92 n < 0.01									

 Table 1.5

 Whether born in UK and period of immigration by broad ethnic group: percentage of respondents at MCS2

 Main:
 chi square (12) = 4730.92
 p < 0.01</th>

 Partner:
 chi square (12) = 3382.84
 p<0.01</td>

The ethnic grouping in Table 1.5 collapses several of the smaller groups into larger categories. For example, there were too few Chinese (only 26 families in sweep 2) to permit separate analysis. The strategy of selecting areas of concentrated Black and Asian settlement was not suited to finding disproportionate numbers of Chinese, who anyway have a less concentrated settlement pattern. In most of the chapters which follow, authors have chosen to show Black Caribbean and Black African groups separately. In all these cases, a small number (up to 41) of 'Other Black', which includes 'Black British', are included in the residual 'Other' category. Where a different coding was adopted, this is noted in the chapter.

Guide to this report

This report provides a quick tour of the different substantive areas in the second sweep of the Millennium Cohort Study. It is not intended to explore any topic in depth, nor does it do justice to the possibilities for longitudinal or cross-domain analysis, let alone comparison with other datasets. It aims to point the way to those who would wish to do such work, and for whom the dataset has been constructed.

The reader will find that many tabulations are confined to cases where the main informant is the child's mother. This is for the sake of simplicity. Such tabulations exclude only 121 main informants (49 of whom were lone fathers). Detailed attention to unusual cases is possible but outside the scope of this report.

Likewise, those where the partner interview was not with a father figure are generally excluded. This makes it clearer that we are talking about responses from mothers and fathers respectively. The evidence from other cases is not rejected for all time, but it needs to be used with greater care. Similarly, in many tabulations about the children we have set aside the approximately 1 or 2 per cent of the cohort (1 per cent

of families) where the children are twins or triplets, leaving the possibility for future analysis of these special cases. For some analyses requiring the fathers to have provided data, we do not include those two-parent families where the resident father did not complete an interview.

The results here are generally presented as percentages weighted to correct for the different sampling probabilities of nine types of location at the first survey: two disadvantaged and 'non-disadvantaged' in each of the four countries, plus one covering wards in England with a high concentration of minority ethnic populations. The base numbers presented with these percentages are usually unweighted, which gives a better idea of the size of the underlying sample to potential future analysts, but which does not necessarily give a good idea of the size of sub-populations relative to each other. For example, the unweighted sample numbers for minority ethnic groups such as Bangladeshis tend to overstate their prevalence in the population since they are disproportionately recruited from types of ward that are over-sampled.

We also allow for the survey design's weighting and clustering in the tests of statistical significance that are reported. These use procedures available in the STATA analysis package. Findings selected for the Executive Summary and the Executive Briefings accompanying this report have been selected for statistical significance unless otherwise stated. We do not attempt to re-weight results for differential non-response or attrition.

Note also that behind the averages and proportions lie the individual differences between every child. To say, for example, that girls are ahead of boys for a particular indicator such as vocabulary, on average, does not mean that all girls are ahead of all boys. It would also be quite wrong to suggest that all children from a particular disadvantaged background are destined to certain failure. The survey is setting out to chart the risks that threaten to limit the achievements of a new generation.

Plan of the chapters

Chapter 2 examines the housing, neighbourhood and community in which the cohort children are growing up. Chapters 3, 4 and 5 look at various aspects of the children's families. Child health is surveyed in Chapter 6 and the children's cognitive and behavioural development is the focus of Chapter 7. Chapters 8, 9 and 10 look in more detail at the children's parents: their health and lifestyle in Chapter 8; their education and employment in Chapter 9; and their income in Chapter 10. Childcare is examined in Chapter 11, while older siblings make an appearance in Chapter 12.

References

Dex, S. and Joshi, H. (eds) (2005) *Children of the 21st Century: from Birth to 9 months,* Bristol: Policy Press

Hansen, K. (ed) (2006) *Millennium Cohort Study First and Second Surveys; Guide to the Dataset. First Edition,* London: Centre for Longitudinal Studies, Institute of Education. http://www.cls.ioe.ac.uk/studies.asp?section=00010002000100040008

20

MCS Team (2006) *MCS Derived variables,* London: Centre for Longitudinal Studies, Institute of Education.

http://www.cls.ioe.ac.uk/studies.asp?section=00010002000100040007

Moon, N. (2006) *Technical Report on Fieldwork*, London: NOP. <u>http://www.cls.ioe.ac.uk/studies.asp?section=00010002000100040006</u> Plewis, I. (ed) (2004) <u>Millennium Cohort Study First Survey: Technical Report on</u> <u>Sampling (3rd Edition)</u>. London: Centre for Longitudinal Studies, Institute of Education.

http://www.cls.ioe.ac.uk/studies.asp?section=0001000200010010

Plewis, I. and Ketende, S. (2006) <u>Millennium Cohort Study: Technical Report on</u> <u>Response (first edition).</u> London: Centre for Longitudinal Studies, Institute of Education.

http://www.cls.ioe.ac.uk/studies.asp?section=00010002000100040006

Chapter 2

HOUSING, NEIGHBOURHOOD AND COMMUNITY

Gareth Hughes, Sosthenes Ketende and Ian Plewis

Introduction

This chapter embellishes the results from MCS1 by focusing on changes between it and MCS2 in terms of house-moving and families' perception of their area.

Families can move home for many reasons, including dissatisfaction with accommodation or the neighbourhood, a change of employment, or the wish to be closer to (or further away from) other family members. Often, mobility will benefit both parents and children, but it can also result in a loss of contact with services and the disappearance of a supportive network of neighbours. Travel may also become more difficult. Here we look at some socio-economic and socio-demographic correlates of mobility.

Mobility

In this section, we look at characteristics of mobile families at sweep 2 and their reason(s) for moving home. MCS1 took place when the cohort children were about nine months old and the second sweep when they were about three years old and so we are looking at residential mobility for the intervening period.

The base for Tables 2.1 to 2.7 is all families who were productive at MCS1 and eligible for sweep 2 (as explained in Chapter 1, a family's response is considered 'productive' if there are data from any one of six instruments used at sweep 2). This definition excludes the 'new families'.

Thirty-eight per cent of families changed address between sweeps 1 and 2 (Table 2.1). These figures are based on information from the administrative address database at CLS. There were country differences: Scotland had the highest proportion of families who moved (41 per cent) and Northern Ireland the lowest (33 per cent).

Mobility by UK country				
	Mobile	Base		
UK country at sweep 1	%	(n)		
	(n)			
England	38.1 (4,432)	11,426		
Wales	34.8 (996)	2,744		
Scotland	40.6 (964)	2,303		
Northern Ireland	32.6 (640)	1,912		
Total	38.0 (7,032)	18,385		

Table 2.1

Note: Weighted percentages; observed sample numbers.

Chi square: 17, P value: 0.0041

Indian, Pakistani and Bangladeshi families were less mobile than the other ethnic groups (Table 2.2).

Residential mobility by ethnic group of the main respondent between sweeps 1 and 2					
Main respondent's ethnic group	Mobile % (n)	Base (n)			
White	38 (5,992)	15,398			
Mixed	42 (81)	186			
Indian	31 (150)	479			
Pakistani	31 (278)	891			
Bangladeshi	33 (118)	370			
Black Caribbean	37 (94)	262			
Black African	37 (145)	378			
Other (inc. Chinese, other Asian, other Black)	43 (156)	374			
Total	38 (7,014)	18,338			

Table 2.2

Note: Weighted percentages; observed sample numbers Chi square: 24, P value: 0.0017

Families in houses or bungalows were less likely to move than those in other types of accommodation (Table 2.3). Likewise, homeowners were less likely to move than tenants (Table 2.4).

Residential mobility by type of accommodation at sweep 1					
Type of accommodation	Mobile % (n)	Base (n)			
House or bungalow	34.7 (5,428)	15,587			
Flat or maisonette	58.8 (1,506)	2,650			
Other (studio flat, rooms, bedsit, etc)	73.5 (78)	104			
Total	37.9 (7,012)	18,341			
Note: Weighted percentages; observed san	nple numbers				

Table 2.3

Weighted percentages; observed sample numbers Chi square: 23, P value: 0.0012

Residential mobility by tenure at sweep 1					
Housing tenure	Mobile	Paga			
%		Dase			
	(n)	(n)			
Buying	30.9 (3,236)	10,603			
Renting	47.9 (3,076)	6,558			
Other*	63.1 (694)	1,166			
Total	37.9 (7,006)	18,327			

Table 2.4

Note: Weighted percentages; observed sample numbers

*Other includes living with parents, living rent-free, squatting. Chi square: 759, P value: <0.001

Lower-income families were more likely to change addresses between the two sweeps than high-income families (Table 2.5).

Income and tenure are closely related. Of the families who were renting at sweep 2, 46 per cent were recipients of the means-tested Housing Benefit.

Family income (banded)	Mobile % (n)	Base (n)
£0 - £10,400 pa	48.5 (2,226)	4,701
£10,400 - £20,800 pa	36.7 (1,981)	5,590
£20,800 - £31,200 pa	33.6 (1,121)	3,275
£31,200 - £52,000 pa	34.2 (826)	2,377
£52,000-plus pa	37.7 (322)	847
Don't know	34.6 (376)	1,083
Refused to answer	34.8 (166)	476
Total	37.9 (7,018)	18,349

Table 2.5Residential mobility by family income at sweep 1

Note: Weighted percentages; observed sample numbers Chi square: 237: P value: <0.001

About half the renters are local authority tenants, a quarter rent from housing associations and the remainder rent privately. More than half of the renters (53 per cent at sweep1) received state benefit for their accommodation through Housing Benefit or Income Support. Very few of the owner-occupiers (1.5 per cent) did so. A quarter of the movers had been receiving one or other benefit (or both), compared to one-sixth (15.6 percent) of the families who did not move (table not shown).

Of those families interviewed at sweep 2, the predominance of means-tested benefits for renters continued. One-third of renters (34.6 per cent) reported receiving both Housing Benefit and Income Support, 11.4 per cent Housing Benefit only and 7 per cent Income Support only, which leaves 47.1 per cent reporting no cash help, in contrast to 98.6 per cent of home-owners and 80.6 per cent of the small group in other housing arrangements.

Families where both the main respondent and partner or only the partner was in paid work were less likely to move than families with no earner or where only the main respondent (mostly the mother) was in paid work (Table 2.6).

 Table 2.6

 Residential mobility by combined labour market status of main and partner respondents at sweep 1

Paid work status of the cohort families at sweep 1	Mobile % (n)	Base (n)
Both in work/on leave	33.3 (2,536)	7,504
Main in work/on leave, partner not in work/on leave	43.5 (176)	424
Partner in work/on leave, main not in work/on leave	37.2 (2,069)	5,754
Both not in work/on leave	44.8 (658)	1,513
Total	35.9 (5,439)	15,195

Note: Weighted percentages; observed sample numbers Single parents are excluded Chi square: 75, P value: <0.001

Table 2.7 shows that families in Scotland moved the longest distance on average (35 kilometres) whereas families in Northern Ireland moved the shortest distance (11 kilometres).

Distance moved between sweeps 1 and 2 by on country									
	Distance in kilometres								
UK country at sweep 1	Mean	Standard error	95 per cent Cl						
England	24.6	1.6	21.4 - 27.8						
Wales	12.6	1.3	10.1 - 15.1						
Scotland	35.1	6.0	23.3 - 46.9						
Northern Ireland	10.8	1.7	7.4 - 14.2						

Table 2.7Distance moved between sweeps 1 and 2 by UK country

Note: Movements both within and between UK countries but excluding international migrants who were ineligible for sweep 2.

Table 2.8

Distance moved between the two sweeps								
Distance moved		UK	Country at sweep	1				
	England	Wales	Scotland	Northern Ireland				
(km)	%	%	%	%				
0<1	29	40	37	33				
1<2	22	18	16	20				
3<11	20	20	19	20				
11+	19	12	20	18				
Unknown	9.2	9.5	9	9.2				
Base (n)	4,432	996	964	640				

Note: Distances are straight lines between the centres of postcode areas Chi square: 50, p<0.001

Table 2.8 shows that less than one-fifth of families moved more than 10 kilometres. About 9 per cent of families did not report a move that was recorded in the tracing data.

The proportion of families living in a house or bungalow increased from 81 per cent to 89 per cent between sweeps 1 and 2 for those movers interviewed at sweep 2. There was also an increase from 56 per cent to 59 per cent in the number of families buying their home. The proportion of movers 'very satisfied' with their home increased from 30 per cent at sweep 1 to 44 per cent at sweep 2 for the movers and those 'very dissatisfied' with their home decreased from 6 per cent at sweep 1 to 2 per cent at sweep 2.

The most popular reason for moving given by those interviewed at sweep 2 was wanting a larger home. This was followed by wanting to move to a better area (Table 2.9).

MC32 distribution of reasons for moving								
What were the main reasons you moved to this address?	Per cent (n)	Base						
Wanted larger home	47.2 (1,960)							
Wanted to move to better area	22.7 (988)							
Wanted better home	20.7 (893)							
To be nearer relative(s)	12.3 (508)							
For children's education	12.3 (504)							
Wanted place of my own	10 (523)							
Relationship breakdown	7.5 (354)	4,428						
Wanted to buy	6.3 (292)							
Job change/nearer work	6.2 (229)							
Problem with neighbours	4.9 (241)							
Spouse or partner job change	3.5 (138)							

Table 2.9 MCS2 distribution of reasons for moving

Table 2.9
MCS2 distribution of reasons for moving

What were the main reasons you moved to this address?	Per cent (n)	Base
Contd		
Just wanted a change	3.7 (151)	
New relationship	1.7 (75)	
Evicted/repossessed	1.6 (74)	
Could no longer afford home	1.6 (71)	

Note: Weighted percentages; observed sample numbers. Respondents could give more than one response. Based on movers who were productive at sweep 2.

Area

There was little change in satisfaction with the area for those families who did not move between sweeps 1 and 2: 73 per cent of those 'very satisfied' at sweep 1 remained so at sweep 2. For those families who moved, however, 53 per cent were very satisfied at sweep 2, compared with just 36 per cent at sweep 1.

Table 2.10 UK country of interview at sweep 2, by 'Good area to bring up children'

		UK country at sweep 1						
		England	Wales	Scotland	N Ireland	Total		
	Excellent	32.3	35.3	41.3	45.5	33.7		
	Good	40.1	39.9	37.0	38.4	39.7		
'Good area	Average	19.4	18.1	16.2	11.7	18.8		
to bring up	Poor	5.1	4.3	3.4	2.8	4.8		
children'	Very poor	3.1	2.4	2.1	1.6	2.9		
		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		
	Total	(9,264)	(2,219)	(1,792)	(1,445)	(14,720)		

Note: Weighted percentages; observed sample numbers. Chi square: 75.7, P value: <0.001

UK country of interview by 'How safe you feel in area'								
			UK co	ountry at sw	eep 2			
		England	Wales	Scotland	N Ireland	Total		
	Very safe	37.0	43.8	41.7	51.8	40.3		
	Fairly safe	50.6	46.1	49.2	42.8	48.9		
'How cofo	Neither safe							
	nor unsafe	6.5	5.5	5.4	2.4	5.7		
jou leel	Fairly unsafe	4.2	3.4	2.7	2.1	3.7		
iii ai ea	Very unsafe	1.6	1.3	1.0	0.9	1.4		
		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		
	Total	(9,302)	(2,222)	(1,795)	(1,445)	(14,764)		

Table 2.11 UK country of interview by 'How safe you feel in area

Note: Weighted percentages; observed sample numbers. Chi square: 165.4, P value: <0.001

Few respondents reported their areas as poor, or very poor places to bring up children (7 per cent), or fairly or very unsafe (6 per cent). Northern Ireland seems to be perceived as the 'best' area to bring up children and the safest overall.

 Table 2.12

 Cohort child's ethnic group by 'Good area to bring up children' (weighted proportions)

				Cohort	child's ethnic g	roup		
							Mixed	
		White	Indian	Pakistani	Bangladeshi	Black	& other	Total
	Excellent	35.2	31.9	23.8	11.9	13.9	21.5	33.7
MCS2	Good	39.3	43.5	41.4	43.2	45.6	43.4	39.8
iviC32	Average	18.3	18.1	22.1	29.7	25.2	22.9	18.8
to bring up	Poor	4.5	4.2	8.5	11.0	8.1	6.6	4.8
children'	Very poor	2.7	2.3	4.3	4.2	7.1	5.6	2.9
ciliaren		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
	Total	(12,351)	(362)	(671)	(257)	(432)	(628)	(14,701)

Note: Weighted percentages; observed sample numbers. Chi square: 165.2, P value: <0.001

	Conort child 5 ethnic group by flow sale you leer in alea							
				Coho	ort child's ethnic	group		
		White	Indian	Pakistani	Bangladeshi	Black	Mixed and other	Total
	Very safe	38.6	35.1	39.6	35.6	30.1	31.3	38.1
MCSO	Fairly safe	50.4	50.8	45.3	48.3	48.4	49.7	50.2
WC32	Neither safe							
	nor unsafe	5.9	9.2	6.4	6.8	8.7	9.0	6.2
you leel	Fairly unsafe	3.7	3.8	5.4	6.8	9.3	6.5	4.0
iii area	Very unsafe	1.4	1.1	3.2	2.5	3.5	3.5	1.5
		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
	Total	12,373	(366)	(678)	(258)	(438)	(632)	(14,745)

 Table 2.13

 Cohort child's ethnic group by 'How safe you feel in area'

Note: Weighted percentages; observed sample numbers. Chi square: 87.7, P value: <0.001

Families with White and Indian children are more likely to perceive their area as being excellent for bringing up children. Families of Black children are most likely to think their area is 'very poor', and least likely to think it is 'very safe'.

Table 2.14 Main respondent's NS-SEC (five-fold classification) by 'Good area to bring up children'									
	NS-SEC five classes at MCS1 interview (main respondent)								
				Small	Low	Semi-			
		Management		employer	supervisory	routine			
		and		and self-	and	and			
		professional	Intermediate	employed	technical	routine	Total		
	Excellent	45.0	34.6	46.0	31.2	23.5	34.8		
MCCO	Good	40.1	43.3	36.7	35.0	38.5	39.7		
'Good area	Average	11.8	16.8	13.8	22.7	26.0	18.3		
to bring up	Poor	2.3	3.7	2.5	6.7	7.1	4.5		
children'	Very poor	0.8	1.7	1.1	4.4	4.9	2.6		
		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		
	Total	(4,187)	(2,551)	(530)	(806)	(5,156)	(13,230)		

Note: Weighted percentages; observed sample numbers.

Only includes those who were working (or on leave) at the time of interview (MCS1). Chi square: 887.0, P value: <0.001

	NS SEC five algoage at MCS1 interview (main rear and ant)								
		N2-	NO-SEC five classes at MCS1 interview (main respondent)						
				Small	Low	Semi-			
		Management		employer &	supply &	routine &			
		& professional	Intermediate	self-employed	technical	routine	Total		
	Very safe	44.9	39.4	41.8	35.3	32.0	38.7		
	Fairly safe	49.0	50.9	51.5	50.4	51.3	50.3		
	Neither								
MCS2	safe nor								
'How	unsafe	3.9	5.8	4.9	7.5	8.0	5.9		
safe you	Fairly								
feel in	unsafe	2.0	3.0	1.1	4.8	6.1	3.7		
area'	Very								
	unsafe	0.3	0.9	0.8	2.0	2.6	1.3		
		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		
	Total	(4,187)	(2,551)	(530)	(806)	(5,156)	(13,230)		

 Table 2.15

 Main respondent's NS-SEC (five-fold classification) by 'How safe you feel in area'

Note: Weighted percentages; observed sample numbers.

Only includes those who were working (or on leave) at the time of interview (MCS1). Chi square: 378.4, P value: <0.001

There is a general trend among those in a higher NS-SEC group to perceive their area as being 'excellent' for bringing up children and 'very safe'. 'Semi-routine and routine' have the highest proportion of those believing their area is 'average' or worse for bringing up children, but even for mothers in the least advantaged occupational class, only a minority reported their areas as poor/very poor (12 per cent) or fairly/very unsafe (9 per cent).

Home atmosphere

Three variables, each with five ordered categories, relate to the atmosphere of the home ('disorganised', 'hearing yourself think' and 'calm'). These variables are correlated – the values of Kendall's tau vary between 0.33 and 0.41 – and so they can be added together to form a scale measuring 'home activity' or 'home atmosphere' between zero ('hectic') and 12 ('calm'). This scale is skewed towards the calm end with a median of eight, with 11 per cent scoring 11 or 12 but less than 1 per cent scoring below two.

Table 2.15 gives the means by UK country, minority ethnic group, parents' labour market status and the number of parents/carers in the household. It shows that homes in Northern Ireland are the most calm, and homes in Wales the least calm (p < 0.01); that minority ethnic main respondents, notably those from an Indian background, live in homes that are reported to be calmer than White homes (p < 0.001); that where both parents are in work, the home is said to be calmer than if neither is working (p < 0.001); and where there are two parents the home is rated somewhat calmer (p < 0.001).

		Mean	Standard	95 per cent
			error	CI
UK country at	England	8.0	0.048	7.9 – 8.1
sweep 2	Wales	7.9	0.052	7.8 - 8.0
(n = 15,446)	Scotland	7.9	0.064	7.8 – 8.1
	Northern Ireland	8.2	0.078	8.1 – 8.4
Ethnic group of	White	7.9	0.037	7.9 – 8.0
main respondent	Mixed	8.1	0.21	7.7 – 8.6
(n = 14,714)	Indian	9.3	0.25	8.8 – 9.8
	Pakistani and	8.5	0.11	8.3 – 8.7
	Bangladeshi			
	Black	8.5	0.13	8.3 – 8.8
	Other	8.8	0.15	8.5 – 9.1
Parental work	Both in work	8.2	0.047	8.1 – 8.3
status	Only main in work	7.7	0.15	7.4 – 8.0
(n = 12,733)	Only partner in work	7.9	0.051	7.8 – 8.0
	Neither in work	7.1	0.12	6.9 – 7.4
Parents in home	One	7.8	0.067	7.7 – 7.9
(n = 15,446)	Two	8.0	0.043	8.0 – 8.1

Table 2.15Home activity (weighted means) by UK country at sweep 2,ethnic group, parents' labour market status and number of parents

Note: Observed sample numbers.

Conclusion

Residential mobility is an important feature of the lives of families with young children: more than one-third of the sample from sweep 1 had changed address in the intervening 27 or so months. Most families do not move very far, however, and many stay in the same neighbourhood. This mobility is socially and geographically patterned; being more common in Scotland than in Northern Ireland; less common in families with a South Asian background; and more common in the lower income, flat-dwelling and renting groups at sweep1.

When compared with the other UK countries, the main respondents in Northern Ireland have a more positive view about their area in terms of bringing up children and feeling safe. They also report a calmer home atmosphere. These findings – and the links between them – warrant further investigation. It would also then be possible to compare the main respondents' and the interviewers' perceptions of the same local area.

Chapter 3

FAMILY DEMOGRAPHICS

Lisa Calderwood

Introduction

This chapter is concerned with the cohort child's parents and the immediate family with whom he or she shares a home. Whether they live with one or two parents will not only make many differences to the child's experience of growing up, it will also affect whether the study is able to gather the father's perspective as well as the mother's on several questions in the survey. The number of adults contributing to the household income will also affect the outcome for the child. This chapter looks at the number of parents in the home, whether and how this has changed since the first survey, whether two-parent families are headed by married couples, and changes in that marital status. It then turns to siblings and grandparents living in the same household. The chapter ends with a look beyond the child's home at parents who are not resident, mostly fathers, and their relationship with the cohort child. The economic and emotional ties of those children with parents outside the home have become an important feature of family life.

The key measure of family demographics included in the survey is the household grid. This collects (from the main respondent at the beginning of the interview) the individual details (name, sex and date of birth) of all adults and children in the cohort child's household. The main respondent was asked to include 'people who live here regularly as members of this household'. It also collects a complete set of relationships between everyone in the household. Most of the findings in this chapter use only information collected in the household grid. The exception is the information about the cohort child's relationship with their non-resident father, which is taken from the interview with the main respondent.

Family type, parents and partnerships

Family type

Most children were living with both of their natural parents at age three, though this proportion had fallen slightly from 85.8 per cent of the sample interviewed at nine months to 82 per cent of the cross-section in the second survey (Table 3.1). Typically, the child's natural parents were also married to each other. This arrangement accounted for around six in 10 families at both sweeps. The proportion of families in which the natural parents were living together without being married fell from 24 per cent at sweep 1 to 14.8 per cent at sweep 2. However, much of this difference is due to a big increase in the proportion of families in which the relationship between natural parents was 'other' (neither married nor cohabiting) or was 'unknown' (don't know, refused to answer or missing data); from 0.4 per cent to 4.3 per cent.

If children were not living with both natural parents, they were usually in lone-parent families with their natural mother. The proportion of children in lone natural-mother families increased slightly from 13.7 per cent at nine months to 14.9 per cent at three years.

A small proportion of children were living in 'other' family types, though the prevalence of such families had increased from 0.5 per cent at nine months to 3.1 per cent at three years. Most of these 'other' family types at age three were a natural mother and another parent/partner (2.2 per cent). There were very few lone natural fathers (0.4 per cent), natural fathers living with another parent/partner (0.1 per cent), adoptive parents (0.1 per cent) and grandparents (0.2 per cent). The remainder (0.1 per cent) were other or unknown family types.

Table 3.1

Family type by country												
	Country at MCS1						Country at MCS2					
Family type	England	Wales	Scotland	Northern Ireland	UK	England	Wales	Scotland	Northern Ireland	UK		
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%		
Both natural												
parents	86.2	81.8	85.3	83.2	85.8	81.7	80.6	84.4	84.1	82.0		
Married	61.6	57.1	59.9	68.3	61.4	62.7	58.6	63.7	71.6	62.9		
Cohabiting	24.3	24.3	24.8	14.0	24.0	14.7	16.8	17.0	8.5	14.8		
Other/unknown												
relationship	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.9	0.4	4.3	5.2	3.7	4.0	4.3		
Lone natural												
mother	13.3	17.6	14.3	16.7	13.7	15.1	16.3	12.8	14.7	14.9		
Other family												
type	0.5	0.6	0.4	0.1	0.5	3.2	3.1	2.8	1.2	3.1		
Base												
(weighted)	9,880	2,726	2,302	1,931	18,392	8,841	2,218	1,789	1,480	16,027		
Base												
(unweighted)	11,533	2,760	2,336	1,923	18,552	10,107	2,233	1,800	1,450	15,590		

MCS1 Chi square: 171.94, p=0.0000 Base: All families interviewed at MCS1

MCS2 Chi square: 118.29, p=0.0000

Base: All families interviewed at MCS2

Table 3.2

Family type by mother's age at MCS2 interview

Family type	16-24	25-29	30-34	35-39	40+	Total	
	%	%	%	%	%	%	
Both natural parents	49.7	76.8	88.7	90.9	90.2	82.8	
Married	20.6	51.9	72.5	76.8	72.8	64.0	
Cohabiting	26.2	20.8	12.5	10.4	13.7	15.1	
Other/unknown							
relationship	2.9	4.2	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	
Lone natural mother	42.5	19.9	9.8	8.1	8.6	14.8	
Other family type	7.8	3.3	1.5	1.1	1.1	2.4	
Base (weighted)	1,864	2,741	5,161	4,399	1,536	15,701	
Base (unweighted)	2,155	3,000	4,830	3,877	1,373	15,235	

Chi square: 2595.79, p=0.0000

Base: All families in which the main respondent was a mother (any type of mother) and in which mother's age was known.

Family type	White	Mixed	Indian	Pakistani	Bangla- deshi	Black Caribbean	Black African	Other ethnic group	Total
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Both natural parents	82.8	67.3	93.9	90.2	91.9	53.1	66.0	79.9	82.3
Married	62.9	48.1	85.2	81.3	79.6	31.8	56.5	63.3	63.2
Cohabiting	15.9	14.4	0.3	1.0	2.3	16.7	6.4	6.6	14.9
Other/unknown									
relationship	4.0	4.8	8.5	7.9	10.1	4.6	3.0	10.0	4.3
Lone natural mother	14.3	30.2	5.0	8.5	6.7	46.6	31.6	18.0	14.9
Other family type	2.9	2.5	1.1	1.2	1.3	0.3	2.4	2.0	2.7
Base (weighted)	14,070	472	284	440	129	132	204	189	15,920
Base (unweighted)	12,894	449	401	734	282	180	289	260	15,489

Table 3.3 Family type by child's ethnic group

Chi square: 524.76, p=0.0000

Base: All families in which the cohort child's ethnic group was known.

Wales had the highest proportion of lone natural-mother families in the crosssections at both sweeps and Northern Ireland had the highest proportion of married natural-parent families at both sweeps. Interestingly, in Wales, Scotland and Northern Ireland there was actually a lower proportion of lone natural-mother families at age three than at nine months, though in England the proportion had increased.

Lone parenthood was more common among younger mothers; 42.5 per cent of mothers aged 16 to 24 and 19.9 per cent of mothers aged 25 to 29 were lone parents. Younger mothers were also less likely to be married and living with the child's father: a fifth (20.6 per cent of mothers aged 16 to 24) were in this situation.

Indian, Pakistani and Bangladeshi children were the most likely to be living with both natural parents (more than 90 per cent) and their parents were almost always married to each other. Living with cohabitating parents was extremely rare for children in these ethnic groups. In contrast, lone parenthood was a relatively common experience for children in some other ethnic groups. Nearly half (46.6 per cent) of Black Caribbean children and a third of Mixed and Black African children (30.2 per cent and 31.6 per cent respectively) were living with a lone natural mother.

Change in family type

For those families who took part in both sweep 1 and sweep 2, the composition of the household at each sweep can be compared longitudinally to examine changes in family type. A broad definition of 'parents' is used which includes step-parents and partners of parents as well as 'parent-figures' such as grandparents. For instance, a child living with both grandparents (and no parents) at both sweeps would be treated as remaining in the same two-parent family for this analysis. However, as reported earlier, these 'other' family types account for a very small proportion of families.

Overall, 93.1 per cent of two-parent families at sweep 1 were still two-parent families at sweep 2 and 27.9 per cent of one-parent families at sweep 1 had acquired a second parent by sweep 2 (Table 3.4).

Of all the families interviewed for both surveys, parents had parted company in 6 per cent of cases and 3 per cent were single parents the first time around who had
gained a second parent. The proportion of families in this sample in Table 3.4 who were lone parents went up from 12 per cent to 15 per cent. Note that there was differential loss from the survey of lone-parent families from the first survey, which can be inferred from a comparison of Tables 3.4 and 3.1 where a higher proportion of lone mothers is apparent in the cross-sectional sample for sweep1.

There were some small differences between countries in the proportion of two-parent families from sweep 1 who were still two-parent families at sweep 2 but these were not statistically significant. However, there was significant country variation in the proportion of one-parent families from sweep 1 which had become two-parent families at sweep 2. One-parent families in Scotland and Northern Ireland were the most likely to acquire another parent by sweep 2 (35.9 per cent and 31.3 per cent respectively) and one-parent families in England were the least likely to acquire another parent by sweep 2 (26.7 per cent).

		Country at MCS2								
Family type at MCS1	Family type at MCS2	England	Wales	Scotland	Northern Ireland	UK				
		%	%	%	%	%				
Two-parent family	Two-parent									
	family	92.8	93.3	94.3	94.7	93.1				
	One-parent									
	family	7.2	6.7	5.7	5.3	6.9				
Base (weighted)		7,242	1,843	1,544	1,249	13,201				
Base (unweighted)		7,956	1,770	1,527	1,180	12,433				
One-parent family	Two-parent									
	family	26.7	29.5	35.9	31.3	27.9				
	One-parent									
	family	73.3	70.5	64.1	68.7	72.1				
Base (weighted)		949	345	224	227	1811				
Base (unweighted)		1,307	428	250	264	2,249				

Table 3.4 Change in family type by country

Two-parent family at MCS1 Chi square: 9.73, p=0.0630

One-parent family at MCS1 Chi square: 10.60, p=0.0269

Base: All families who took part in both MCS1 and MCS2 and were in either a two-parent family or a one-parent family at both MCS1 and MCS2.

Most of the second parents acquired by sweep 2 by one-parent families at sweep 1 were the cohort child's natural father (about 71 per cent¹). In slightly under a quarter of families (23 per cent), this additional parent was a stepfather.

Change in family type showed some interesting variation with the mother's age (Table 3.5). Mothers aged between 16 and 24 at the MCS2 interview who were in two-parent families at sweep 1 were significantly less likely (77.5 per cent) to be still in those two-parent families at sweep 2 than older mothers (at least 95 per cent of those aged 30 and over). Mothers aged 25 to 29 occupied an intermediate position closer to the older age-groups (at 89.4 per cent).

However, younger mothers who were lone parents at sweep 1 were also more likely to have acquired a second partner at sweep 2. Mothers aged 25 to 29 were most likely to have experienced this change (32.5 per cent), followed by those aged 16 to 24 (29.6 per cent). About a guarter of those aged 30 to 34 and 40 and over had

¹ In 5 per cent of families the new parent was a natural parent but their sex was not known. Here they are assumed to be male and included in this proportion.

acquired a second parent for their children and the group aged 35 to 39 were the least likely to have done so (22.1 per cent).

Family type at	Family type at	16-24	25-29	30-34	35-39	40+	Total
MCS1	MCS2						
		%	%	%	%	%	%
Two-parent family	Two-parent						
	family	77.5	89.4	95.6	96.6	96.6	93.7
	One-parent						
	family	22.5	10.6	4.4	3.4	3.4	6.3
Base (weighted)		948	2,142	4,594	3,936	1,353	12,972
Base (unweighted)		1,070	2,282	4,230	3,415	1,188	12,185
One-parent family	Two-parent						
	family	29.6	32.4	25.7	22.1	24.7	28.2
	One-parent						
	family	70.4	67.6	74.3	77.9	75.3	71.8
Base (weighted)		668	409	351	250	101	1,780
Base (unweighted)		858	532	411	293	124	2,218

Table 3.5Change in family type by mother's age at MCS2 interview

Two-parent family at MCS1 Chi square: 552.52, p=0.0000

One-parent family at MCS1 Chi square: 13.30, p=0.0390

Base: All families who took part in both MCS1 and MCS2 and were in either a two-parent family or a one-parent family at both MCS1 and MCS2 and in which the main respondent at MCS2 was a mother (any type of mother) for whom age was known.

Transition from cohabitation to marriage

For those families who took part in both sweep 1 and sweep 2, the relationships between family members can be compared longitudinally. This section looks at transitions from cohabitation to marriage in families in which the child was living with both natural parents at sweep 1 and sweep 2 and where the parents were living together without being married at sweep 1.

Almost a third (29.7 per cent) of those parents who were cohabiting at sweep 1 were married to each other by sweep 2 (Table 3.6). This varied considerably by country, with cohabiting parents in Northern Ireland most likely to get married (44.8 per cent), followed by England (30.2 per cent), Wales (27.0 per cent) and Scotland (24.4 per cent).

		Country at MCS2								
Family type at	Family type at	England	Wales	Scotland	Northern	UK				
NIC31	MC52				Ireland					
		%	%	%	%	%				
Cohabiting natural	Married natural									
parents	parents	30.2	27.0	24.4	44.8	29.7				
	Cohabiting									
	natural parents	69.8	73.0	75.6	55.2	70.3				
Base (weighted)		1,573	432	337	145	2,845				
Base (unweighted)		1,687	472	355	154	2,668				

 Table 3.6

 Transition from cohabitation to marriage by country

Chi square: 23.69, p=0.0001

Base: All families who took part in both MCS1 and MCS2, who were in a family with cohabiting natural parents at MCS2 and were in a family in which the same two parents were still present at MCS2 and the relationship between the parents at MCS2 was known.

This also varied significantly by the mother's age, with the youngest and oldest agegroups least likely to get married. Looking at mothers who were cohabiting at sweep 1,22.7 per cent of the 16 to 24 age group and 22.5 per cent of the 40 and over age group had got married compared with around 30 to 34 per cent in the other age groups.

Family type at	Family type	16-24	25-29	30-34	35-39	40+	Total
MCS1	at MCS2						
		%	%	%	%	%	%
Cohabiting natural	Married						
parents	natural						
	parents	22.7	34.0	30.1	32.4	22.5	29.7
	Cohabiting						
	natural						
	parents	77.3	66.0	69.9	67.6	77.5	70.3
Base (weighted)		469	710	826	592	242	2 838
Base (unweighted)		517	700	740	504	201	2,662

 Table 3.7

 Transition from cohabitation to marriage by mother's age at MCS2 interview

Chi square=23.86, p=0.0044

Base: All families who took part in both MCS1 and MCS2, who were in a family with cohabiting natural parents at MCS2 and were in a family in which the same two parents were still present at MCS2 and the relationship between the parents at MCS2 was known and where the main respondent was a mother (any type of mother) for whom age was known.

Siblings

In this section, the relationship to the cohort child collected in the household grid is used to identify which of the other children (and adults) in the household were the natural, step, foster, adoptive or half-siblings of the cohort child. The dates of birth of these siblings are also used to identify both older and younger siblings of the cohort child. The definition of siblings in this context excludes siblings from multiple births who are also cohort children. Hence in a family with twin cohort children and no other siblings, the cohort children would be classified as having no siblings in this analysis.

Number of siblings

At age three, a quarter of children had no brothers or sisters (in the same household) compared with more than four in 10 (42.8 per cent) at nine months. The majority of those with siblings – 47.7 per cent of all children – had just one brother or sister and fewer than one in 10 (8.8 per cent) had three or more siblings (Table 3.8).

The number of siblings per household varied by country, mother's age and child's ethnic group.

Children in Northern Ireland were the least likely still to have no siblings (22.5 per cent) and the most likely to have three or more brothers and sisters (14.2 per cent) at age three. Children in Scotland were the least likely to have three or more siblings (6.2 per cent).

		Co	untry at M	ICS1		Country at MCS2				
Siblings in household	England	Wales	Scotland	Northern Ireland	UK	England	Wales	Scotland	Northern Ireland	UK
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
None	42.7	42.5	45.3	39.1	42.8	24.9	27.7	26.3	22.5	25.0
One	36.2	36.8	35.7	32.6	36	48.0	45.2	49.5	39.5	47.7
Two	14.5	14.3	14.1	18.0	15	18.3	18.3	18.0	23.8	18.4
Three or more	6.6	6.5	4.9	10.3	6.6	8.9	8.8	6.2	14.2	8.8
Base (weighted)	9,880	2,726	2,302	1,931	18,392	8,747	2,208	1,785	1,474	15,894
Base (unweighted)	11,533	2,760	2,336	1,923	18,552	9,987	2,222	1,795	1,444	15,448
MCS1 C	thi square: 8	9.64, p=0	.0000		MCS2 (Chi2=125.43	p=0.0000			

Table 3.8 Number of siblings in household at MCS1 and MCS2 by country

MCS1 Chi square: 89.64, p=0.0000

MCS1 Base: All families interviewed at MCS1.

MCS2 Base: All families interviewed at MCS2 in which the main respondent was interviewed.

Almost half (49.2 per cent) of children whose mothers were aged 16 to 24 did not have any brothers or sisters and a further 39.4 per cent had only one sibling. Only one in 10 children with mothers in this age group had two or more siblings, compared with about one in three children with mothers aged 35 to 39 and 40 and over (Table 3.9).

Siblings in household	16-24	25-29	30-34	35-39	40+	Total
	%	%	%	%	%	%
None	49.2	27.9	22.9	17.8	16.3	24.8
One	39.4	48.1	50.9	49.7	42.6	47.9
Two	9.2	17.0	17.9	21.5	25.8	18.5
Three or more	2.3	6.9	8.3	11.0	15.2	8.8
Base (weighted)	1,860	2,740	5,155	4,395	1,534	15,634
Base (unweighted)	2,151	2,997	4,824	3,875	1,372	15,219

Table 3.9 Number of siblings in household by mother's age at MCS2 interview

Ch square: 971.31, p=0.0000

Base: All families interviewed at MCS2 in which the main respondent was interviewed and in which the main respondent was a mother (any kind of mother) for whom age was known.

Bangladeshi, Pakistani and Black African children were the most likely to have many brothers and sisters; 32.6 per cent, 23.9 per cent, and 22.8 per cent respectively had three or more siblings (Table 3.10). However, Indian children were the least likely to have three or more siblings (4.8 per cent), while Pakistani and Bangladeshi children were the least likely to have no brothers and sisters (14 per cent and 15.6 per cent respectively).

	Child's ethnic group											
Siblings in household	White	Mixed	Indian	Pakistani	Bangladeshi	Black Caribbean	Black African	Other ethnic group	Total			
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%			
None	25.2	32.7	24.9	14.0	15.6	26.8	21.5	23.0	25.0			
One	48.9	40.6	46.8	34.0	26.0	41.6	28.3	46.3	47.7			
Two	17.9	18.7	23.6	28.2	25.7	19.7	27.4	16.9	18.4			
Three or more	8.0	8.0	4.8	23.9	32.6	11.9	22.8	13.7	8.8			
Base (weighted)	13,975	468	283	436	128	132	199	189	15,810			
Base (unweighted)	12,795	446	398	728	278	179	284	260	15,368			

 Table 3.10

 Number of siblings in household by child's ethnic group

Chi square:383.28, p=0.0000

Base: All families interviewed at MCS2 in which the main respondent was interviewed and in which the cohort child's ethnic group was known.

Older and younger siblings

Overall, 26.9 per cent of children had at least one younger sibling. Nearly 18 per cent had younger siblings only and 9.2 per cent had both older and younger siblings. A higher proportion of children (57.2 per cent) had an older sibling. Forty-eight per cent had older siblings only and 9.2 per cent had both older and younger siblings (Table 3.11).

Children in Northern Ireland were the most likely to have both older and younger siblings (13.5 per cent). Children in England and Scotland were the most likely to have younger siblings only (17.9 per cent and 18.2 per cent respectively).

		(Country at N	ICS2	
Older and younger siblings in household	England	Wales	Scotland	Northern Ireland	UK
	%	%	%	%	%
Both older and younger	9.3	8.7	6.9	13.5	9.2
Older siblings only	47.8	49.5	48.6	47.6	48.0
Younger siblings only	17.9	14.1	18.2	16.4	17.7
No siblings	24.9	27.7	26.3	22.6	25.1
Base (weighted)	8,747	2,208	1,785	1,474	15,895
Base (unweighted)	9,987	2,222	1,795	1,444	15,448

 Table 3.11

 Older and younger siblings in household by country

Chi square:73.91, p=0.0000

Base: All families who were interviewed at MCS2 in which the main respondent was interviewed.

Children of younger mothers were the most likely to have younger siblings only (26.1 per cent of those with mothers aged between 16 and 24) and children of older mothers were the most likely to have older siblings only (71.5 per cent of those with mothers aged 40 and over, Table 3.12).

Older and younger siblings in household	16-24	25-29	30-34	35-39	40+	Total
	%	%	%	%	%	%
Both older and						
younger	7.7	12.1	9.5	9.0	5.7	9.2
Older siblings only	16.9	40.4	46.8	59.3	71.5	48.0
Younger siblings						
only	26.1	19.6	20.8	13.9	6.5	17.9
No siblings	49.3	28.0	23.0	17.8	16.3	24.9
Base (weighted)	1,860	2,740	5,155	4,395	1,534	15,683
Base (unweighted)	2,151	2,997	4,824	3,875	1,372	15,219

 Table 3.12

 Older and younger siblings in household by mother's age at MCS2 interview

Chi square:1553.98, p=0.0000

Base: All families who were interviewed at MCS2 in which the main respondent was interviewed and in which the main respondent was a mother (any kind of mother) for whom age was known.

Pakistani (18.4 per cent), Bangladeshi (16.9 per cent) and Black African (21.6 per cent) children were most likely to have both older and younger siblings. Black Caribbean children were least likely to have younger siblings only (5.7 per cent), see Table 3.13.

		Child's ethnic group												
Older and younger siblings in household	White	Mixed	Indian	Pakistani	Bangladeshi	Black Caribbean	Black African	Other ethnic group	Total					
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%					
Both older and younger	8.7	10.4	6.0	18.4	16.9	8.6	21.6	10.3	9.3					
Older siblings only	48.0	41.0	51.0	48.6	56.5	58.9	47.6	46.6	48.0					
Younger siblings only	18.1	15.9	17.9	18.8	10.7	5.7	9.3	19.8	17.7					
No siblings	25.2	32.7	25.0	14.2	15.9	26.8	21.5	23.2	25.0					
Base (weighted)	13,973	468	283	436	128	132	199	189	15,808					
Base (unweighted)	12,795	446	398	728	278	179	284	260	15,368					

Table 3.13Older and younger siblings in household by child's ethnic group

Chi square: 156.06, p=0.0000

Base: All families who were interviewed at MCS2 in which the main respondent was interviewed and in which the cohort child's ethnic group was known.

Half-siblings

About one in 10 children (9.9 per cent) had a half-sibling living with them at age three. The proportion was lowest in Northern Ireland (3.4 per cent) and highest in Wales (11.9 per cent). Children living with lone natural mothers and cohabiting natural parents were much more likely to be living with a half-sibling than children who were living with married natural parents; 16.4 per cent compared with 6.1 per cent (Tables 3.14 and 3.15).

		Country at MCS2									
Half-siblings	England	Wales	Scotland	Northern	UK						
				Ireland							
	%	%	%	%	%						
Half-siblings in household	10.2	11.9	8.5	3.4	9.9						
No half-siblings in household	89.8	88.1	91.5	96.6	90.1						
Base (weighted)	8,747	2,208	1,785	1,474	15,895						
Base (unweighted)	9,987	2,222	1,795	1,444	15,448						

Table 3.14 Half-siblings in household by country

Chi square: 92.46, p=0.0000

Base: All families who were interviewed at MCS2 in which the main respondent was interviewed.

Table 3.15	
Half-siblings in household by family ty	ре

	Family type								
Half-siblings	Married	Cohabiting	Natural parents	Lone	Other	Total			
_	natural	natural	other/unknown	natural					
	parents	parents	relationship	mother					
	%	%	%	%	%	%			
Half-siblings in									
household	6.1	16.4	7.9	16.4	30.2	9.9			
No half-siblings in									
household	93.9	83.6	92.1	83.6	69.8	90.1			
Base (weighted)									
	10,084	2,374	645	2,320	472	15,895			
Base (unweighted)	9,423	2,342	640	2,575	468	15,448			

Chi square: 586.74, p=0.0000

Base: All families who were interviewed at MCS2 in which the main respondent was interviewed.

Grandparents

In a few families the grandparents were the guardians of the cohort child, as the child's parents were not present.

Overall, 3.8 per cent of children were living with at least one of their grandparents (Table 3.16). The proportion was highest in Northern Ireland (4.5 per cent) and lowest in Wales and Scotland (3 per cent). These differences were statistically significant at the 6 per cent level.

	Country at MCS2							
	England	Wales	Scotland	Northern Ireland	UK			
	%	%	%	%	%			
Grandparents in household	3.8	3.0	3.0	4.5	3.8			
No grandparents in household	96.2	97.0	97.0	95.5	96.2			
Base (weighted)	8,747	2,208	1,785	1,474	15,895			
Base (unweighted)	9,987	2,222	1,795	1,444	15,448			

 Table 3.16

 Grandparents in the household by country

Chi square: 9.9647, p=0.0557

Base: All families who were interviewed at MCS2 in which the main respondent was interviewed.

Children of younger mothers were more likely to be living with their grandparents (Table 3.17). Nearly 10 per cent of children with mothers aged 16 to 24 and 6 per

cent of children with mothers aged 25 to 29 were living with their grandparents. This compares to around 1.5 per cent of those with mothers aged 35 to 39 and 40 and over.

	16-24	25-29	30-34	35-39	40+	Total
	%	%	%	%	%	%
Grandparents in household	9.6	6.0	2.3	1.6	1.4	3.5
No grandparents in household	90.4	94.0	97.7	98.4	98.6	96.5
Base (weighted)	1,860	2,740	5,155	4,395	1,534	15,683
Base (unweighted)	2,151	2,997	4,824	3,875	1,372	15,219

 Table 3.17

 Grandparents in household by mother's age at MCS2 interview

Chi square: 332.11, p=0.0000

Base: All families who were interviewed at MCS2 in which the main respondent was interviewed and in which the main respondent was a mother (any kind of mother) for whom age was known.

Table 3.18 shows variation by ethnicity. Indian (27.5 per cent), Pakistani (18.9 per cent) and Bangladeshi (17.3 per cent) children were the most likely to be living with their grandparents. White children were the least likely to live with grandparents (2.5 per cent).

Contact with grandparents not living in the household is discussed in Chapter 4.

	White	Mixed	Indian	Pakistani	Bangladeshi	Black Caribbean	Black African	Other ethnic group	Total
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Grandparents in				18.9					
household	2.5	5.0	27.5		17.3	4.8	3.1	6.6	3.7
No grandparents									
in household	97.5	95.0	72.5	81.1	82.7	95.2	96.9	93.4	96.3
Base (weighted)	14,070	468	283	436	128	132	199	189	15,808
Base									
(unweighted)	12,894	446	398	728	278	179	284	260	15,368

 Table 3.18

 Grandparents in household by child's ethnic group

Chi square: 845.33, p=0.0000

Base: All families who were interviewed at MCS2 in which the main respondent was interviewed and in which the cohort child's ethnic group was known.

Non-resident parents

The household grid collects information about which parents were living with the child at three years. Parents who are temporarily away, perhaps working away or abroad, are treated as living with the child. If the child was not living with both of his or her natural parents, additional questions were asked of the main respondent (who was usually the natural mother) about contact with the non-resident parent and maintenance payments received from the non-resident parent. At sweep 2, the questions about maintenance payments were asked only if the non-resident parent was in contact.

Almost all non-resident natural parents were natural fathers (rather than natural mothers), so this section looks at non-resident natural fathers only. The natural mother was non-resident in 0.9 per cent of families at sweep 2.

Almost all families in which there was a non-resident natural father were lone naturalmother families. However, it could be that the natural mother had found another partner and the child was now living with a stepfather. For this reason this section begins by looking at some correlates of not having a natural father living in the household. It also looks longitudinally at changes in household composition since sweep 1², revealing what proportion of natural fathers who were non-resident at sweep 1 had moved into the household at sweep 2 and the factors associated with making this transition. Finally, the section looks at non-resident parents' contact with their three-year-olds and (for those non-resident parents who were in contact with their children) at the maintenance payments they made.

Natural father in household

The proportion of children not living with their natural father increased from 14.1 per cent at sweep 1 to 17.5 per cent at sweep 2. This increase was observed in all countries except Northern Ireland, where the proportion fell from 16.7 per cent to 15.6 per cent, as shown in Table 3.19.

A greater proportion of children in England (17.8 per cent) and Wales (19.2 per cent) were not living with their natural father at age three than in Scotland (15.4 per cent) and Northern Ireland (15.6 per cent). Children of younger mothers were most likely to be living apart from their natural father: around 50 per cent of children with mothers aged 16 to 24 and 23.1 per cent of children with mothers aged 25 to 29 did not live with their natural father (Table 3.20).

Variations also exist by ethnicity, with 31.8 per cent of Mixed children, 46.9 per cent of Black Caribbean and 32.5 per cent of Black African children living apart from their natural father compared to only 5 per cent of Indian, 9 per cent of Pakistani and 7 per cent of Bangladeshi children (Table 3.21).

			Naturaria			y country	y			
	Country at MCS1					Country at MCS2				
Natural father in household	England	Wales	Scotland	Northern Ireland	UK	England	Wales	Scotland	Northern Ireland	UK
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Natural father in household	86.4	81.9	85.4	83.3	85.9	82.2	80.8	84.6	84.4	82.5
Natural father not in household	13.6	18 1	14.6	16.7	14 1	17.8	19.2	15.4	15.6	17 5
Base	10.0	10.1	14.0	10.7	14.1	17.0	10.2	10.4	10.0	17.5
(weighted)	9,880	2,726	2,302	1,931	18,392	8,841	2,218	1,789	1,480	16,027
Base (unweighted)	11,533	2,760	2,336	1,923	18,552	10,107	2,233	1,800	1,450	15,590

 Table 3.19

 Natural father in household by country

MCS1 Chi square: 44.58, p=0.0007 Base: All families interviewed at MCS1 MCS2 Chi square: 15.7829, p=0.0563 Base: All families interviewed at MCS2

² In a very few families the natural father had died. These families are not excluded from this analysis.

 Table 3.20

 Natural father in household by mother's age at MCS2 interview

Natural father in	16-24	25-29	30-34	35-39	40+	Total
household						
	%	%	%	%	%	%
Natural father in household	49.7	76.9	88.7	90.9	90.2	82.8
Natural father not in						
household	50.3	23.1	11.3	9.1	9.8	17.2
Base (weighted)	1,864	2,741	5,161	4,399	1,536	15,701
Base (unweighted)	2.155	3.000	4.830	3.877	1.373	15.235

Chi square: 1834.40, p=0.0000

Base: All families who were interviewed at MCS2 in which the main respondent was a mother (any kind of mother) for whom age was known.

	White	Mixed	Indian	Pakistani	Bangladeshi	Black Caribbean	Black African	Other ethnic group	Total
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Natural father in									
household	83.2	68.2	95.0	91.0	93.3	53.1	67.5	80.9	82.7
Natural father not in									
household	16.8	31.8	5.0	9.0	6.7	46.9	32.5	19.1	17.3
Base (weighted)	14,070	472	285	440	129	132	204	189	15,921
Base (unweighted)	12,894	449	401	734	282	180	289	260	15,489

Table 3.21Natural father in household by child's ethnic group

Chi square: 240.52, p=0.0000

Base: All families who were interviewed at MCS2 in which the cohort child's ethnic group was known.

Transition from non-resident to resident natural father

Overall, 19.7 per cent of natural fathers who were not living with their child at sweep 1 had moved into the household with their child by sweep 2 (Table 3.22).

	Country at MCS2						
Change in natural father in household	England	Wales	Scotland	Northern Ireland	UK		
	%	%	%	%	%		
Non-resident at MCS1, resident at MCS2	18.5	20.9	25.4	27.9	19.7		
Non-resident at MCS1 and MCS2	81.5	79.1	74.6	72.1	80.3		
Base (weighted)	975	355	228	227	1,855		
Base (unweighted)	1,337	440	255	266	2,298		

 Table 3.22

 Transition from non-resident to resident natural father by country

Chi square: 16.58, p=0.0019

Base=All families interviewed at MCS1 and MCS2 in which the natural father was non-resident at MCS1.

Natural fathers non-resident at sweep 1 were most likely to have moved into the household by sweep 2 in Northern Ireland (27.9 per cent), followed by Scotland (25.4 per cent), Wales (20.9 per cent) and England (18.5 per cent). There were some differences by the mother's age (Table 3.23). Younger mothers and older mothers

were more likely to have moved in with a natural father who was non-resident at sweep 1 – though these differences were not quite statistically significant.

	Mother's age at MCS2 interview (grouped)						
Change in natural father in	16-24	25-29	30-34	35-39	40+	Total	
household							
	%	%	%	%	%	%	
Non-resident at MCS1,							
resident at MCS2	19.5	24.2	17.5	16.0	22.5	19.9	
Non-resident at MCS1 and							
MCS2	80.5	75.8	82.5	84.0	77.5	80.1	
Base (weighted)	692	419	356	253	103	1,823	
Base (unweighted)	881	543	414	297	126	2,261	

Table 3.23 Transition from non-resident to resident natural father by mother's age at MCS2 interview

Chi square: 11.07, p=0.0575

Base: All families interviewed at MCS1 and MCS2 in which the natural father was non-resident at MCS1 and the main respondent at MCS2 was a mother (any kind of mother) for whom age was known.

The sweep 1 interview with the main respondent included questions about the nonresident parent. This information can be used longitudinally to look at the characteristics of natural fathers who made the transition from non-residence to residence between sweep 1 and sweep 2. This section looks at three measures from sweep 1; the prior relationship between the parents, frequency of contact between the non-resident father and the child and whether or not the non-resident father paid maintenance.

Those families in which the resident natural mother and non-resident natural father were in a relationship at sweep 1 – either 'closely involved' or 'just friends' – but had not previously lived together were the most likely to have moved in with each other by sweep 2 (24.6 per cent). If the natural mother was 'not in a relationship' with the non-resident natural father at sweep 1, they were unlikely to be living together by sweep 2. Indeed, only 7.5 per cent had done so. Of families in which the natural parents had previously lived together or were previously married, 22 per cent had moved in together since sweep 1 (Table 3.24).

 Table 3.24

 Transition from non-resident to resident natural father by prior relationship from MCS1

Change in natural	Previously married/	In a	Not in a	Total
father in household	lived together	relationship	relationship	
	%	%	%	%
Non-resident at MCS1,				
resident at MCS2	22.0	24.6	7.5	19.8
Non-resident at MCS1				
and MCS2	78.0	75.4	92.5	80.2
Base (weighted)	809	626	389	1,825
Base (unweighted)	945	829	481	2,255

Chi square: 60.32, p=0.0000

Base: All families interviewed at MCS1 and MCS2 in which the natural father was non-resident at MCS1 and the prior relationship was known from MCS1 main interview.

Over a third (35.4 per cent) of non-resident natural fathers who were in contact with their child three or more times a week at nine months had moved into their child's

household by the time it was aged three. Around 18 per cent of those in less frequent contact (weekly or less often) and only 8.6 per cent of those not in any contact had moved into their child's household at age three (Table 3.25).

 Table 3.25

 Transition from non-resident to resident natural father by contact patterns from MCS1

	Contact patterns from MCS1						
Change in natural	Frequent contact (Three	Less frequent	Not in any	Total			
father in household	or more times a week)	contact (weekly	contact				
		or less often)					
	%	%	%	%			
Non-resident at MCS1,							
resident at MCS2	35.4	17.7	8.6	19.8			
Non-resident at MCS1 &							
MCS2	64.6	82.3	91.4	80.2			
Base (weighted)	563	601	666	1,830			
Base (unweighted)	727	687	849	2,263			

Chi square: 174.88, p=0.0000

Base: All families interviewed at MCS1 and MCS2 in which the natural father was non-resident at MCS1 and contact patterns was known from MCS1 main interview.

Making maintenance payments at nine months was also related to moving in by age three. Around 32 per cent of those making regular maintenance payments for their child at nine months had moved in with their child by age three, compared with 22.1 per cent of those making irregular maintenance payments and 15.1 per cent of those making no maintenance payments (Table 3.26).

 Table 3.26

 Transition from non-resident to resident natural father by maintenance payments from MCS1

Change in natural father in household	Regular maintenance payments	Irregular maintenance payments	No maintenance payments	Total	
	%	%	%	%	
Non-resident at MCS1,					
Resident at MCS2	31.7	22.1	15.1	19.8	
Non-resident at MCS1 & MCS2	68.3	77.9	84.9	80.2	
Base (weighted)	442	186	1,197	1,825	
Base (unweighted)	531	235	1,493	2,259	

Chi square: 69.60, p=0.0000

Base: All families interviewed at MCS1 and MCS2 in which the natural father was non-resident at MCS1 and maintenance payments was known from MCS1 main interview.

Contact and maintenance payments

Table 3.27 shows that at sweep 2, 23.2 per cent of non-resident parents were in frequent contact with their child (seeing them three or more times a week), 43.7 per cent were in less frequent contact (seeing them weekly or less often) and 33.1 per cent were not in any contact. Just over half of non-resident parents (who were in contact) made maintenance payments; 43.6 per cent did so regularly and 11.9 per cent irregularly.

Contact patterns varied by country. The highest proportion of non-resident fathers who were in contact with their child three or more times a week was in Northern Ireland (37.9 per cent) and the highest proportion of non-resident fathers with no contact was in Wales (37.9 per cent).

Table 3.27 Contact with non-resident natural father and maintenance payments by non-resident natural father by country

	Country at MCS2				
Contact and maintenance payments	England	Wales	Scotland	Northern	UK
				Ireland	
	%	%	%	%	%
Frequent contact (three or more times a week)	22.4	25.4	24.2	37.9	23.2
Less frequent contact (weekly or less often)	44.9	36.8	42.1	29.0	43.7
No contact	32.7	37.9	33.7	33.1	33.1
Base (weighted)	1,294	419	271	227	2,393
Base (unweighted)	1,634	495	296	259	2,684
Regular maintenance payments	43.6	41.6	45.7	44.3	43.6
Irregular maintenance payments	12.0	11.3	9.6	11.3	11.9
No maintenance payments	44.4	47.1	44.7	44.4	44.5
Base (weighted)	865	255	179	151	1,589
Base (unweighted)	1,074	307	193	173	1,747

Contact Chi square: 43.29, p=0.0000 Maintenance Chi square: 1.8211, p=0.9512 Base: All families interviewed at MCS2 (except new families) in which the natural father was non-resident and contact

patterns/maintenance payments were known from main interview.

Contact patterns and maintenance varied with the mother's age. Younger mothers were less likely to be in contact with the non-resident parent. More than four in 10 (42.3 per cent) of mothers aged 16 to 24 were not in any contact with the non-resident father. Mothers in their thirties were the most likely to receive regular maintenance payments from the non-resident father; around half compared with four in 10 in other age groups (Table 3.28).

Table 3.28
Contact with non-resident natural father and maintenance payments by non-resident
natural father by mother's age

Contact and maintenance payments	16-24	25-29	30-34	35-39	40+	Total
	%	%	%	%	%	%
Frequent contact (three or more times a week)	23.5	21.7	24.5	21.8	27.7	23.3
Less frequent contact (weekly or less often)	34.2	48.3	47.7	49.8	48.1	43.6
Not in any contact	42.3	30.0	27.8	28.3	24.1	33.1
Base (weighted)	807	587	514	357	125	2,389
Base (unweighted)	952	661	543	380	143	2,679
Regular maintenance payments	39.9	38.8	50.8	49.4	39.0	43.6
Irregular maintenance payments	10.6	12.7	12.0	12.3	14.1	11.9
No maintenance payments	49.6	48.5	37.2	38.3	46.9	44.5
Base (weighted)	463	409	368	254	92	2,587
Base (unweighted)	541	453	381	261	109	1,745

Contact Chi square: 66.55, p=0.0000 Maintenance Chi square: 24.87, p=0.0202

Base: All families interviewed at MCS2 (except new families) in which the natural father was non-resident and contact patterns/maintenance payments were known from main interview and in which main respondent was a mother (any kind of mother) for whom age was known.

Contact and maintenance payments	16-24	25-29	30-34	35-39	40+	Total
	%	%	%	%	%	%
Frequent contact (three or more times a						
week)	23.5	21.7	24.5	21.8	27.7	23.3
Less frequent contact (weekly or less						
often)	34.2	48.3	47.7	49.8	48.1	43.6
Not in any contact	42.3	30.0	27.8	28.3	24.1	33.1
Base (weighted)	807	587	514	357	125	2,389
Base (unweighted)	952	661	543	380	143	2,679
Regular maintenance payments	39.9	38.8	50.8	49.4	39.0	43.6
Irregular maintenance payments	10.6	12.7	12.0	12.3	14.1	11.9
No maintenance payments	49.6	48.5	37.2	38.3	46.9	44.5
Base (weighted)	463	409	368	254	92	2,587
Base (unweighted)	541	453	381	261	109	1,745

 Table 3.28

 Contact with non-resident natural father and maintenance payments by non-resident natural father by mother's age

Contact Chi square: 66.55, p=0.0000 Maintenance Chi square: 24.87, p=0.0202

Base: All families interviewed at MCS2 (except new families) in which the natural father was non-resident and contact patterns/maintenance payments were known from main interview and in which main respondent was a mother (any kind of mother) for whom age was known.

Contact patterns and maintenance payments also varied depending on whether the father had become non-resident since sweep 1 or not (Table 3.29). About 83 per cent of non-resident fathers at sweep 2 who were living with their child at sweep 1 were still in contact with their child at age three compared with about 56 per cent of non-resident fathers at sweep 2 who were also non-resident at sweep 1. Of non-resident fathers who were in contact, those who had left the household since sweep 1 were also slightly more likely to pay maintenance – around six in 10 compared with about five in 10 for those who were also non-resident at sweep 1.

Table 3.29

Contact with non-resident natural father and maintenance payments by non-resident
natural father by whether natural father previously resident

Contact and maintenance payments	Non-resident natural father in HH at MCS1	Non-resident natural father not in HH at MCS1	Total
	%	%	%
Frequent contact (three or more			
times a week)	26.6	21.0	23.2
Less frequent contact (weekly or			
less often)	56.5	35.4	43.7
No contact	16.9	43.6	33.1
Base (weighted)	937	1,456	2,393
Base (unweighted)	928	1,756	2,684
Regular maintenance payments	49.7	37.8	43.6
Irregular maintenance payments	9.4	14.3	11.9
No maintenance payments	41.0	47.9	44.5
Base (weighted)	768	821	1,589
Base (unweighted)	746	1,001	1,747

Contact Chi square: 211.57, p=0.0000 Maintenance Chi square 27.68, p=0.0001

Base: All families interviewed at MCS2 (except new families) in which the natural father was non-resident and contact patterns/maintenance payments were known from main interview.

Conclusion

This chapter presents a picture of both stability and change among the families to which the cohort child belonged. At the age three survey, slightly more than a quarter of the children had gained a younger sibling, and there had been comings and going among the parents with whom they live. Around 6 per cent of families interviewed at both surveys had lost one of two parents present the first time, and 3 per cent had gained a second parent. Well over half the 'new' parents were the child's natural father who had not been resident at sweep 1. Among natural fathers who were not living with the child at sweep 2, two-thirds were reported to be in some form of contact; conversely, one-third had no contact. There are also signs of stabilisation in family life. The proportion of couples that were legally married had gone up, and the vast majority of the cohort families still comprised two natural parents.

Chapter 4

THE GRANDPARENTS: WHAT IS THEIR ROLE IN THE FAMILY?

Denise Hawkes and Heather Joshi

Introduction

Grandparents often provide vital financial, emotional and childcare support for their families. They also shape a child's identity and upbringing, even though, as in the case of the MCS children, they seldom live at the same address. The grandparents' characteristics are likely to have influenced not only the cohort members' parents, but the children studied. This chapter provides basic information on the grandparents and offers evidence on some of their roles within the family.

Grandparents in the cohort families

Table 4.1 presents the proportions of main and partner respondents with two living parents at sweep 2. The cohort child's mother is usually the main respondent and the father is usually the partner respondent. The table conforms to expectations in two ways. First, both respondents are more likely to have lost their father than their mother. Second, the main respondent is more likely to report each of their parents as living than the partner respondent. This reflects the older age on average of the partner respondent. Main respondents in Northern Ireland are significantly less likely to have a father living than those elsewhere. In addition, partner respondents in Scotland and Northern Ireland are less likely than those in England and Wales to report both parents alive.

reicentage of respondents with living parents					
	Main respondent	Partner respondent			
Mother alive	90.4	73.5			
	[89.9-90.9]	[72.3-74.7]			
Father alive	79.2	63.2			
	[78.3-80.1]	[61.8-64.5]			
Observations	15,590	12,856			

 Table 4.1

 Percentage of respondents with living parents

Note: Those reporting their parent as dead at MCS1 are accounted for here. Percentages are weighted (using weight 2) and observations are unweighted. Confidence intervals for each cell percentage are presented. This table contains all main respondents and partner respondents.

Table 4.2 reports the percentage of living grandparents by main respondents' ethnicity for those in a two-parent family at sweep 2. For 96 per cent of the two-parent families, both the main and the partner respondents have the same ethnicity. These families almost all have at least one grandparent living. For two-parent families, all of the minority ethnic groups report significantly fewer living grandparents. Only among White respondents do more than half of the families have four living grandparents.

Table 4.3 reports the number of living grandparents by main respondent ethnicity for those in a one-parent family at sweep 2. Once again, almost all have at least one parent living, except for a larger proportion within the Black group reporting none. All of the minority ethnic groups have significantly fewer living grandparents and the majority of non-White families live in England.

	White	Indian	Pakistani/	Black	Other	Total
			Bangladeshi			
	%	%	%	%	%	%
0	0.1	1.3	1.3	3.5	3.5	0.8
1	3.8	3.9	7.8	12.7	5.7	4.1
2	10.6	13.9	17.5	15.9	20.9	11.2
3	26.8	33.6	33.4	31.2	32.9	27.4
4	58.2	47.3	40.1	36.7	37.0	56.5
Observations	10,561	354	859	260	304	12,338

 Table 4.2

 Percentage of living grandparents by main respondent ethnicity for couples at sweep 2

Note: chi square (16)=226.08, p<0.01. This table contains all main respondents and partner respondents including some evidence from MCS1 where it was missing at MCS2. The cohort child's mother is usually the main respondent. Those reporting a parent as dead at MCS1 are accounted for here. Percentages are weighted (using weight 2) and observations are unweighted. Ethnicity is that of the main respondent. 'Black includes all Black groups' Those who did not respond to the appropriate question in the questionnaire are excluded from the table. New families are excluded.

Table 4.3 Percentage of living grandparents by main respondent ethnicity for lone parents at sweep 2

	White	Pakistani/	Black	Other	Total
		Bangladeshi			
	%	%	%	%	%
0	2.6	4.0	10.1	5.3	0.8
1	16.3	36.2	29.9	21.9	4.1
2	81.2	59.9	60.0	72.8	11.2
Observations	2,117	85	197	73	2,498

Note: chi square (8)=72.41, p<0.01. The column for Indian lone mothers has been removed due to the very small sample size. This table contains all main respondents and partner respondents. The cohort child's mother is usually the main respondent. Those reporting their parent as dead at MCS1 are accounted for here. Percentages are weighted (using weight 2) and observations are unweighted. Ethnicity is that of the main respondent. New families are excluded.

Grandparent contact

As reported in Chapter 3, just under 4 per cent of the families included resident grandparents, but although they did not live under the same roof, in many cases the two generations of parents saw a lot of each other. For example, 65 per cent of mothers whose own mothers were alive saw them at least weekly and one-fifth saw them daily. Table 4.4 considers the frequency of contact between the respondents and their parents. We observe that both main and partner respondents have more frequent contact with their mother than their father. In addition, main respondents tend to see their parents more often than partner respondents. The main respondents are mostly women, which may explain why they tend to have more frequent contact than partner respondents, who are mainly men.

	Main res	spondent	Partner re	espondent
Contact with	Mother	Father	Mother	Father
	%	%	%	%
MCS2				
Daily contact	20.5	11.9	6.8	7.1
	[19.2-21.9]	[11.0-12.9]	[6.0-7.7]	[6.3-8.0]
Weekly contact	44.8	39.5	43.5	37.5
	[43.1-46.6	[37.6-41.5]	[41.1-45.9]	[35.3-39.8]
Monthly contact	26.0	31.8	39.6	39.3
	[24.1-27.9]	[29.9-33.9]	[37.2-42.0]	[37.0-41.7]
Yearly or less	6.6	9.5	7.9	9.8
	[5.8-7.5]	[8.7-10.4]	[6.9-8.9]	[8.7-10.9]
Never contact	2.1	7.2	2.3	6.3
	[1.8-2.3]	[6.7-7.8]	[2.0-2.7]	[5.7-7.0]
Observations	13,997	12,139	9,098	7,722

Table 4.4
Contact between respondents and their parents, per cent

Notes: Daily includes those living with their own parent; this table is constructed for those with a living grandparent only. The main respondents include lone parents and those with partner respondents. Percentages are weighted (using weight 2) and observations are unweighted. Confidence intervals for each cell percentage are presented

Childcare and financial support from grandparents

Table 4.5 describes by partnership status the proportion of families with at least one grandparent undertaking childcare and providing financial help. Around one in four families receives some form of childcare from at least one grandparent and almost all families report some financial help: nine out of 10 couple families and eight out of 10 lone parents. Couples may receive more help of this kind than lone parents because they often have the support of two sets of grandparents rather than one.

Childcare and financial si	upport provided by at least one grandparent, per cent
	At least one grandparent undertaking
Any type of child care	
Couples	26.1
Lone parent	23.5
Total	25.7
Observations	15,590
Financial help	
Couples	90.1
Lone parent	79.5
Total	88.5
Observations	15,406

Table 4.5 Childcare and financial support provided by at least one grandparent, per cent

Note: Child care: chi square (1)=7.32, p=0.037

Financial help: chi square (1)=220.79, p<0.01

Childcare here refers to any type of childcare undertaken since MCS1.

Financial support consists of: buying essentials for the baby, paying for other household costs, buying gifts and extras for the baby, paying for childcare and other financial help.

Percentages are weighted (using weight 2) and observations are unweighted.

Childcare data have been collected during both sweeps of the MCS. Sweep 1 asked which grandparent was involved, and this was most often the maternal grandmother. Of those using a maternal grandmother as the sole grandparent for childcare at sweep 1, 54.2 per cent were still using such care at sweep 2. However, for those

using a mixture of grandparents, maybe but not necessarily including the maternal grandmother, at sweep 1, 46.6 per cent were still using grandparent care at sweep 2. Finally, of those who were not using grandparent care at MCS1, 7 per cent were using it at sweep 2 (table not shown). So we have an impression of substantial but not complete continuity of childcare involving the maternal grandmother, but also of children 'graduating' to more formal types of childcare between their first and fourth years.

cognitive scores at age timee								
		BAS Nar	ning Voo	abulary	Bracken School Readiness			
Main form of child	Percent	Mean	95% co	nfidence	Mean	95% cor	nfidence	
care at nine months			inte	rval		inte	rval	
	100.0	78.3	77.7	78.9	108.9	108.1	109.7	
Formal group care	22.8	79.0	77.9	80.0	113.2	112.0	114.4	
Other formal care	17.3	79.4	78.2	80.6	110.1	108.7	111.6	
Grandparent	35.4	78.8	78.0	79.7	107.8	106.9	108.7	
Partner	19.8	76.4	75.3	77.6	105.7	104.5	106.9	
Other informal	4.7	74.4	72.4	76.3	105.5	103.5	107.5	
Observations	5,097	5,097	5,097	5,097	5,097	5,097	5,097	

 Table 4.6

 Childcare use by working mothers when the children are aged nine months, and child's cognitive scores at age three

Table 4.6 considers just those families with working mothers at nine months, and looks at outcomes for the children at age three. Grandparent was the most frequent form of childcare reported as the main arrangement by mothers who were then employed, accounting for just over one third of arrangements, with formal group care coming second (23 per cent), and partners third (20 per cent). Other kinds of non–group formal care, such as childminders and nannies, were almost as common (17 per cent) and a small proportion of mothers had made other informal arrangements (5 per cent). Many mothers prefer to leave their baby with a grandparent for reasons of trust, convenience, not to mention cost, but the official cash help associated with childcare credit was not available to compensate care by relatives, in part because of a belief that properly regulated group facilities provided higher standards of care.

If formal care is indeed of a higher quality, we might expect the indicators of cognitive development collected at age three to show some variation by the sort of care received at nine months. Although the simple two-way tabulation of the two cognitive scores shown in Table 4.6 does not prove causation, and does not control for other factors which may be associated with type of childcare used and cognitive outcomes (for example, parents' education), we can see that children who had been in formal group care settings did have higher than average cognitive scores at three. Interestingly, children who had been looked after by grandparents had, on average, almost as high a vocabulary score as those who had attended either type of formal care. They were clearly ahead of children who had been involved in other informal care arrangements, such as those looked after by their father.

For the school readiness score (based on children's understanding of concepts such as colours, letters, numbers/counting, sizes, comparisons and shapes), the group of children with grandparent care are a little further behind those who had been in formal care but still ahead of those with other informal care (Hansen and Hawkes, 2007). This pattern needs further investigation, but it does not immediately suggest that grandparent care of infants leads to any large developmental deficit. In fact, it indicates that it may be particularly beneficial for the acquisition of language.

Characteristics of the grandparents

Employment in the previous generation

At this sweep of the MCS, respondents were asked for the first time about their social origins, a topic held over from sweep 1, where there was not enough room on the guestionnaire. To establish whether a question about their parents' occupation would be relevant, they were asked whether their parents, the present-day grandparents, were working when the respondent was 14 and if so, what that work was. Table 4.7 presents percentages for the main and partner respondent. These reports mainly apply to the 1980s and 1990s. There is little difference between the proportions for the main and partner respondent regarding parental employment. However, we observe that most (around nine out of 10) of the respondents' fathers were working, compared to a significantly smaller proportion (two-thirds) of the respondents' mothers. The proportion of fathers is as expected from other data, such as the Labour Force Survey and the Census, on male employment in Britain in the 1980s and 1990s. But the rate for mothers is perhaps a little lower than one would expect from these sources. The shortfall might be because some respondents' mothers were not in the UK at the time: as Table 4.10 below shows, 15 per cent of respondents were not born in the UK.

reicentage of respondents whose parents were employed when they were 14						
	Main respondent	Partner respondent				
Child's grandmother worked	66.2	66.7				
	[64.8-67.6]	[65.3-68.2]				
Observations	15,263	10,316				
Child's grandfather worked	86.5	90.0				
	[85.6-87.3]	[89.3-90.8]				
Observations	14,899	10,104				

Table 4.7 Percentage of respondents whose parents were employed when they were 14

Note: Percentages are weighted (using weight 2) and observations are unweighted. Confidence intervals for each cell percentage are presented. This includes all main and partner respondents who report their parents' employment. Lone parents are included.

Table 4.8 presents these same proportions for the main and partner respondent by country of residence at sweep 2. For all the grandparents, there was least employment reported for those in Northern Ireland.

	England	Wales	Scotland	Northern	Chi square	UK	Confidence		
				Ireland	P value		interval		
Main respondent									
Child's grandmother	66.3	67.3	70.2	52.6	Chi square	66.2	[64.8-67.6]		
worked					(6) =156.26				
Observations	9,851	2,184	1,789	1,439	p<0.01	15,263			
Child's grandfather	86.8	84.7	87.3	81.6	Chi square	86.5	[85.6-87.3]		
worked					(6) =42.43				
Observations	9,851	2,126	1,766	1,426	p<0.01	14,899			
Partner respondent									
Child's grandmother	67.2	67.0	68.4	50.8	Chi square	66.7	[65.3-68.2]		
worked					(6) =126.70				
Observations	6,757	1,490	1,174	895	p<0.01	10,316			
Child's grandfather	90.3	88.2	89.9	88.2	Chi square	90.1	[89.3-90.8]		
worked					(6) =20.43				
Observations	6,598	1,456	1,158	892	p<0.01	10,104			

 Table 4.8

 Percentage of respondents whose parents were employed when they were 14

 by country at MCS2

Note: Percentages are weighted (using weight 1 for England, Wales, Scotland and Northern Ireland and weight 2 for UK) and observations are unweighted. This includes all main and partner respondents who report their parents' employment. Lone parents are included.

Social class

Table 4.9 presents the social class of the respondent's parents when he or she was 14, provided that the respondent's parent was employed. Once again the distribution across the social classes is similar for the main and the partner respondents. However, there are some larger differences between grandmothers and grandfathers. For example, the average percentage of employed grandfathers in managerial or professional jobs was 27 per cent, compared to 16 per cent of the employed grandmothers. At the other end of the scale, 31 per cent of grandfathers and 54 per cent of grandmothers had routine or semi-routine jobs. Looking across the generations, of all the natural mothers at MCS2, 30.1 per cent stayed in the same social class as their own mother, while 30 per cent of all the natural fathers at MCS2 stayed in the same social class as their own father. Further exploration of intergenerational social mobility is now possible, but outside the scope of the Initial Guide.

 Table 4.9

 Percentage of respondents' parents within each social class, based on their occupation when respondents were 14

	Natural mothers	Natural fathers				
Mothers' social class						
Managerial and professional	16.9	16.4				
	[16.0-17.9]	[15.2-17.8]				
Intermediate	19.3	19.7				
	[18.3- 20.3]	[18.5-21.0]				
Small employers and own-	8.4	8.9				
account workers	[7.6-9.4]	[8.0-10.0]				
Lower supervisory and	0.8	0.8				
technical	[0.6-1.1]	[0.6-1.0]				

Table 4.9 Percentage of respondents' parents within each social class, based on their occupation when respondents were 14

	Natural mothers	Natural fathers
Contd.	· · · · ·	
Semi-routine and routine	54.6	54.2
	[52.8-56.4]	[52.1-56.2]
Observations	9,295	6,317
Fathers' social class	· · · · ·	
Managerial and professional	27.6	27.2
	[25.9-29.4]	[25.5-29.4]
Intermediate	9.8	10.2
	[9.0-10.6]	[9.4-11.1]
Small employers and own-	18.1	17.4
account workers	[17.2-19.0]	[16.4-18.5]
Lower supervisory and	13.7	14.3
technical	[12.9-14.7]	[13.3-15.3]
Semi-routine and routine	31.0	30.9
	[29.2-32.4]	[29.1-32.7]
Observations	12,301	8,807

Notes: The data for this table are based on an approximation of the SOC codes to the NS-SEC. This table is based on those with employed parents when the respondent was 14. This table contains information on the natural parents of the cohort child. Other parents are excluded from the sample.

Percentages are weighted (using weight 2) and observations are unweighted. Confidence intervals for each cell percentage are presented.

Family born in UK

Table 4.10 considers the country of origin of the respondents and the grandparents. Once again, the differences between the main and partner respondents are rather small. The four main countries of origin for the grandparents born outside of the UK are Pakistan, India, Ireland and Bangladesh. The three top countries of origin for the main respondent born outside the UK are Pakistan, India and Bangladesh. Information on the country of origin for the partner respondent was not collected. The penultimate section of Table 4.10 reports a distribution of year of entry to the UK for the respondents if born outside the UK. Those arriving before 1990 are likely to have spent much of their childhood in the UK. The final section of Table 4.10 considers by country whether the respondent was born in the UK. As expected, England has significantly more respondents born outside the UK than the three Celtic countries.

Country of origin for respondents and their parents, per cent						
	Main respondent	Partner respondent				
Mother born in UK	84.4	84.9				
	[82.2-86.4]	[82.5-86.9]				
Observations	15,388	10,480				
Father born in UK	83.8	84.4				
	[81.5-85.9]	[82.0-86.5]				
Observations	15,150	10,480				
Respondent born in UK	89.7	90.2				
	[88.2-91.0]	[88.6-91.6]				
Observations	15,447	10,480				

 Table 4.10

 Country of origin for respondents and their parents, per cent

	Main respondent	Partner respondent
Contd.		
When respondent arrived (if not born in UK)		
1950-1969	7.7	13.0
	[6.2-9.5]	[10.9-16.0]
1970-1989	36.0	39.5
	[32.8-39.2]	[35.6-43.5]
1990-2005	56.3	47.5
	[52.9-59.7]	[43.4-51.6]
Observations	2,122	1,394
Respondent born in UK (by country)		
England	88.7	89.2
	[86.1-89.9]	[87.3-90.8]
Wales	96.3	96.8
	[95.1-97.2]	[95.4-97.7]
Scotland	96.5	95.9
	[95.5-97.3]	[94.3-97.1]
Northern Ireland	95.8	97.7
	[94.1-96.9]	[96.6-98.5]
	Chi square (3)=287.03	Chi square (3)=190.83
	p=0.0000	p=0.0000
Observations	15,447	10,480

 Table 4.10

 Country of origin for respondents and their parents, per cent

Notes: Percentages are weighted (using weight 2 for UK analysis and weight 1 for country analysis) and observations are unweighted. Confidence intervals for each cell percentage are presented.

Conclusion

Almost all of the children in the MCS cohort had at least one grandparent still alive at sweep 2, 90 per cent of the families had received financial support from grandparents, and one in four of the families had had some assistance with childcare from grandparents. The children of working mothers who had been looked after by a grandparent at nine months showed similar levels of linguistic development to those who had been in early formal childcare settings.

The grandparents themselves were very likely to be employed when the respondent was aged 14 (approximately 90 per cent of fathers and 65 per cent of mothers). These data will enable future analysts to study intergenerational social mobility with the MCS. Finally, more than 80 per cent of the grandparents were born in the UK, with the exceptions most likely to come from Pakistan, India, Ireland and Bangladesh.

Reference

K. Hansen and D. Hawkes (2006) "The relationship between childcare and cognitive outcomes for children of working mothers". Mimeo, Centre for Longitudinal Studies, Institute of Education.

Paper presented to American Economic Association.

Chapter 5

PARENTING

Kate Smith

Introduction

The Millennium Cohort Study provides a rich resource of data on different aspects of parenting, particularly in relation to fathers. Each resident parent was asked a wide range of questions on their activities with their children and their different parenting styles. This information gives a unique picture of what parents do with their children, but also how well they felt they were managing as parents. This chapter is based on the responses of 15,230 main respondents who were mothers (natural, step- or foster), of whom 12,652 were living with partners as a couple or married, and 2,578 were lone mothers. The responses from fathers come from 10,256 natural, step- or foster fathers who were all living in couples and who answered the questionnaire.

Time with child

Both mothers and fathers were asked whether they felt they had enough time with their child (Tables 5.1 and 5.2). Two-thirds of mothers said they had plenty of time, compared with only one in four fathers. Five per cent of mothers felt they had nowhere near enough time with their child. In a follow-up question, the majority (76 per cent) said this was due to working long hours.

Mothers' satisfaction with time spent with their child also varied by ethnicity and age. Half of the Black Caribbean mothers felt they had plenty of time, compared to around 86 per cent of Pakistani and Bangladeshi mothers, who, as is shown in Chapter 9, have very low rates of labour force participation. Mothers aged 35 or over were nearly twice as likely to report they had not quite enough or nowhere near enough time with their child (22 per cent) as those aged under 25 (11 per cent).

Mothers in families where both parents were employed or where the mother was the only parent in paid work were almost half as likely to report that they had plenty of time with their child (49 per cent and 45 per cent respectively) as mothers who were not in paid work (87 per cent). Mothers in the different UK countries showed no variation in how happy they were with the amount of time they had with their child.

		would be a					
	Plenty	Just	Not quite	Nowhere	Not sure	Total	Total
		enough	enough	near			
		_	_	enough			
	Percentage	Percentage	Percentage	Percentage	Percentage	Percentage	Unweighted
	(Obs)	(Obs)	(Obs)	(Obs)	(Obs)	(Obs)	Obs
	0/	0/	0/	0/	0/	0/	
	70 (CE)	70 (16)	70 (15)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	70	% (100)	14 500
All mothers	(65)	(10)	(15)	(4)	(<1)	(100)	14,509
by ethnic group							
White	65	16	15	5	<1	100	12,448
Mixed	72	16	7	5	0	100	128
Indian	63	17	17	4	0	100	366
Pakistani	86	7	5	1	<1	100	649
Bangladeshi	87	12	1	0	0	100	245
Black Caribbean	51	24	20	6	0	100	176
Black African	62	19	15	5	0	100	240
Other	68	16	14	1	0	100	257
Chi square	130.1563						
p value	(0.00)						
All mothers by	(66)	(15)	(14)	(4)	(<1)	(100)	15,186
age							
16-24	77	11	9	2	<1	100	2,145
25-29	70	14	12	3	<1	100	2,992
30-34	65	16	14	5	<1	100	4,815
35-39	61	18	17	5	<1	100	3,865
40+	62	16	18	5	<1	100	1,369
Chi square	207.894						· · ·
p value	(0.00)						
All mothers by							
couples'							
employment							
situation	(66)	(15)	(14)	(4)	(<1)	(100)	12,568
Two-earner							
household	49	22	22	7	<1	100	6,668
Mother only							
earner	45	22	25	7	0	100	308
Father only							
earner	87	8	5	1	<1	100	4,627
No-earner couple	88	7	4	1	<1	100	965
Chi square	1940.076						
p value	(<0.01)						

Table 5.1 Mothers' time with child at MCS2

Notes: Table includes all main respondents who were mothers answering the question who also had valid data on the control variables.

Unweighted observations, weighted percentages (using weight 2).

Fathers were much more likely than mothers to say they had nowhere near enough time with their child (16 per cent of fathers). This varied by country, with fathers in Northern Ireland less likely to say this than others (Table 5.2).

As with mothers, there were variations by ethnicity and age. More than half of Bangladeshi fathers (52 per cent) reported they had plenty of time with their child, compared to 23 per cent of White and Mixed fathers. As for fathers of differing ages, those under 25 were slightly more likely to report they had enough time with their child than those over 25.

Like mothers, fathers' satisfaction with the time spent with their child also varied by working status. More than half of fathers in paid work reported that they had not enough or nowhere near enough time with their child, compared to less than 10 per cent of those not in paid work.

	Plenty	Just	Not quite	Nowhere	Not sure	Total	Total
		enougn	enougn	enough			unweighted
	Percentage	Percentage	Percentage	Percentage	Percentage	Percentage	
	(Obs)	(Obs)	(Obs)	(Obs)	(Obs)	(Obs)	
All fathers in	Per cent	40.050					
couples by	(25)	(23)	(37)	(15)	(<1)	(100)	10,256
country				10		100	0 707
England	24	23	37	16	<1	100	6,707
Wales	29	22	35	14	0	100	1,488
Scotland	29	22	34	14	<1	100	1,169
Northern Ireland	24	28	39	9	0	100	892
Chi square	72.98						
p Value	(0.01)						
All fathers in		(00)				(100)	
couples by	(25)	(23)	(37)	(11)	(<1)	(100)	9,270
ethnic group				10		100	0.444
White	23	23	38	16	<1	100	8,114
Mixed	23	21	46	9	0	100	64
Indian	30	21	37	12	0	100	268
Pakistani	42	27	23	7	<1	100	350
Bangladeshi	52	22	21	4	1	100	107
Black Caribbean	30	24	33	12	1	100	82
Black African	25	32	35	8	0	100	103
Other	27	26	34	11	2	100	182
Chi square	123.73						
p value	(0.00)						
All fathers in	(25)	(23)	(37)	(11)	(<1)	100	10,204
couples by age							
16-24	35	28	26	10	1	100	387
25-29	28	24	31	17	<1	100	1,295
30-34	23	24	37	16	<1	100	2,953
35-39	22	22	40	16	<1	100	3,291
40+	28	22	36	14	<1	100	2,278
Chi square	120.25						
p value	(0.00)						
All fathers by							
couples'							
employment							
situation	(25)	(23)	(37)	(11)	(<1)	100	10,188
Two-earner family	21	24	40	16	<1	100	5,610
Mother only	78	14	6	2	0	100	258
earner							
Father only	20	23	39	18	<1	100	3,586
earner							
No-earner couple	80	12	6	2	1	100	752
Chi square	1399.50						
p value	(0.00)						

Table 5.2 Fathers' time with child at MCS2

Notes: Table includes all partner respondents who were fathers answering the question who also had valid data on the control variables.

Unweighted observations, weighted percentages (using weight 2 except country analysis which uses weight 1).

Family activities

Parents were asked about a range of activities they shared with their children (Tables 5.3 and 5.4). Mothers were asked how often they read to their child and whether the child had eaten with the family in the past week. They were also asked if they had managed to do something special for the child's third birthday and also whether they had been visited by or visited friends with similarly-aged children in the past week.

Most mothers read to their child daily (62 per cent) and almost all (92 per cent) read to them at least once a week.

Little variation in the frequency with which mothers in the different UK countries read to their child was observed.

Bangladeshi, Pakistani and Black African mothers were much less likely to read to their child daily than White mothers, but were just as likely to read at least once a week. This may reflect the cultural norm of storytelling rather than reading to children, or weaker levels of maternal literacy.

Mothers over 30 were more likely to read to their child daily than those under 30 (around two-thirds compared to around a half).

In households with neither parent in paid work, mothers were least likely to read daily compared to homes with at least one parent in paid work.

Almost all children had eaten with their family in the past week (99 per cent) and there was no variation in this across the different groups. This universality also applied to having done something special for the child's third birthday (97 per cent had a special event for their birthday) and whether they had socialised with families with other young children in the past week (93 per cent had visited or been visited by a family with similar-aged children).

		liele leaa			al age in			
	Every day	Several	Once or	Once or	Less	Never	Total	Total
		times a	twice a	twice a	often			unweighted
		week	week	month				obs
	Per cent	Per cent	Per cent	Per cent	Per cent	Per cent	Per cent	
	(Obs)	(Obs)	Obs)	(Obs)	Obs)	Obs)		
All mothers by								
ethnic group	(62)	(19)	(14)	(2)	(2)	(2)	100	14,541
White	64	19	12	2	1	1	100	12,473
Mixed	51	21	12	5	6	4	100	128
Indian	46	26	18	1	4	6	100	366
Pakistani	37	21	22	3	4	13	100	652
Bangladeshi	26	23	28	4	3	15	100	247
Black Caribbean	43	27	23	3	2	2	100	178
Black African	29	28	30	2	4	7	100	240
Other	48	20	18	3	2	10	100	257
Chi square	752.71							
p value	(0.00)							
All mothers by	(62)	(19)	(14)	(2)	(2)	(2)	100	15,671
age								
16-24	50	21	19	4	3	3	100	1,855
25-29	54	20	19	3	2	3	100	2,738
30-34	65	18	12	2	1	2	100	5,151
35-39	66	18	10	2	1	2	100	4,393

Table 5.3 Mothers reading with their child at age three

Model's reading with their child at age three								
	Every day Per cent	Several times a week Per cent	Once or twice a week Per cent	Once or twice a month Per cent	Less often Per cent	Never Per cent	Total Per cent	Total unweighted obs
	(Obs)	(Obs)	Obs)	(Obs)	Obs)	Obs)		
Contd.								
40+	65	19	11	2	1	2	100	1,534
Chi square	318.25							
p value	(0.00)							
All mothers by								
couples'								
employment	(62)	(19)	(14)	(2)	(2)	(2)	100	12,587
situation								
Two-earner family	67	19	11	2	1	1	100	6,666
Mother only	58	19	18	2	2	1	100	308
earner								
Father only earner	63	18	13	2	1	3	100	4,645
No-earner couple	39	20	23	6	4	9	100	968
Chi square	499.34							
p value	(0.00)							

Table 5.3 Mothers reading with their child at age three

Notes: Table includes all main respondents who were mothers answering the question on reading with child who also had valid data on the control variables.

Unweighted observations, weighted percentages (using weight 2 except country analysis which uses weight 1).

Fathers were also asked how often they read to their child. While they were far less likely than mothers to do this daily (23 per cent), 83 per cent managed to read at least once a week. Fathers were also asked how often they got their child ready for bed and a similar proportion (22 per cent) did so daily as they read to them, which might reflect the traditional practice of the 'bedtime story' (Table 5.4).

How often fathers in the different UK countries read to their child showed little variation. However, 7 per cent of fathers in Wales never did so, compared to 3 per cent of fathers in Scotland.

Bangladeshi fathers were the least likely to read to their child daily (6 per cent) compared to 28 per cent of fathers from the Mixed ethnic group. Older fathers (over 30) were nearly twice as likely to read every day. Around 25 per cent of those over 30 read to their child daily, compared to 13 per cent of those under 25. The differences in daily reading by age for fathers were more marked than those for mothers.

Fathers in families where neither parent was in paid work were much less likely ever to read to their child than other fathers:15 per cent never read, which contrasts with just 3 per cent of fathers who were not in paid work, but where the mother was.

Fathers only were also asked how often they played with their child. Almost all of them did so daily (78 per cent), with 94 per cent playing at least a few times a week. There was very little variation in this pattern across different groups.

	Every day	Several times a	Once or twice a	Once or twice a	Less often	Never	Total	Total
		WEEK	WEEK	month				Unweighted Obs
	(Obs)	(Obs)	(Obs)	(Obs)	(Obs)	(Obs)		
All fathers in								
couples by country	(23)	(27)	(32)	(9)	(5)	(5)	100	10250
England	23	27	32	10	4	5	100	6702
Wales	22	23	33	11	4	7	100	1488
Scotland	26	29	32	7	5	3	100	1169
Northern Ireland	22	25	30	11	7	5	100	891
Chi square	70.16							
p value	(<0.01)							
All fathers in								
couples by ethnic	(23)	(27)	(32)	(9)	(5)	(5)	100	9269
group								
White	24	28	31	9	4	4	100	8113
Mixed	28	27	34	7	1	3	100	64
Indian	17	24	36	10	4	9	100	268
Pakistani	18	15	32	8	8	19	100	350
Bangladeshi	6	22	38	9	11	13	100	107
Black Caribbean	15	18	38	19	8	2	100	82
Black African	10	32	27	11	12	7	100	103
Other	17	28	34	9	5	8	100	182
Chi square	196.79							
p value	(0.00)							
All fathers in	(23)	(27)	(32)	(9)	(5)	(5)	100	10198
couples by age								
16-24	13	15	47	9	8	8	100	387
25-29	16	21	38	11	6	9	100	1295
30-34	24	28	29	9	4	5	100	2951
35-39	24	29	31	9	4	3	100	3289
40+	25	26	31	9	4	5	100	2276
Chi square	206.73							
p value	(0.00)							
All fathers by								
couples'	(23)	(27)	(32)	(9)	(5)	(5)	100	10230
employment								
situation								
Two-earner family	25	29	31	9	3	3	100	5608

 Table 5.4

 Percentage of fathers reading with the child at age three

	Every day	Several times a week	Once or twice a week	Once or twice a month	Less often	Never	Total	Total Unweighted Obs
	(Obs)	(Obs)	(Obs)	(Obs)	(Obs)	(Obs)		
All fathers in								
couples by country	(23)	(27)	(32)	(9)	(5)	(5)	100	10250
Contd.								
Mother only earner	35	29	27	5	3	3	100	258
Father only earner	21	25	33	11	5	6	100	3583
No-earner couple	15	19	31	9	11	15	100	781
Chi square	337.59							
p value	(0.00)							

Notes: Table includes all partner respondents who were fathers answering the question on reading with child who also had valid data on the control variables.

Unweighted observations, weighted percentages (using weight 2 except country analysis which uses weight 1).

Rules

Mothers were asked whether their family had many rules and if so, whether they were strictly enforced. Overall, 31 per cent reported that their family had lots of rules, 42 per cent did not have many and 27 per cent reported that it varied. Nearly half reported that their rules were strictly enforced (Tables 5.5 and 5.6).

Mothers in Scotland had the most rules (33 per cent reported having 'lots' and those in Northern Ireland had the least (25 per cent reporting 'lots of' rules). Mothers in Northern Ireland were also the least likely to report rules being strictly enforced, at 41 per cent compared with 50 per cent of mothers in England.

Bangladeshi mothers were far less likely than any other ethnic group to report that they had many rules, with only 17 per cent of Bangladeshi mothers compared to 39 per cent of Black Caribbean and Black African mothers reporting 'lots of' rules. Pakistani and Bangladeshi mothers were also least likely to say rules were strictly enforced.

The number of rules and how strictly these were enforced varied little according to mothers' age or a couple's employment situation.

[Lots of rules	Not many	Varies	Total	Total
		rules	Tunico -	. otu	unweighted
					obs
All mothers by					
country	(31)	(42)	(27)	(100)	15,220
England	31	43	26	(100)	9,801
Wales	30	43	27	(100)	2,200
Scotland	33	37	30	(100)	1,785
Northern Ireland	25	42	33	(100)	1,434
Chi square	58.60				
p value	(<0.001)				
All mothers by					
ethnic group	(31)	(42)	(27)	(100)	14,540
White	31	41	27	(100)	12,472
Mixed	32	39	29	(100)	128
Indian	26	46	29	(100)	366
Pakistani	22	57	21	(100)	652
Bangladeshi	17	60	23	(100)	247
Black Caribbean	39	35	25	(100)	178
Black African	36	39	24	(100)	240
Other	25	56	19	(100)	257
Chi square	80.74				
p value	(0.00)				

Table 5.5 Mothers' rules at MCS2, per cent

Notes: Table includes all main respondents who were mothers answering the question on rules with child who also had valid data on the control variables.

Unweighted observations, weighted percentages (using weight 2 except country analysis which uses weight 1).

	Strictly enforced	Not very strictly	Varies	Total percentage	Total unweighted
		enforced			obs
All mothers by					
country	(49)	(24)	(27)	(100)	15,220
					9,801
England	50	24	26	(100)	2,200
Wales	47	23	30	(100)	1,785
Scotland	46	25	29	(100)	1,434
Northern Ireland	41	25	35	(100)	
Chi square	37.0639				
p Value	(<0.001)				
All mothers by ethnic					
group	(49)	(24)	(27)	(100)	14,542
White	51	22	27	(100)	12,474
Mixed	45	27	28	(100)	128
Indian	43	34	23	(100)	366
Pakistani	28	49	23	(100)	652
Bangladeshi	28	51	21	(100)	247
Black Caribbean	54	22	24	(100)	178
Black African	46	23	31	(100)	240
Other	38	33	29	(100)	257
Chi square	235.94				
p value	(0.00)				

 Table 5.6

 Whether mother reports rules were strictly enforced at MCS2, per cent

Notes: Table includes all main respondents who were mothers answering the question on how strictly rules were enforced with child who also had valid data on the control variables.

Unweighted observations, weighted percentages (using weight 2 except country analysis which uses weight 1).

Parenting style

Both parents were also asked about their style of parenting. Overall, mothers were fairly evenly split between reporting 'firm discipline with lots of fun' (43 per cent) and 'doing the best for my child' (48 per cent). Fathers were more likely to report that their style was 'firm discipline with lots of fun' (54 per cent). See Table 5.7.

Variations by country and ethnicity were found. Mothers in Northern Ireland were more likely to report 'doing their best for their child' than those elsewhere (63 per cent compared to 47 per cent of mothers in England). Pakistani mothers (and those from unspecified 'other' minority ethnic groups) were less likely to report that their style involved 'firm discipline and lots of fun' (under 30 per cent each) compared to 49 per cent of Black Caribbean mothers. However, they were particularly likely to report 'doing the best for their child'.

Mothers' parenting style at MCS2, per cent										
	Firm rules and discipline	Lots of fun	Not really thought about it	Firm rules with fun	Doing my best	Total	Total unweighted obs			
All mothers by country	(2)	(5)	(2)	(42)	(50)	(100)	14,723			
England	2	5	2	44	47	(100)	9,350			
Wales	2	5	2	41	50	(100)	2,165			
Scotland	2	5	2	40	51	(100)	1,780			

Table 5.7 Mothers' parenting style at MCS2, per cent

			arching sty	c at 11002, p			
	Firm rules and discipline	Lots of fun	Not really thought about it	Firm rules with fun	Doing my best	Total	Total unweighted obs
Contd.	I						
Northern	2	3	1	31	63	(100)	1,428
Ireland							
Chi square	144.58						
p value	(0.001)						
All mothers							
by ethnic							
group	(2)	(5)	(1)	(42)	(50)	(100)	14,095
White	2	5	1	44	48	(100)	12,411
Mixed	1	4	2	42	48	(100)	118
Indian	2	4	3	43	48	(100)	293
Pakistani	5	6	4	28	57	(100)	536
Bangladeshi	6	9	3	34	48	(100)	179
Black	4	5	5	49	42	(100)	176
Caribbean							
Black African	8	3	<1	45	43	(100)	187
Other	3	7	2	29	58	(100)	195
Chi square	192.01						
p value	(0.001)						

Table 5.7 Mothers' parenting style at MCS2, per cent

Notes: Table includes all main respondents who were mothers and answered the question on their parenting style with child who also had valid data on the control variables.

Unweighted observations, weighted percentages (using weight 2 except country analysis which uses weight 1).

Unlike the mothers, there was little variation in fathers' parenting styles (Table 5.8) by country, age, or family employment status.

There was some variation, however, by fathers' ethnic group. Bangladeshi fathers were the least likely to report 'firm discipline with lots of fun' as a parenting style: only 30 per cent reported this, compared with 60 per cent of Black Caribbean fathers.

Fathers' parenting style at MCS2, per cent										
	Firm rules and discipline	Lots of fun	Not really thought about it	Firm rules with fun	Doing my best	Total	Total unweighted obs			
All fathers in couples by ethnicity	(3)	(7)	(4)	(54)	(33)	(100)	9,254			
White	2	7	4	54	32	(100)	8,108			
Mixed	3	6	3	65	24	(100)	64			
Indian	1	11	2	49	38	(100)	268			
Pakistani	8	11	1	38	42	(100)	347			
Bangladeshi	6	21	4	30	40	(100)	107			
Black Caribbean	4	7	2	60	28	(100)	81			
Black African	6	4	1	56	33	(100)	103			
Other	4	7	2	42	46	(100)	176			
Chi square	107.01									
p value	(<0.01)									

Table 5.8 athers' parenting style at MCS2, per ce

Notes: Table includes all partner respondents who were fathers and answered the question on their parenting style with child who also had valid data on the control variables

Unweighted observations, weighted percentages (using weight 2 except country analysis which uses weight 1).

Parenting competence

Both mothers and fathers were asked how they felt about being a parent. Overall, fathers were slightly more likely to think they were a very good parent than mothers (36 per cent compared to 31 per cent). About a third of parents thought they were better than average and another third thought they were average.

Bangladeshi and Black African mothers were much more likely to report that they were very good parents than those in other ethnic groups (65 per cent of Bangladeshi and 51 per cent of Black African mothers compared with just 30 per cent of White and Mixed ethnic group mothers). See Table 5.9.

Little variation was found in how parents felt about their abilities according to mothers' employment and family situation or which country they lived in. Mothers under 25, however, were twice as likely to report that they had some problems as those over 30 (5 per cent compared to 2 per cent).

	Not very good at being a parent	Has some problems	An average parent	Better than average	A very good parent	Can't say	Total	Total unweighted obs
All mothers by ethnicity	(<1)	(3)	(38)	(27)	(31)	(1)	(100)	12,574
White	<1	3	38	28	30	1	(100)	11,373
Mixed	0	3	40	24	30	3	(100)	102
Indian	<1	2	33	24	39	2	(100)	234
Pakistani	<1	2	33	26	38	2	(100)	343
Bangladeshi	0	1	18	14	65	3	(100)	89
Black	<1	3	33	20	42	1	(100)	157
Caribbean							, ,	
Black	0	2	30	16	51	2	(100)	138
African							, ,	
Other	0	7	21	28	43	2	(100)	138
Chi square	93.85							
p value	(0.001)							

Table 5.9 Mothers' parenting competence at MCS2, per cent

Notes: Table includes all main respondents who were mothers and answered the question on their parenting competence who also had valid data on the control variables.

Unweighted observations, weighted percentages (using weight 2 except country analysis which uses weight 1).

Overall, little variation in how different fathers felt about their parenting competence was discovered. However, as with mothers, Bangladeshi and Black African fathers were much more likely to report being very good fathers, with 55 per cent and 66 per cent reporting that they were a very good parent compared with around only 35 per cent of White fathers (Table 5.10).

	Not very good at being a parent	Has some problems	An average parent	Better than average	A very good parent	Can't say	Total	Total unweighted obs
	parent							
All fathers								
in couples	(1)	(3)	(28)	(32)	(36)	(1)	(100)	8,946
by ethnicity								
White	1	3	28	33	35	1	(100)	7,993
Mixed	2	7	21	31	34	6	(100)	61
Indian	1	3	18	34	43	1	(100)	241
Pakistani	1	2	26	22	47	2	(100)	272
Bangladeshi	1	0	17	27	55	0	(100)	64
Black Caribbean	1	3	17	27	50	2	(100)	75
Black African	2	0	10	26	63	0	(100)	84
Other	1	7	24	18	53	2	(100)	150
Chi square	85.29							
p value	(0.00)							

Table 5.10 Fathers' parenting competence at MCS2, per cent

Notes: Table includes all partner respondents who were fathers and answered the question on their parenting competence who also had valid data on the control variables.

Unweighted observations, weighted percentages (using weight 2 except country analysis which uses weight 1).

Regular bedtimes

Overall, around 80 per cent of mothers reported that the cohort child usually or always had a regular bedtime and only 7 per cent said they never or almost never had one. Mothers in Wales were nearly twice as likely as those in Scotland to report that their child never or almost never had a regular bedtime (9 per cent compared to 5 per cent respectively). See Table 5.11.

There was also some variation by ethnicity. This ranged from 17 per cent of Black Caribbean mothers reporting a regular bedtime always, compared to 44 per cent of White mothers.

Mothers in households where they were in paid work and their partner was not and those in families where neither parent was working were nearly twice as likely to report that their child never or almost never had a regular bedtime as mothers in couples where both parents worked.

Regularity of bedtimes at age three, per cent									
	Never	Sometimes	Usually	Always	Total	Total unweighted			
	(Obs)	(Obs)	(Obs)	(Obs)		obs			
All mothers by									
country	(7)	(12)	(38)	(43)	(100)	15,220			
England	7	13	38	43	(100)	9,802			
Wales	9	11	34	46	(100)	2,200			
Scotland	5	11	43	40	(100)	1,785			

Table 5.11 Regularity of bedtimes at age three, per cer

	Never	Sometimes	Usually (Obs)	Always	Total	Total unweighted
Contd	(003)	(003)	(003)	(003)		003
Northern Ireland	7	12	41	41	(100)	1 433
Chi Square	67.36				(100)	1,100
p value	(0,00)					
All mothers by	(0.00)					
ethnic group	(7)	(12)	(38)	(43)	(100)	14,541
White	7	11	39	44	(100)	12,473
Mixed	14	16	40	30	(100)	128
Indian	5	22	37	36	(100)	366
Pakistani	8	25	30	37	(100)	652
Bangladeshi	5	27	34	34	(100)	247
Black Caribbean	10	26	47	17	(100)	178
Black African	13	27	38	22	(100)	240
Other	8	26	40	27	(100)	257
Chi square	283.33					
p value	(0.00)					
All mothers by						
couple's						
employment						
situation	(7)	(12)	(38)	(43)	(100)	12,587
Two-earner	5	10	42	43	(100)	6,666
family						
Mother only	12	16	37	35	(100)	308
earner	_					
Father only	7	13	36	45	(100)	4,645
earner					(1.5.5)	
No-earner	13	20	31	36	(100)	968
couple	040.04					
Chi square	216.61					
P value	(0.00)					

 Table 5.11

 Regularity of bedtimes at age three, per cent

Notes: Table includes all main respondents who were mothers and answered the question on the child's regular bedtimes who also had valid data on the control variables

Unweighted observations, weighted percentages (using weight 2 except country analysis which uses weight 1).

Mealtimes

Almost all mothers reported that their child usually or always had regular mealtimes (92 per cent). As with bedtimes, the regularity of mealtimes varied by mothers' age. The youngest mothers were twice as likely to report that regular mealtimes only happened sometimes as those aged 30-34 (11 per cent compared to 5 per cent). See Table 5.12.

Mothers in Northern Ireland were most likely to report that their child always had regular meal times: 54 per cent, compared to 47 per cent in England.

Around one in four Black African mothers reported that their child always had a regular mealtime, compared to nearly half of Indian and White mothers.
	Never	Sometimes	Usually	Always	Total	Total
			-	-		unweighted
						obs
All mothers by						
country	(2)	(7)	(45)	(47)	(100)	15,220
England	2	7	45	47	(100)	9,802
Wales	3	7	39	52	(100)	2,200
Scotland	1	4	47	48	(100)	1,785
Northern Ireland	1	5	40	54	(100)	1,433
Chi square	101.98					
p value	(0.00)					
All mothers by						
ethnic group	(2)	(7)	(45)	(47)	(100)	14,542
White	2	6	45	49	(100)	12,473
Mixed	5	9	41	45	(100)	128
Indian	2	11	40	48	(100)	366
Pakistani	4	16	38	42	(100)	652
Bangladeshi	5	15	43	37	(100)	247
Black Caribbean	1	16	47	37	(100)	178
Black African	6	14	53	27	(100)	240
Other	3	14	48	35	(100)	257
Chi square	245.72					
p value	(0.00)					
All mothers by						
age	(2)	(7)	(45)	(47)	(100)	13,837
16-24	3	11	41	45	(100)	2,147
25-29	2	8	41	49	(100)	2,996
30-34	1	6	45	49	(100)	4,820
35-39	1	4	46	48	(100)	3,874
40+	2	7	51	40	(100)	1,372
Chi square	177.14					
p value	(0.00)					

Table 5.12 Regularity of mealtimes at MCS2, per cent

Notes: Table includes all main respondents who were mothers and answered the question on the child's regular mealtimes who also had valid data on the control variables.

Unweighted observations, weighted percentages (using weight 2 except country analysis which uses weight 1).

Parenting beliefs and values

English-speaking mothers were asked to rate which of a set of qualities they thought was the most important to instil in their child, as shown in Table 5.13. Overall, half of mothers reported that the most important quality they would like their child to have was the ability to 'think for themselves' (Table 5.13).

Mothers in Northern Ireland displayed distinct differences to their counterparts elsewhere. Nearly twice as many mothers in Northern Ireland thought it was important to obey parents as in the other UK countries. Twenty per cent of mothers in Northern Ireland thought this was important, compared to 9 per cent in Scotland and 11 per cent in England and Wales.

Differences according to ethnic group also emerged. Pakistani, Bangladeshi and Black African mothers were much less likely to report that 'thinking for themselves' was the most important quality for their child to have than White mothers (17 per cent compared to 52 per cent of White mothers). Learning religious values was selected as most important by 30 per cent of Black African mothers and 24 per cent of Pakistani mothers, but by only 2 per cent of White mothers. The youngest mothers (under 24) were more likely to think it was important that their child obeyed their parents than those over 35 (15 per cent compared to 9 per cent).

There was little variation in the qualities that mothers in different family employment situations wished to instil in their child.

	Most important qualities for children at MCS2, per cent												
	To be well liked/ popular	To think for themselves	To work hard	To help others	To obey parents	To learn religious values	Total	Total unweighted obs					
English- speaking mothers by country	(5)	(50)	(13)	(19)	(11)	(3)	(100)	14661					
England	5	50	13	19	11	3	(100)	9,310					
Wales	5	47	15	20	11	2	(100)	2,152					
Scotland	3	55	12	19	9	2	(100)	1,778					
Northern Ireland	2	40	13	20	20	6	(100)	1,421					
Chi square	257.13												
p value	(0.00)												
English- speaking mothers by ethnic group	(5)	(50)	(13)	(19)	(11)	(3)	(100)	13,998					
White	5	52	12	19	10	2	(100)	12,329					
Mixed	6	39	19	13	15	8	(100)	117					
Indian	6	37	24	15	14	4	(100)	291					
Pakistani	4	18	17	15	22	24	(100)	534					
Bangladeshi	7	17	18	17	22	18	(100)	174					
Black Caribbean	2	41	11	13	20	13	(100)	176					
Black African	3	20	21	10	17	30	(100)	185					
Other	2	36	16	15	20	19	(100)	192					
Chi square													
p value													
English- speaking mothers by age	(5)	(50)	(13)	(19)	(11)	(3)	(100)	13,334					
16-24	2	39	19	21	15	2	(100)	2,084					
25-29	3	46	16	18	14	3	(100)	2,832					
30-34	5	51	12	20	10	3	(100)	4,646					
35-39	6	54	10	18	9	3	(100)	3,772					
40+	4	55	10	18	9	5	(100)	1,317					
Chi square	360.18												
p value	(0.00)												

Table 5.13 Most important qualities for children at MCS2, per cent

Notes: Table includes English-speaking main respondents who were mothers and answered the question on the most important quality they would like their child to have who also had valid data on the control variables. Unweighted observations, weighted percentages (using weight 2 except country analysis which uses weight 1).

English-speaking mothers were also asked to say whether they would wish to instil a range of values in their children, including independence, obedience and respect, the art of negotiation, respect for elders, doing well at school and religious values. There was almost no variation for the first five values, with virtually all mothers wanting to impart these. There was much more variation, however, over whether they wished to instil religious values in their child (Table 5.14).

Mothers in Northern Ireland reported very different views on this issue than their counterparts in the other UK countries. Eighty-five per cent of mothers in Northern Ireland wished their child to have religious values, compared with around 50 per cent elsewhere in the UK.

There was even more variation by ethnicity and age of mothers, with more than 90 per cent of Asian and Black mothers saying they wished to instil these values in their child, compared to 54 per cent of White mothers. Sixty-eight per cent of mothers over 40 wished to instil religious values, compared to 38 per cent of mothers under 24.

There was very little variation in the values that mothers in different family employment situations wished to instil in their child.

	Table 5.14												
		Importar	nt values for ch	hildren, per co	ent								
	Independence	Obedience and respect	Art of negotiation	Respect for elders	Doing well at school	Religious values	Total unweighted obs						
	(Obs)	(Obs)	(Obs)	(Obs)	(Obs)	(Obs)							
English- speaking mothers by country	(99)	(99)	(97)	(100)	(99)	(56)	14,634						
England	99	99	97	100	99	56	9,282						
Wales	100	99	97	100	100	52	2,157						
Scotland	100	99	97	100	99	52	1,773						
Northern Ireland	100	100	97	100	100	85	1,422						
Chi square						565.08							
p value						(0.00)							
English- speaking mothers by ethnic group	(99)	(99)	(97)	(100)	(99)	(56)	14,006						
White	100	99	97	100	99	54	12,363						
Mixed	100	96	97	99	100	68	118						
Indian	97	99	96	100	100	90	287						
Pakistani	97	99	96	100	100	98	523						
Bangladeshi	92	100	96	100	100	94	171						
Black Caribbean	100	100	93	99	100	86	175						
Black African	98	100	96	100	100	96	180						
Other	99	99	94	98	99	77	189						
Chi square						552.47							
p value						(0.00)							
English speaking- mothers by age	(99)	(99)	(97)	(100)	(99)	(56)	14,624						
16-24	99	99	95	99	100	38	2,075						
25-29	99	99	97	100	100	48	2,831						
30-34	100	100	97	100	99	58	4,637						
35-39	100	99	98	100	99	64	3,766						
40+	99	99	97	99	99	68	1,315						
Chi square						446.17							
p value						(0.00)							

Notes: Table includes English-speaking main respondents who were mothers and answered whether they wished to

instil the range of values in their child and who also had valid data on the control variables.

Unweighted observations, weighted percentages (using weight 2 except country analysis which uses weight 1).

Conclusion

The evidence presented here shows many similarities in parenting practice and beliefs across mothers and fathers and also across the types of families distinguished here: by country in the UK, ethnic group, age at interview, and couples' employment status. How working parents are coping is a question of general concern and interest. While most mothers felt they had enough time with their child, working mothers were more likely than others to say they could do with more time, but on most other measures of parenting, they were no different from other mothers. In fact, two-earner families were the group most likely to read to their child regularly, which provides indirect evidence that maternal employment does not eliminate the chance to carry out this activity with the child. Employed fathers, who are more likely than employed mothers to be working full-time and for long hours, were most likely to feel they were not able to spend enough time with their child. Fathers in general were less likely to report reading daily to their child than mothers, but this happened least in families with no parent in paid work. Otherwise there was little difference in parenting behaviour among fathers with and without jobs. With these data, more research could be done on such issues as parental employment patterns and hours worked, and the effect on the amount of time parents felt they have with their child and other family activities. Future analyses will also be able explicitly to compare the experiences of mothers and fathers in couples with those parenting alone.

Other clear differences in parenting style reveal themselves across different groups of mothers and fathers, whether employed or not. An interesting question is whether these systematic and individual differences will be differentially related to children's behaviour and achievement later on. This is something that MCS data will be able to reveal in the future.

Chapter 6

CHILD HEALTH

Carol Dezateux, Alice Sullivan, Summer Sherburne Hawkins, Tim Cole, and Heather Joshi

Introduction

The Millennium Cohort Study provides an important opportunity to examine health and illness in the context of children's lives, families, and social and environmental circumstances. This can help us to understand how social experience can affect health outcomes. This chapter expands on child health themes addressed in earlier reports, focusing on outcomes relevant to child development, disability and longstanding conditions, as well as children's experiences of injuries and immunisation. It uses one of the largest national exercises to collect the height and weight of children to assess how many, and who, were overweight or obese.

We present findings according to health outcome and conclude with a brief review of emerging inequalities of gender, geography, ethnicity and social disadvantage. As we noted earlier, this is the first opportunity to examine longitudinal questions in the MCS, and here we present a preliminary exploration of the influence of circumstances in infancy on health at the age of three. These data provide a rich source of information about the distribution of indicators of child health and ill-health across the four UK countries and according to area-based measures of social disadvantage and (in England) minority ethnic population. Although one in three families had changed address between sweeps 1 and 2, most had not moved more than two kilometres. Even though some families were no longer living in the same type of ward by sweep 2 (a minority yet to be quantified), the following tables do reflect the type of area in which the cohort children spent the first year of life, and the circumstances of that year may still have a bearing on their later development.

First-year death rates were significantly higher than the national average in the minority ethnic wards in our survey (nine per 1,000) but quite close to the average in other survey wards (six per 1,000 in disadvantaged wards and five per 1,000 elsewhere). Most of these infant deaths occurred in the first month of life, and virtually all of them before the survey was conducted at nine months (Cullis, 2007).

Throughout this chapter, as elsewhere in the report, we simplify matters by looking only at children who are singletons. In addition, we selected only main respondents who were mothers, and partners, where present, who were fathers. Where analyses of health outcomes at three years are examined in relation to exposures at nine months, these tables include only children interviewed on both occasions.

Healthy development

As expected, the great majority of three-year-olds had achieved major motor milestones indicating normal healthy development. For example, almost all had succeeded in walking since the previous interview, with virtually all able to walk without difficulty (99.6 per cent) and almost as many able to 'walk up steps like an adult, one foot on each step' (96.5 per cent). However, there was somewhat more variation in toilet training (Table 6.1). Parents reported 83 per cent of the children as always 'dry by day' and 'clean by day'. The highest percentages always clean and dry by day were recorded for children in Northern Ireland, especially those in less disadvantaged areas, and the lowest percentage in England (Table 6.1). Significant differences existed between boys and girls: only 77.9 per cent of boys were always dry by day compared with 88.0 per cent of girls, with similar figures for always clean by day (Table 6.2). This may have important implications for gender differences in early access to day care and nursery education, where toilet training may be necessary for access, and warrants further exploration. There were significant variations across ethnic groups, with the mothers of Bangladeshi children reporting systematically lower percentages always clean or always dry by day (75 to 76 per cent) than Whites (83 to 84 per cent), and Indians reporting more (88 to 89 per cent). See Table 6.3.

Overall, 13.4 per cent of mothers reported concerns about their children's speech and language development (Table 6.1). The patterns by area-level measures of disadvantage were not consistent between countries, with mothers from disadvantaged areas of Wales and Scotland being more likely to report concerns than those in advantaged areas in those countries. In Northern Ireland, however, mothers in non-disadvantaged areas were more likely to have concerns. No such differences were observed in England; however, mothers from areas with a high proportion of minority ethnic residents were least likely to report concerns. Gender differences were also observed. Mothers were more likely to have concerns about boys' speech (17.1 per cent) than girls' (9.6 per cent). See Table 6.2. Variation in the proportion expressing concerns about children's speech according to ethnic group was not statistically significant (Table 6.3).

	England	England	England	Wales	Wales	Scotland	Scotland	N. Ireland	N. Ireland	UK	
Type of ward at MCS1	Not disadvantaged	Dis- advantaged	Minority ethnic	Not disadvantaged	Dis- advantaged	Not disadvantage d	Dis- advantage d	Not disadvantage d	Dis- advantaged	Total	Chi square (p value)
											<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>
Always dry by day	82.4	82.4	81.4	87.6	86.9	84.7	83.3	89.1	84.0	82.9	(0.002)
Unweighted n	4,137	3,764	1,878	677	1,536	914	861	570	836	15,173	
Always clean by											13.65
day	82.77	83.47	84.1	86.71	86.9	84.56	84.88	87.54	84.09	83.47	(0.0438)
Unweighted n	4,137	3,762	1,881	677	1,534	913	860	570	836	15,170	
Any concerns											13.61
about speech?	13.7	13.6	12.2	9.9	13.5	11.4	13.5	17.5	16.0	13.4	(0.0299)
Unweighted n	4,140	3,765	1,884	677	1,536	915	861	571	836	15,185	

 Table 6.1

 Toilet training and concern about speech by country and type of ward at MCS1

Toilet traini	ng and cond	erns about	speech b	y gender
	Male	Female	Total	Chi square (p value)
Always dry by day	77.9	88.0	83.0	272.99
Unweighted n	7,723	7,450	15,173	(<0.0001)
Always clean by day	78.2	88.9	83.5	313.98
Unweighted n	7,726	7,444	15,170	(<0.0001)
Concerns about speech	17.1	9.6	13.4	183.38 (<0.0001)
Unweighted n	7,734	7,451	15,185	

Table 6.2 Toilet training and concerns about speech by gender

	White	Mixed	Black Caribbean	Black African	Bangla- deshi	Indian	Pakistani	Other	Total	Chi square (p value)
										21.4992
Always clean by day	83.6	86.8	87.6	89.8	76.0	89.4	83.2	80.9	83.7	(0.0113)
Unweighted n	12,038	387	160	231	249	359	655	399	14478	
										17.8225
Always dry by day	83.0	86.3	81.5	83.8	75.4	87.7	78.3	82.1	83.0	(0.0332)
Unweighted n	12,043	387	160	230	248	358	654	401	14481	
										13.324
Concerns about speech	86.8	87.8	79.0	86.9	92.9	90.1	86.5	85.3	86.8	(0.1487)
Unweighted n	12,048	387	160	232	250	359	655	401	14,492	

Table 6.3Toilet training and concerns about speech by ethnic group

Disability and general health

Overall, mothers reported a long-term condition lasting three months or more and diagnosed by a health professional in one in six children (15.8 per cent). This was more common among boys (16.7 per cent) than girls (14.9 per cent). See Table 6.4.

Longstanding i	imess by ci	maren s ge	nuer	
	Male	Female	Total	Chi square (p value)
Longstanding illness (per cent)	16.7	14.9	15.8	8.99 (0.0202)
Unweighted n	7,733	7,451	15,184	

Table 6.4 Longstanding illness by children's gender

Table 6.5 shows rates were significantly lower in Northern Ireland (within original ward type) and for those in minority ethnic areas in the first survey.

Problems with vision and hearing in the early years are important as they can influence early development or social interaction. Overall, one in 16 (6 per cent) of mothers reported a problem with their children's sight: this was more common for those in disadvantaged communities (Table 6.5). This is consistent with a UK-wide study of severe visual impairment or blindness using the Carstairs Index (Rahi et al, 2003). Although the latter study also found a significantly higher incidence of eye problems in all minority ethnic children compared to their White counterparts, there was no significant difference in such problems among three-year-olds in MCS by ethnicity. Only 33 children were registered blind or partially-sighted: however, this is likely to represent only the most severe visual loss, and to omit unregistered but visually impaired children who will nevertheless be excluded from sighted/print education. A problem with hearing was reported for one in 20 children (4.8 per cent) but did not show a consistent pattern according to ward type (Table 6.5).

	England	England	England	Wales	Wales	Scotland	Scotland	N. Ireland	N. Ireland	UK	
Type of ward at MCS1	Not dis- advantaged	Dis- advantaged	Minority ethnic	Not dis- advantaged	Dis- advantaged	Not dis- advantage d	Dis- advantaged	Not dis- advantaged	Dis- advantaged	Total	Chi square (p value)
Long-term illness	15.6	17.4	13.2	13.4	16.9	14.0	17.3	11.0	15.0	15.8	20.89 (0.0145)
Unweighted n	4,139	3,765	1,884	677	1,536	915	861	571	836	15,184	
Any problem with eyes Unweighted n	6.1 4,122	7.1 3,735	5.3 1,875	4.9 676	8.3 1531	6.1 912	7.1 861	4.7 569	8.8 834	6.4 15,115	12.62 (0.0458)
Any problem with hearing Unweighted n	5.5	4.4 3737	3.3 1,878	4.0 671	5.3 1,526	2.6 913	2.8 859	4.4 567	4.0 833	4.8 15,095	27.67 (0.0001)

 Table 6.5

 Longstanding illness and parental concerns about eyesight, hearing, by country and type of ward at MCS1

Note: Longstanding illness defined as a condition lasting three months or more and diagnosed by a health professional

Among those with a long-term condition, about one in five (or 3 per cent of all children) was limited in playing or from joining in other activity normal for his/her age. Such conditions were more common in children from disadvantaged communities across all four UK countries and, in England, were least common in areas of high minority ethnic prevalence (Table 6.5). This was more marked by ethnic group: there was a two-fold variation in the frequency of longstanding conditions that mothers reported. These were more prevalent by the age of three in Black Caribbean children (18.7 per cent) and least common in Black African children (8.8 per cent), and were significant in univariable analyses, even though numbers in some groups were small. Table 6.6 shows the weighted sample size as well as the actual number of cases, as a reminder that the composition of the sample over-represents some minority ethnic groups, such as Bangladeshi, Pakistani and Indian children.-

	White	Mixed	Black Caribbean	Black African	Bangla- deshi	Indian	Pakistani	Other	Total	Chi square (p value)
Longstanding										16.76310
illness	16.0	16.5	18.7	8.8	9.3	10.3	14.9	15.5	15.8	0442
Unweighted n	12,048	387	160	232	250	359	655	401	14,492	
weighted n	13,088	409	117	166	115	257	392	360	1,4904	

Table 6.6

The frequency of longstanding conditions did not vary significantly by family poverty as assessed during infancy (Table 6.7) but children with longstanding conditions were more likely to be limited in play or activity if their family income was below 60 per cent of median equivalised income. Similar patterns were observed when income at sweep 2 was examined.

Long	standing illness at	three by family inco	me at sween 1		
		Above 60 per cent median equivalised income	Below 60 per cent median equivalised income	Total	p value
		Family income at			
Longstanding illness		15.8	15.5	15.7	
	Unweighted n	9632	4076	13708	0.7053
Among those with lo	ongstanding illnes	S:	•		
Limiting condition		16.9	24.7	18.5	
	Unweighted n	1493	636	2,129	0.005
		Family income at	three years		
Longstanding illness		15.9	17.2	16.2	
	Unweighted n	7177	3244	12395	0.1167
Among those with lo	ongstanding illnes	S			•
Limiting condition		16.9	24.7	18.7	
	Unweighted n	1301	664	1974	.0000

Table 6.7

Asthma and acute illnesses

Overall, by the age of three, one in 10 children was reported as having had asthma (Table 6.8). In univariable analyses, this was significantly more common among boys (13.8 per cent) than girls (9.6 per cent). Wheezing or whistling in the chest in the preceding 12 months was reported for 30.5 per cent of children, and this was also significantly more common among boys (Table 6.8). Asthma and wheezing or whistling in the chest in the preceding 12 months were more common in children in disadvantaged communities in all four UK countries (Table 6.9). Children of Afro-Caribbean origin were more likely to have had asthma or to have experienced wheezing by the age of three, and those of Bangladeshi origin least likely (Table 6.10). Asthma and wheezing were significantly more common among children whose mothers had smoked in pregnancy (Table 6.11). This may reflect differences in airway development as well as in response to infection since, at this age, the symptoms of viral-associated wheezing are not readily distinguishable from asthma. Overall, 37 per cent of children were reported to have eczema and/or hay fever, but these two questions were inadvertently combined during fieldwork programming, hence the prevalence at MCS2 of these conditions cannot be reported separately.

Chickenpox was reported in 45.6 per cent of children and was more common in girls (47.0 per cent) than boys (44.3 per cent). See Table 6.8. In each UK country, chickenpox was more common in advantaged communities (Table 6.9) and was particularly low in areas of high minority ethnic prevalence. White mothers were more likely to report a history of chickenpox in their child.

Overall, 6.5 per cent of children had recurrent ear infections and, in Wales and Scotland, this was more common in disadvantaged communities, while in England it was lowest in areas with relatively more minority ethnic groups (Table 6.9). Children of Black African ethnicity were least, and White children most, likely to have such infections, but these differences are not statistically significant overall. Recurring ear infections are thought to reflect several environmental exposures including parental smoking, which is socially and ethnically patterned (Table 6.10). Exposure to tobacco in utero or during early foetal life was associated with an increased frequency of ear infections – 7.8 per cent compared with 6.0 per cent in the unexposed (Table 6.11).

Astrina and wheezing, chi	ckellpox and i	ecurring ear	intections by ge	
	Male	Female	Total	Chi square (p value)
Asthma	13.8	9.6	11.7	62.3527 (<0.0001)
Unweighted n	7,602	7,343	14,945	
				78.6838
Wheezing in chest	33.8	27.1	30.5	(<0.0001)
Unweighted n	7,733	7,451	15,184	
Chickenpox	44.3	47.0	45.6	10.98280(0038)
				26.9913
Recurring ear infections	7.71	5.60	6.68	(0.0001)
	7,718	7444	15,162	
Unweighted n	7,652	7,388	15,040	

 Table 6.8

 Asthma and wheezing, chickenpox and recurring ear infections by gender

	Astiina,	, wheezing, i	ecurring e		and chicken	pox by coul	iti y and type		1001		
	England	England	England	Wales	Wales	Scotland	Scotland	N. Ireland	N. Ireland	UK	
Type of ward	Not dis-	Dis-	Minority	Not dis-	Dis-	Not dis-	Dis-	Not dis-	Dis-	Total	Chi square
	advantaged	advantaged	ethnic	advantaged	advantaged	advantaged	advantaged	advantaged	advantaged		(p value)
											76.97
Asthma	10.3	14.8	11.0	12.4	16.2	7.5	12.7	12.3	15.8	11.5	(<0.0001)
Unweighted n	4,072	3,691	1,861	669	1,504	905	852	562	829	14,945	
Wheezing/											53.42
whistling	29.5	33.9	25.4	30.3	36.8	25.3	32.5	26.8	32.8	30.5	(<0.0001)
Unweighted n	4.139	3.765	1.884	677	1.536	915	861	571	836	15.184	
Recurring ear	,	,	,		,					,	16.88
infections	6.8	6.6	4.4	7.8	9.3	6.2	7.7	5.3	5.0	6.5	(0.2679)
Unweighted n	4,139	3,765	1,884	677	1,536	915	861	571	836	15,184	
											187.05
Chickenpox	49.6	40.0	31.1	51.3	43.8	50.0	38.7	44.7	35.9	44.7	(<0.0001)
Unweighted n	4,098	3,719	1,872	671	1,522	907	857	564	830	14,990	

 Table 6.9

 Asthma, wheezing, recurring ear infections and chickenpox by country and type of ward at MCS1

 Table 6.10

 Asthma, wheezing, recurring ear infections and chickenpox by ethnic group

i

	White	Mixed	Black Caribbean	Black African	Bangladeshi	Indian	Pakistani	Other	Total	Chi square (p value)
	44.5	40.0	47.7		4.0	0.0	11.0	0.0		29.04
Astnma	11.5	13.9	17.7	9.9	4.8	9.2	11.8	9.3	11.4	(0.0643)
Unweighted n	12,048	387	160	232	250	359	655	401	14,492	
										36.96
Wheezing	30.8	31.6	39.7	26.3	13.1	20.4	30.1	27.1	30.5	(<0.0001)
Unweighted n	12,048	387	160	232	250	359	655	401	14,492	
										26.79
Recurring ear infections	6.9	2.9	6.4	1.1	5.0	4.7	5.6	4.9	6.6	(0.6786
Unweighted n	12,048	387	160	232	250	359	655	401	14,492	
										102.96
Chickenpox	46.9	39.2	35.9	34.4	23.7	33.3	33.4	38.4	45.5	0.0001
Unweighted n	11,925	383	160	230	250	356	652	398	14,354	

	Mother smo	ked in pregna	ancy								
				Chi square							
	No	Yes	Total	(p value)							
				65.96							
Asthma	9.9	14.5	11.4	(<0.0001)							
Unweighted n	9,875	4,758	14,633								
				91.75							
Wheezing or whistling in the chest	27.9	35.8	30.4	(<0.0001)							
Unweighted n	9,875	4,758	14,633								
				16.33							
Recurring ear infection	6.0	7.8	6.5	(0.0004)							
Unweighted n	9,346	4,904	14,250								

 Table 6.11

 Child asthma, wheezing and recurring ear infections by maternal smoking

Health service attendance for accidental injury

By three years of age, 35.4 per cent of children were reported to have had at least one accidental injury requiring admission to hospital, attendance at an accident and emergency department or a health centre (Table 6.12). This was more common in boys (39.4 per cent) than girls (31.3 per cent). The chance of suffering such injury was significantly greater for those in the disadvantaged areas of each country, but showed little difference between countries for a given level of area disadvantage and was lowest among those in areas of high minority ethnic group prevalence (Table 6.13). In univariable analyses, accidental injuries were significantly more common among White children (Table 6.14). There was a strong association with family poverty when measured either at nine months or at three years (Table 6.15): 39.9 per cent of children living in families with net equivalised income below 60 per cent of the national median experienced at least one significant injury by sweep 2.

Table 6.12Health service attendance for accidental injury by child gender

				Chi square
	Males	Females	Total	(p value)
				9.30
	39.4	31.3	35.4	(<0.0057)
Unweighted n	7,734	7,450	15,184	

 Table 6.13

 Health service attendance for accidental injury by country and type of ward at MCS1

	England	England	England	Wales	Wales	Scotland	Scotland	N. Ireland	N. Ireland	UK	
Type of ward	Not dis- advantaged	Dis- advantaged	Minority ethnic	Not dis- advantaged	Dis- advantaged	Not dis- advantaged	Dis- advantaged	Not dis- advantaged	Dis- advantaged	Total	Chi square (P Value)
Accidental injury prompting health service											76.3494
attendance	34.1	38.6	26.0	38.9	39.1	34.4	40.3	34.5	36.1	35.4	(<0.0001)
Unweighted n	4,140	3,765	1,884	676	1,536	915	861	571	836	15,184	

 Table 6.14

 Health service attendance for accidental injury by ethnic group

	White	Mixed	Black Caribbean	Black African	Bangla deshi	Indian	Pakistani	Other	Total	Chi square (p value)
Accidental injury prompting health										72.88
service attendance	36.4	33.3	30.7	24.8	22.8	22.7	25.7	25.0	35.2	(<0.0001)
Unweighted n	12,048	387	160	232	250	359	655	401	14,492	

Table 6.15 Health service attendance for accidental injury at MCS2 by family income at nine months and three years

		Above 60 per cent median equivalised income	Below 60 per cent median equivalised income	Total	
Income at nine months		35.3	38.23	36.0	9.77 (<0.0051)
	Unweighted n	9,780	4,157	13,937	
Income at three years		34.3	39.9	36.5	22.04 (0.0001)
	Unweighted n	8,602	4,376	12,578	

Immunisation

Since 1988, children in the UK have been offered the combined measles, mumps and rubella vaccine (MMR) at 13 months of age and again before school entry. Some parents have used separate vaccines for their children, fearing that the combined MMR vaccine might be linked to autism and bowel disease, although evidence for this is lacking. Single vaccines are available only privately and the extent of their use is unknown. Parents were asked to report their child's immunisation, and were prompted to confirm this using their three-year-old's personal child health record if this was available.

Overall, 6 per cent of children did not receive any immunisation against MMR (Table 6.16). This varied by gender, with fewer girls (5.6 per cent) than boys (6.5 per cent) completely unimmunised. Combined MMR vaccine was given to 88 per cent of children, while 6 per cent received at least one vaccine separately. The proportion of children receiving the combined MMR vaccine was lowest in England compared with other UK countries (Table 6.17). Within England, use of combined MMR vaccine was highest among those in disadvantaged areas and in areas of high minority ethnic group prevalence, while elsewhere in the UK there was no difference according to area-level indicators. Use of single vaccines was highest in families from non-disadvantaged areas of England (7.8 per cent). Use of combined MMR was lowest and use of single vaccines highest in infants of White, Mixed or other ethnicity (Table 6.18). Conversely, use of combined MMR was highest among those from ethnic groups of the Indian subcontinent and Black Africans. The association of maternal educational level with MMR is complex (Table 6.19): mothers lacking qualifications or those with NVQ level 3 and above were more likely to have a completely unimmunised infant, while those with higher educational qualifications were more likely to use at least one single vaccine. In univariable analyses, single parents and couples (both natural parents) had similar rates of take-up of the MMR vaccine. However, single parents were less likely to use at least one single vaccine (2.7 per cent compared to 6.5 per cent). Therefore, the children of single parents were slightly more likely to be unvaccinated (table not shown).

Immunisation f	or measles, r	numps and	rubella by g	jender
	Male	Female	Total	Chi square
				(p value)
None	6.5	5.6	6.1	4.93 (0.0305)
Unweighted n	7,734	7,451	15,185	
				10.20
Combined MMR vaccine	87.5	89.1	88.3	(0.0077)
Unweighted n	7,734	7,451	15,185	
				4.64
At least one separately	6.0	5.2	5.6	(0.0978)
Unweighted n	7,734	7,451	15,184	

	Tabl	e 6.16		
Immunisation f	or measles, i	numps an	d rubella by g	jender

 Table 6.17

 Immunisation for measles, mumps and rubella by country and type of ward at MCS1

	England	England	England	Wales	Wales	Scotland	Scotland	N. Ireland	N. Ireland	UK	
Type of ward	Not dis- advantaged	Dis- advantaged	Minority	Not dis- advantaged	Dis- advantaged	Not dis-	Dis- advantaged	Not dis- advantaged	Dis- advantaged	Total	Chi square
	aavantagea	aavantagea	etrific	aavantagea	aavantagea	aavantagea	aavantagea	aavantagea	aavantagea		(P value)
Immunisation for m	easles, mump	s or rubella									
											19.58
None	5.6	6.8	7.6	6.6	9.0	5.7	6.0	3.3	4.7	6.1	(0.0575)
Combined MMR											55.81
vaccine	86.6	89.7	89.9	89.2	88.0	90.9	91.4	94.1	94.1	88.3	(0.0002)
At least one											149.23
separately	7.8	3.5	2.5	4.2	3.0	3.4	2.6	2.6	1.2	5.6	(0.0001)
Total unweighted											
n	4,140	3,765	1,884	677	1,536	915	861	571	836	15,185	

Table 6.18Immunisation by children's ethnic group

	White	Mixed	Black Caribbean	Black African	Bangladeshi	Indian	Pakistani	Other	Total	Chi square (p value)
Immunisation for mea	asles, mum	ps or rub	ella (MMR)							
										16.3254
None	5.9	8.9	10.5	4.7	6.8	3.6	6.5	8.1	6.1	0.0214
										55.8132
Combined MMR	87.9	85.7	88.6	93.6	92.0	92.3	93.3	87.2	88.1	0.0029
At least one										
vaccine separately	6.2	5.4	0.9	1.8	1.3	4.1	0.3	4.6	5.8	
Total unweighted n	12,048	387	160	232	250	359	655	401	14,492	

	mmuma		neasies, mu	inips and i	ubena by	mouners i	ingriest eut			
	No	NVQ	NVQ	NVQ	NVQ	NVQ	Other/	Don't	Total	Chi
	qualifications	level1	level2	level3	level4	level5	overseas	know		square
										(p value)
None	8.2	4.5	5.4	6.3	6.3	7.0	5.0	6.7	6.1	21.07
										(0.0211)
Combined	90.5	93.3	90.4	86.9	85.1	83.5	92.6	90.9	88.3	
MMR										119.17
										(0.0001)
At least one	1.4	2.2	4.2	6.8	8.6	9.5	2.4	2.4	5.8	190.1411
separately										(0.0001)
Total	1,906	1,152	4,084	2,144	4,170	561	436	695	15,148	
unweighted n										

 Table 6.19

 Immunisation for measles, mumps and rubella by mothers' highest education level

Childhood obesity

Weight problems and obesity have been increasing in the UK in recent decades, even among pre-school children. The Health Survey for England from 1995 to 2002 found the proportion of overweight (including obese) children had risen from 17 to 22 per cent in boys aged two to five and from 20 to 25 per cent in girls (Stamatakis et al, 2002). Obese children risk increased health problems, such as type 2 diabetes and high blood pressure, as well as psychosocial difficulties, including low self-esteem (Lobstein et al, 2004). They are also more likely to be obese in adolescence and adulthood (Power et al, 1997). It is estimated that obesity and its consequences cost the NHS more than £1 billion per year (UK Parliament, 2004). Tackling childhood obesity is a cross-Government priority. In 2004, the Departments of Health, Education and Skills, and Culture, Media, and Sport created a joint public service agreement target to halt the yearly rise in obesity among children under age 11 by 2010 (Department of Health, 2004).

Children from the Millennium Cohort Study were weighed and measured in sweep 2 by interviewers newly trained for this purpose. The attempt to measure so many children in their own homes at such a young age was ambitious, but it provided an opportunity to examine the prevalence of overweight and obesity within this contemporary cohort of UK children. Body Mass Index (BMI; weight/height squared), a proxy for adiposity, is the most common measurement of body size at the population level. Childhood overweight and obesity is defined by the International Obesity Task Force cut-offs for BMI (Cole et al 2000). These cut-offs were based on data from six countries, including the UK, and the centiles are linked to the widely accepted adult cut-offs for overweight and obesity. Hence data can be compared internationally

Height and weight data at three years were visually inspected for outliers by plotting height, weight, height vs weight, and BMI distributions as well as identifying values classified as biologically implausible for weight-for-age, height-for-age, or BMI-for-age. Cases were excluded if deemed biologically implausible (488) or a height and/or weight value was missing (820). Data are presented on 13,771 singleton children with complete and plausible height/weight/BMI data and where the main or partner informant was the natural, adoptive, or step- parent. This sample is composed of children from both sweep 1 (13,195) and the boosted sample at MCS2 (576). All exposure variables are based on data collected at the first contact, unless otherwise specified; however, families from the boosted sample have data available from the second contact only. Unweighted frequencies and weighted percentages are presented.

Overweight a	and obesity by t	Journal wicor. u	nweignteu nequen	cies (weighted pe		
	England	Wales	Scotland	N. Ireland	Total	p value
Normal weight	6,896 (77.8)	1,482 (72.9)	1,221 (75.7)	944 (73.2)	10,543 (76.3)	
Overweight (excluding obesity)	1,506 (17.5)	418 (21.9)	310 (19.2)	270 (20.8)	2,504 (18.7)	
Obesity	453 (4.8)	108 (5.2)	87 (5.1)	76 (6.0)	724 (5.0)	
Unweighted n	8,855	2,008	1,618	1,290	13,771	P<.001

 Table 6.20

 Overweight and obesity by country at MCS1: unweighted frequencies (weighted per cent)

Note: MCS2 singleton children with valid data.

 Table 6.21

 Overweight and obesity by country and type of ward at MCS1: unweighted frequencies (weighted per cent)

	England: Advantaged	England: Dis- advantaged	England: Minority ethnic	Wales: Advantaged	Wales: Dis- advantaged	Scotland: Advantaged	Scotland: Dis- advantaged	N. Ireland: Advantaged	N. Ireland: Dis- advantaged	Total	p value
Normal	2,969	2,585	1,342 (80.8)	432 (71.3)	1,050 (74.9)	639 (76.3)	582 (74.6)	381 (73.3)	563 (73.1)	10,543 (77.2)	
weight	(78.4)	(75.8)									
Overweight (excluding obesity)	658 (17.4)	628 (18.4)	220 (13.3)	145 (23.9)	273 (19.5)	163 (19.5)	147 (18.9)	107 (20.6)	163 (21.2)	2,504 (18.0)	
Obesity	158 (4.2)	196 (5.7)	99 (6.0)	29 (4.8)	79 (5.6)	36 (4.3)	51 (6.5)	32 (6.2)	44 (5.7)	724 (4.8)	
Total n	3,785	3,409	1,661	606	1,402	838	780	520	770	13,771	p<.001
(unweighted)											

Note: MCS2 singleton children with valid data.

 Table 6.22

 Overweight and obesity by children's gender: unweighted frequencies (weighted per cent)

 Male
 Female
 Total
 p value

	Male	Female	Total	p value
Normal weight	5405 (77.9)	5138 (76.5)	10543 (77.2)	
Overweight (excluding obesity)	1221 (17.7)	1283 (18.2)	2504 (18.0)	
Obesity	339 (4.4)	385 (5.3)	724 (4.8)	
Unweighted n	6,965	6,806	13,771	p=.06

Note: MCS2 singleton children with valid data.

overweight and obcarly by ennu a chillenty. unweighted frequencies (weighted per cent)													
	White	Mixed	Indian	Pakistani	Bangladeshi	Black	Black	Other	Total	p value			
						Caribbean	African						
Normal weight	8724 (76.8)	302 (78.4)	325 (90.8)	513 (82.5)	176 (80.6)	111 (67.6)	170	189 (80.6)	10,510				
							(72.7)		(77.2)				
Overweight	2,207 (18.6)	70 (16.7)	28 (5.4)	82 (12.1)	21 (8.2)	22 (15.0)	40 (16.6)	25 (13.5)	2,495				
(excluding obesity)									(18.0)				
Obesity	571 (4.6)	21 (4.8)	11 (3.8)	35 (5.4)	23 (11.2)	21 (17.5)	26 (10.7)	14 (6.0)	722 (4.8)				
Unweighted n	11,502	393	364	630	220	154	236	228	13,727	p<.001			

Table 6. 23 Overweight and obesity by child's ethnicity: unweighted frequencies (weighted per cent)

Note: MCS2 singleton children with valid data.

Obesity

Unweighted n

Overweight and obesity by MCS2 equivalised family income: unweighted frequencies (weighted per cent) Above 60% Below 60% Total p value median median Normal weight 6159 (77.6) 2806 (76.8) 8965 (77.4) Overweight (excluding 1499 (18.2) 637(17.4) 2136 (18.0) obesity)

Table 6.24

Table 6.25.

209 (5.8)

3652

584 (4.6)

11685

p=.009

Overweight and obesity by highest academic qualification at MCS1: unweighted frequencies (weighted per cent)

	Degree	Diploma	A/AS/S	GCSE	GCSE grades	Other	None of	Total	p value
			levels	grades A-C	D-G or below	academic	these		
				or above		qualification	qualifications		
Normal weight	1,842	950 (77.7)	1,049 (80.1)	3,534 (77.1)	1,067 (74.0)	287 (77.8)	1,793 (74.9)	10,522	
	(78.7)							(77.2)	
Overweight	428 (17.6)	227 (17.2)	215 (16.0)	889 (18.5)	272 (19.1)	52 (16.6)	414 (18.5)	2,497 (18.0)	
(excluding obesity)									
Obesity	98 (3.7)	64 (5.1)	60 (3.9)	228 (4.4)	91 (6.9)	22 (5.6)	161 (6.6)	724 (4.9)	
Unweighted n	2,368	1,241	1,324	4,651	1,430	361	2,368	13,743	p<.001

375 (4.2)

8033

Note: MCS2 singleton children with valid data.

At sweep 1, 2,504 (18.0 per cent) of MCS children were overweight and 724 (4.8 per cent) were obese. Patterns for each exposure variable are described by combining overweight and obesity because of the small numbers in each 'obesity' cell. Children from Wales, Scotland, and Northern Ireland were more likely to be overweight or obese than children from England (Table 6.20). Similar patterns were seen by UK country and type of ward (Table 6.21). Fewer children were overweight or obese in England, specifically those from electoral wards classified as 'ethnic'. Overall, there was a slightly higher prevalence of overweight or obesity in children from 'disadvantaged' wards in England and Scotland (the upper quartile of the Child Poverty Index) than 'advantaged' wards (all remaining wards).

There were no statistically significant differences in overweight or obesity between boys and girls (Table 6.22); however, the prevalence of overweight or obesity varied by ethnic group (Table 6.23). Indian children had the lowest prevalence of overweight or obesity (9.2 per cent), while Black Caribbean children had the highest (32.5 per cent). There were also differences by family income (Table 6.24) and the mothers' highest academic qualification (Table 6.25). Children from families with income below a line drawn at roughly 60% of the national median equivalised income were slightly but significantly more likely to be overweight or obese than children where family income is above that line. Children whose mothers had a degree, diploma, A/AS/S levels, GCSE grades A-C or above, or 'other' qualification, were less likely to be overweight or obese than children whose mothers had lower or no qualifications.

Training unspecialised interviewers to weigh and measure nearly 14,000 children in the home has produced one of the largest such datasets in the UK. The results can be linked to a wealth of information about the child, their family and the place where they live. We have shown that just under a quarter of three-year-old children from the MCS were overweight or obese and there is evidence of social and ethnic patterning. These findings can assist the Government in tackling childhood obesity by helping to inform public health policy.

Conclusion

This preliminary look at the health data collected at age three from children in the Millennium Cohort Study suggests that while the majority of pre-school children in the four UK countries are healthy, there is nonetheless significant inequality in health outcomes as delineated by area and individual measures of social disadvantage, ethnicity and income. While the variations in health between groups as measured by social disadvantage are striking, this confirms well-established evidence. A striking finding has been that of marked variations in a range of health outcomes by the age of three years according to the characteristics of the communities in which the children live. Thus, children starting out in disadvantaged areas are more likely to experience disability and ill-health in the form of problems with vision, hearing and longstanding conditions, asthma, chronic infections and injuries and are more likely to be obese or overweight. These inequalities merit further analyses to inform strategies to improve children's health and well-being.

There is not a systematic tendency for poor or good health, on the indicators used here, in the children recruited to the survey in areas of high minority ethnic settlement. Most of the children in these areas belonged to minority ethnic groups. When we analyse the sample by the ethnicity of all children in the survey, whether or not they came from these areas, a degree of ethnic diversity in health conditions becomes apparent, and helps to explain why living in one of the ethnic areas is not a simple predictor of good or bad child health. Despite the raised chances of infant deaths in these places, the first survey has shown that mothers from at least some minority groups are more likely than Whites to breastfeed and less likely to smoke. This may account for the lower frequency of certain health problems among some minority ethnic children, for instance asthma and wheezing among Bangladeshi children. These preliminary analyses also suggest that there is considerable ethnic variation in overweight or obesity: this needs to be interpreted with caution as Body Mass Index does not distinguish those who are heavy for their height because of their muscle rather than fat.

Furthermore, we have observed some early and important differences between boys and girls. Thus, relative to girls, boys are more likely to be delayed in toilet training and speech, and are more likely to have wheezing and asthma and to require medical attention for injuries, and are less likely to receive the combined MMR vaccine, while, relative to boys, girls are more likely to have chickenpox. Interestingly, we found no gender differences in the prevalence of overweight and obesity at age three. The variations we have observed may relate to different social expectations and early social experiences and could in turn influence access to early-years provision and later health. Further exploration of these gender differences is warranted.

Acknowledgements

Summer Sherburne Hawkins is funded through a Department of Health Researcher Development Award. Tim Cole is funded through an MRC programme grant G9827821.

References

Cole, T.J., Bellizzi, M.C., Flegal, K.M. and Dietz, W. H. (2000) 'Establishing a standard definition for child overweight and obesity worldwide: International survey'. BMJ, 320:1240.

Cullis, A. (2007) Infant mortality in the Millennium Cohort Study sample areas. CLS Technical Report, London: Centre for Longitudinal Studies.

Department of Health (2004) National Standards, Local Action: Health and Social Care Standards and Planning Framework 2005/6-2007/8. London.

Lobstein, T., Baur, L. and Uauy, R. (2004) 'Obesity in children and young people: a crisis in public health.' Obesity Review 2004-05 (supplement 1):4-85.

Power, C., Lake, J.K., Cole, T.J. (1997) 'Measurement and long-term health risks of child and adolescent fatness.' International Journal of Obesity, 21: 507-526

Rahi, J.S., Cable, N. British Childhood Visual Impairment Study Group, 'Severe visual impairment and blindness in children in the UK', Lancet. 2003; 362:1359-65

Stamatakis, E. 'Anthropometric measurements, overweight, and obesity'. In Sroston, K. and Primatesta, P., eds. Health Survey for England 2002: The Health of Children and Young People, Vol 1. London: The Stationery Office.

UK Parliament: House of Commons Health Committee (2004) Obesity. Third Report of session 2003-04. Vol 1. London: The Stationery Office.

Chapter 7

CHILD DEVELOPMENT

Anitha George, Kirstine Hansen and Ingrid Schoon³

Introduction

Child developmental assessments, even very early in life, have been found to be good indicators of future educational performance and levels of health. If early outcomes are differentially related to demographic and socio-economic factors, this may establish inequalities early in life. These, in turn, promote or limit children's paths through life, depending on the families into which they are born (Schoon, 2006). In this chapter we describe the measures assessing child outcomes at age three and examine the factors that contribute to differences in developmental adjustment at this age.

Child outcomes at age three have been measured by two cognitive assessments: the naming vocabulary subtest of the British Ability Scales (BAS) and the School Readiness Composite (SRC) of the Revised Bracken Basic Concept Scale. In addition, child behaviour was assessed using the Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ).

The BAS Naming Vocabulary subtest is part of a cognitive test battery designed for children aged between three and 17 years (Elliott, 1983). The test is individually administered. The interviewer asks the child to name a series of pictures of everyday items. There are 36 items in total, although the number each child is asked about is dependent on their performance. The assessment is terminated if five successive items are answered incorrectly. BAS assesses the expressive language ability of children. It was not administered to children who do not speak English.

The School Readiness Composite (SRC) comprises six subtests of the Revised Bracken Basic Concept Scale measuring children's knowledge of those 'readiness' concepts that parents and teachers traditionally teach children in preparation for formal education (Bracken, 1998). This assessment is also individually administered. The test has been designed for children in the age range of two-and-a-half to seven years and 11 months. The six subtests of the SRC comprise the assessment of children's basic concepts such as colours, letters, numbers/counting, sizes, comparisons and shapes. As a non-verbal test, requiring the child to point, but not speak, it could be given in oral translation to children who do not speak English.

Both cognitive assessments were administered using Computer Assisted Personal Interviewing (CAPI) by interviewers who were specially trained but were not professional psychologists. Altogether each assessment was administered to over 12,000 children (not necessarily all the same individuals. The results reported in this chapter cover 12,096 children's responses to the BAS Naming Vocabulary subtest and of 11,553 to the Bracken SRC test. This is after discarding cases where the respondents were not the child's parents, and the second and third child in sets of twins and triplets.

³ With additional material on language in the home contributed by Dina Dimou

The analysis used BAS and SRC normed scores, derived from standard BAS and Bracken tables and defined with reference to the standardisation samples used in developing the assessments; these scores have also been adjusted according to the age of the cohort child. These analyses have not, as yet, been adjusted for contextual information about the circumstances of the assessment.

For assessing emotional and behavioural problems of the children, the Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ) was used. The SDQ is a behavioural screening questionnaire for three- to 16-year-olds (Goodman, 1997, 2001; Goodman, Meltzer, & Bailey, 1998) and is a well-validated tool for screening psychiatric disorder. It consists of 25 items generating an overall scale score as well as scores for five subscales measuring conduct problems, hyperactivity, emotional symptoms, peer problems and pro-social behaviour. The items were assessed via parental report (normally by the mother), in the computer-assisted self-completion module.

For the following analysis an overall difficulties mean score for the whole sample was computed by summing replies to the subscales indicating problematic behaviour, i.e. conduct problems, hyperactivity, emotional symptoms, and peer problems. Thus chapter reports the responses of 12,018 parents. Almost 1,180 cases did not have data on this instrument, of which approximately half was due to the respondent not doing the self-completion, which in turn was mostly due to cited language difficulties. As in the cognitive assessments, we use information on only one child in those families who have twins and triplets.

In this chapter, cognitive and behavioural adjustment of three-year-olds is examined for children with different demographic and family background characteristics to see if early outcomes are differentially related to demographic and socio-economic factors. In addition, attainment and adjustment at age three will be examined by the level of previous development at nine months of age. Levels of previous development were measured in terms of developmental milestones, assessed by parents' answers to selected items on the Denver Developmental Screening Test (W.K. Frankenburg & Dodds, 1967; W.K. Frankenburg & Dodds, 1990), the Ages and Stages Questionnaire (Bricker et al., 1995), and the MacArthur Communicative Development Test (Fenson et al., 1993). The former was used to assess gross and fine motor function skills and the latter identifies early communicative gestures (Schoon, Sacker, Hope, Collishaw, & Maughan, 2005). All results are adjusted for sample weights and statistical tests account for the clustering of the sample design.

British Ability Scales (BAS) Naming Vocabulary

The scores achieved for the 12,096 children on the BAS Naming Vocabulary scale can be classified as follows: scores of 29 or less are very low; 30 to 36 are low; 37 to 42 are below average; 43 to 56 are average; 57 to 62 are above average; 63 to 69 are high and 70 and above are very high (British Ability Scales II Technical Manual, 1997). The mean score in this sample of 12,096 children is 50.6 (95% confidence interval +/- 0.41).

Results, in Table 7.1 indicate that, on average, girls show marginally better expressive language skills than boys by about 3 months, and that children in Scotland⁴ are ahead of those in the rest of the UK by about two months. This represents about three months of development at these ages. Table 7.2 shows

⁴ Scotland shows both higher cognitive scores and lower poverty (c.f. Chapter 10) than the other countries, the explanation of which is still under investigation

variation by ethnic group. When looking at mean values, White children achieve the highest scores (51.4) followed by mixed ethnic background children (49.8), though the highest-achieving mixed-race girls do as well as White boys. The lowestachieving ethnic groups are Bangladeshi and Pakistani children, with mean values of 34.4 (+/- 3.72) and 36.2 (+/-1.64) respectively. The results indicate a substantial difference between the highest and lowest scorers: White girls have a mean score of 52.9, whereas Bangladeshi girls achieved a mean score of 34.3. These scores, taken at face value, would represent a severe delay for the Bangladeshi and Pakistani children, well below the score normally expected for two-and-a-half-year-olds, let alone those aged over three as these were. This is despite the fact that the assessment was not offered to non-English-speaking children⁵. Before drawing firm conclusions about how to interpret this finding, it will be necessary bear in mind the relatively small sample sizes of minority groups and to investigate further how far their assessments may have been undertaken in particularly difficult circumstances, and how many of the children were bilingual, which could affect their development of English vocabulary. Compared to children from homes where English was the sole language spoken, those growing up in families where it was only one of the languages used scored 6 points lower on the average vocabulary score . For the small number of homes reporting not speaking English at all the gap was 14 points (Table 7.2). Both of these differences are statistically significant, though they do not necessarily explain all of the differentials by ethnic group. There may also be cultural differences in the children's readiness to attempt an assessment with a stranger.

When examining family structure, the results in Table 7.3 indicate that families with two natural parents record the best scores for the children's language skills. However, the difference between a family with one natural lone parent and two parents of which one is natural and the other is a step-parent is negligible.

As expected, children with more educated parents perform better. Looking at parents' highest educational qualifications shows that children whose parents have degree-level qualifications or higher have a mean score of 53.5, whereas children of parents without any qualifications on average obtain scores of 43.7.

	Table: 7.1												
BAS Naming Vocabulary: mean and percentile scores by country and child gender													
		Standard	10 th	25 th	50 th	75 th	90 th						
BAS	Mean	error	percentile	percentile	percentile	percentile	percentile	Obs					
All	50.6	0.21	38	43	49	58	64	12,096					
England	50.4	0.24	38	43	49	58	63	7,780					
Wales	50.6	0.49	38	44	49	56	63	1,871					
Scotland	53.0	0.41	41	45	51	59	67	1,361					
Northern Ireland	51.8	0.48	41	45	49	56	67	1,084					
						F	=11.15 Prob>	>F=0.0000					
Males	49.3	0.23	37	41	49	56	63	6,133					
Females	52.0	0.24	39	44	51	58	67	5,963					
						F	=157.06 Prob>	>F=0.0000					

Examining family income shows that better scores are also achieved by children in families with higher equivalised income.

Mean scores weighted using weight 2. Mean and percentile scores obtained using the externally-normed, age-standardised scores. Sample children where the main respondent is natural, step or adoptive mother and the partner respondents is a natural, step or adoptive father, including lone parents and where BAS data was valid. Second and third twins and triplets not included.

⁵ With the exception of the offer of an assessment in Welsh in Wales

		Standard	10 th	25 th	50 th	75 th	<u>oo</u> tn	1		
BAS	Mean	error	percentile	percentile	percentile	percentile	percentile	Obs		
White	51.4	0.20	39	44	51	58	67	10,407		
Mixed background	49.8	0.72	37	41	49	56	64	348		
Black Caribbean	45.2	1.07	34	39	47	51	56	144		
Black African	42.4	1.21	25	35	43	49	56	178		
Bangladeshi	34.4	1.90	20	25	32	41	47	114		
Indian	43.3	1.12	30	36	42	50	56	292		
Pakistani	36.2	0.84	24	29	35	41	50	419		
Other background	41.5	1.38	25	34	41	49	56	148		
	F=65.49 Prob>F=0.0000									
White male	50.0	0.22	38	42	49	56	63	5,300		
Mixed background										
male	48.8	0.95	36	41	47	56	63	166		
Black Caribbean										
male	43.2	1.26	32	36	43	49	56	75		
Black African male	42.4	1.68	25	36	43	49	56	89		
Bangladeshi male	34.5	3.79	20	24	30	38	67	45		
Indian male	42.5	1.23	30	38	42	49	51	147		
Pakistani male	35.0	0.94	22	28	34	41	47	207		
Other background										
male	41.0	1.88	24	30	41	49	56	//		
	= 0 0					F=4	4.04 Prob>	-=0.0000		
White female	52.9	0.22	41	44	50	58	64	5,107		
Mixed background	50 G	1.02	20	40	F1	56	64	100		
Reak Caribbaan	0.00	1.03	30	43	51	50	04	102		
female	473	0.92	38	41	49	53	56	69		
Black African	-1.5	0.02		ŦI	40			00		
female	42.3	1.47	27	32	42	51	58	89		
Bangladeshi female	34.3	1.18	20	28	34	42	46	69		
Indian female	44.2	1.4	30	34	42	56	59	145		
Pakistani female	37.4	1.11	24	30	36	43	53	212		
Other background										
female	42.2	1.64	27	34	43	49	59	71		
Languages										
spoken in the										
home			-	-		F=8	1.24 Prob>l	F=0.0000		
English only	51.38	1.97	38	41	49	56	59	10606		
English and other										
languages	42.47	0.72	27	32	39	44	56	1227		
No English spoken	37.71	1.55	20	27	33	41	49	263		
				F=81	.31, Prob>F=	=0.0000 (tes	ting the thre	e means)		
				F=143.46	δ, Prob>F=0.	0000 (testin	g mean1 and	d mean2)		

 Table: 7.2

 BAS Naming Vocabulary: mean and percentile scores by child's ethnicity and gender

Mean scores weighted using weight 2. Mean and percentile scores obtained using the externally normed, age standardised scores. Sample children where the main respondent is natural, step or adoptive mother and the partner respondents is a natural, step or adoptive father, including lone parents and where the BAS data and that of the child's ethnicity was valid were specified. Second and third twins and triplets not included.

education,	education, parental employment status, NS-SEC status and equivalised family income										
, 		Standard	10 th	25 th	50 th	75 th	90 th				
BAS	Mean	Error	percentile	percentile	percentile	percentile	percentile	Obs			
Family structure											
Two natural								- ·			
parents	51.4	0.21	38	44	51	58	67	9,479			
Natural lone	47.0	0.00			47	50	50	0.400			
parent	47.2	0.28	30	41	47	56	59	2,402			
One natural and	170	0.01	20	11	47	56	50	200			
one step	47.0	0.01		41	47	50 	09 29 41 Droh>	200			
Highost parantal a	ualificatio					F=12	20.41 FIUD-	F-0.0000			
			11	47	56	50	67	1 2 1 0			
	53.5	0.23	41	47	50		64	4,340			
	21.3	0.32	30	44	51	50	04	1,921			
INVQ2	49.3	0.31	38	41	49	00	63	2280			
NVQ1	46.2	0.58	35	41	46	51	58	404			
No qualifications	43.7	0.76	28	37	44	49	58	536			
						F=	78.02 Prob>	F=0.0000			
Earners in family											
I wo people	ED 4	0.10	44	45	50	50	67	E 400			
employed	52.4	0.19	41	40	52	00	07	J,4ZZ			
One person	50 /	0.31	38	13	10	58	67	1 380			
	15 3	0.31	32	40	49	51	58	2 285			
	40.0	0.52	52	50		51 E-2	47 71 Proh				
Highost parantal of	counstion	<u> </u>				Γ-2	47.71 FI002	-0.0000			
Managerial/	ccupation										
Professional	53 1	0 24	41	47	56	58	67	4 919			
Intermediate	50.9	0.34	38	44	51	56	63	1 211			
Small employer	00.0	0.01			01			1,211			
and self-employed	49.7	0.45	36	41	49	56	64	950			
Lower supervisors											
and technical	49.2	0.43	36	41	49	56	63	948			
Semi-routine and											
routine	46.5	0.47	34	41	47	54	59	1,583			
						F=	49.92 Prob>	F=0.0000			
Equivalised family	income										
Above 60 percent											
median income	52.2	0.19	41	44	51	58	67	8503			
Below 60 percent	10.0										
median income	46.2	0.30	34	39	45	53	59	3824			
						⊢=4	40 91 Prob>	⊢=0 0000			

Table: 7.3
BAS Naming Vocabulary: mean and percentile scores by family type, parental
education, parental employment status, NS-SEC status and equivalised family income

Mean scores weighted using weight 2. Mean and percentile scores obtained using the externally-normed, age-standardised scores. Sample children where the main respondent is a natural, step or adoptive mother and the partner respondent is a natural, step or adoptive father, including lone parents and where the BAS data was valid along with information on either family type, parental education, employment statues, occupation or equivalised family income. Second and third twins and triplets not included.

Notes: Parental qualifications and occupation relate to the higher of either of the two parents in couples or the highest qualification or occupation of lone parents. Equivalised income was calculated from grouped income data using a McClements-type equivalence scale (see Chapter 10).

Bracken Basic Concept Scale – Revised (BBCS-R)

The Bracken Basic School Readiness Scale was administered to 11,533 children. Fewer children took part in this test than the vocabulary assessment despite the fact that non-English speakers could be eligible. The standardised scores are categorised as follows: 50 to 69 very delayed; 70 to 84 delayed; 85 to 115 average; 116 to 130 advanced and 131 to 150 very advanced (Bracken School Readiness Assessment Administration Manual, 2002). The mean in this sample, 105.6, is in the middle of the expected 'average' range and has a 95% confidence interval of +/-0.78. The 10th percentile (84) corresponds to the upper limit of the 'delayed' category, and the 90th percentile (126) is well into the 'advanced' range.

Similar patterns to the Naming Vocabulary were found in the Bracken Basic School Readiness results: better scores were achieved by children in Scotland and by girls. The lead in average scores in Scotland is equivalent to about two months' progress while girls, on average, are three months ahead of boys. Bangladeshi boys were the lowest scorers and White girls the highest, with mean scores of 83.6 (confidence interval +/-5.5) and 108.1 (confidence interval +/-0,9) respectively. School readiness scores among non-white children, taken at face value, were generally lower (about one year behind) than those of White children. Pakistani children's scores fell short of White children's by around 11 months. Note also that while only 4 per cent of the White children had scores in the 'delayed' range, over one-guarter of the Black African and Black Caribbean children, as well as the Bangladeshi and Pakistani children, were 'delayed' for their age. As with the BAS naming vocabulary test, we find that language spoken in the home was associated with differences in school readiness. Although the association is not as strong as with the vocabulary test, the difference of 11 points between homes speaking only English and no English is statistically significant (see Table 7.5).

Like the previous assessment, many factors may be contributing to this gap, including, conditions of assessment, cultural factors, or parents' duration of residence, which are not taken account of in this analysis. This may therefore not be an accurate indication of these children's current or future ability. However, it is fair to comment that teachers need to be aware that Bangladeshi and Pakistani children may do less well than others on the school readiness assessments, and that they need more support enabling them to catch-up.

The greatest mean scores relative to their comparison group were achieved by children with two natural parents (107.0), children of highly educated parents (111.3), families where both parents were employed (108.7) and children from higher-income families (108.5). Again, it is worthwhile noting that the analyses presented here represent univariate models, which are not adjusted for contextual information or circumstances of the assessment.

		Standard	10 th	25 th	50 th	75 th	90 th	
Bracken	Mean	error	percentile	percentile	percentile	percentile	percentile	Obs
All	105.6	0.40	84	96	106	117	126	11,553
England	105.6	0.47	83	96	106	117	126	7,398
Wales	104.6	0.83	84	94	105	115	125	1,811
Scotland	107.5	0.75	86	97	108	118	127	1,248
Northern Ireland	102.5	0.85	81	91	104	114	122	1,096
						F=	6.76 Prob>	F=0.0002
Males	103.7	0.41	81	93	105	115	125	5,796
Females	107.4	0.47	86	99	108	118	126	5,757
						F=10	8.79 Prob>	F=0.0000

Table: 7.4 Bracken Basic School Readiness: mean and percentile scores by country at MCS2 and

Mean scores weighted using weight 2. Mean and percentile scores obtained using the externally-normed, age-standardised scores. Sample children where the main respondent is a natural, step or adoptive mother and the partner respondent is a natural, step or adoptive father, including lone parents and where the Bracken data was valid. Second and third twins and triplets not included.

Bracken Basic School Readiness: mean and	percentile scores b	y child's ethnicit	y and gender
--	---------------------	--------------------	--------------

		Standard	10 th	25 th	50 th	75 th	90 th	
Bracken	Mean	Error	percentile	percentile	percentile	percentile	percentile	Obs
White	106.2	0.41	85	96	107	117	126	9992
Mixed background	104.8	1.25	81	93	106	116	126	322
Black Caribbean	97.0	2.26	73	82	96	110	123	127
Black African	96.3	1.92	71	83	94	111	123	164
Bangladeshi	87.6	1.38	65	77	86	97	110	97
Indian	102.9	1.96	79	89	102	117	127	270
Pakistani	91.3	1.30	71	81	90	101	110	395
Other background	103.7	2.13	75	87	107	117	127	143
-	-				•	F=4	4.31 Prob>l	=0.0000
White male	104.4	0.42	83	94	105	115	125	5036
Mixed background								
male	104.0	1.68	81	93	105	117	126	156
Black Caribbean								
male	93.6	2.80	73	79	88	107	127	66
Black African male	91.8	2.79	68	75	91	104	115	77
Bangladeshi male	83.6	2.81	65	73	83	94	99	37
Indian male	101.9	2.14	77	88	102	116	125	132
Pakistani male	88.7	1.30	71	79	88	96	105	192
Other background								
male	103.6	2.90	75	86	107	117	131	76
	(F=3	5.26 Prob>l	-=0.0000
White female	108.1	0.47	83	96	107	115	122	4956
Mixed background	405 7	4 57	00	0.1	107	110	100	400
female	105.7	1.57	82	94	107	116	129	100
female	100 5	2 50	81	88	101	112	110	61
Black African	100.5	2.00	01	00	101	112	113	01
female	100.1	2.37	75	86	99	118	125	87
Bangladeshi female	90.1	1.33	71	77	88	101	111	60
Indian female	103.7	2.41	79	91	103	118	128	138
Pakistani female	94.0	2.01	72	83	93	103	121	203
Other background	0.10							
female	103.8	2.66	77	89	104	115	125	67
	-				•	F=3	3.54 Prob>l	=0.0000
Language spoken								
in the home								
English only	106.09	0.4	84	93	103	110	120	10148
English and other								
languages	100.6	1.15	77	84	95	105	118	1150
No English spoken	94.601	2.95	67	75	88	95	115	255
				F=1	4.37, Prob>F	==0.000 (tes	ting the three	e means)
				F=23.5	53, Prob>F= ⁻	15.19 (testing	g mean1 and	d mean2)

Mean scores weighted using weight 2. Mean and percentile scores obtained using the externally-normed, age-standardised scores. Sample: children where the main respondent is a natural, step or adoptive mother and the partner respondent is a natural, step or adoptive father, including lone parents, where the Bracken and child's ethnicity data were valid. Second and third twins and triplets not included.

Table: 7.6

Bracken Basic School Readiness: mean and percentile scores by family type, parental education, parental employment, NS-SEC status and equivalised family income at MCS2

		Standard	10 th	25 th	50 th	75 th	90 th				
Bracken	Mean	error	percentile	percentile	percentile	percentile	percentile	Obs			
Family structure											
Two natural	107.0	0.11	00	07	107	110	407	0.000			
parents	107.0	0.41	80	97	107	118	127	9,069			
natural lone	00 /	0.49	70	88	100	110	120	2 276			
	33.4	0.49	19	00	100	110	120	2,270			
and one step	98.3	1.33	77	87	102	108	117	193			
							=161.07 Pro	b>F=0.0000			
Highest parental qualification											
NVQ5/NVQ4	111.3	0.47	93	103	112	121	129	4,187			
NVQ3	106.0	0.48	86	97	106	116	125	1,837			
NVQ2	102.1	0.43	83	91	103	112	121	2,173			
NVQ1	97.7	0.92	77	86	98	110	117	395			
No											
qualifications	94.5	1.00	75	83	94	105	117	506			
Formers in famil	F=129.63 Prob>F=0.0000										
Two pooplo	y										
employed	108 7	0.38	89	100	109	119	127	5 206			
One person	100.7	0.00		100	100	110		0,200			
employed	105.4	0.53	84	95	106	116	126	4,194			
No one											
employed	96.1	0.54	75	84	96	107	117	2,153			
							F=314.71 Pro	b>F=0.0000			
Highest parents	al occupa	tion		1		-					
Managerial/	440 7	0.40		100		100	100	4 740			
protessional	110.7	0.43	92	102	111	120	128	4,710			
Small	100.7	0.57	87	98	107	110	125	1,107			
employer and											
self-employed	102.4	0.70	81	91	103	115	123	923			
Lower											
supervisors											
and technical	101.7	0.65	81	91	103	112	121	903			
Semi-routine											
and routine	98.4	0.58	79	86	99	108	118	1,497			
F=126.67 Prob>F=0.0000											
Equivalised fam	ily income	9									
percent median											
income	108.48	0.39	88	99	108	119	127	8.143			
Below 60								-, -			
percent median			_		_						
income	97.57	0.44	77	86	97	108	118	3,630			
	1						F=/15/20 Pro	n>⊢=()()()())			

Mean scores weighted using weight 2. Mean and percentile scores obtained using the externally-normed, age-standardised scores. Sample children where the main respondent is a natural, step or adoptive mother and the partner respondent is a natural, step or adoptive father, including lone parents, where valid Bracken test date data were available along with type, parental education, employment status, occupation or equivalised family income. Second and third twins and triplets not included.

Notes: Parental qualifications and occupation relate to the higher of either of the parents in two-carer families or the highest qualification or occupation of lone parents. Equivalised income was calculated from grouped income data using a McClements-type equivalence scale (see chapter 10).

Behavioural adjustment

Unlike the assessments of cognitive development which were directly measured from the child, indicators of behavioural adjustment are based on mothers' reports. SDQ overall difficulty scores describing 12,018 children are given in table 7.7. The estimated raw mean score for total difficulties reported is 9.3 (95% confidence interval +/-0.16) and the range of the total difficulties score is between 0 and 40. Scores of between 14 and16 are classified as borderline and scores of 17 and above are classified as abnormal (Goodman 2001). An abnormal score could be reached in different ways, for example , if it was 'somewhat true' that the child had 17 out of 20 problems, 'certainly true' that they showed at least nine of them, or some intermediate combination. The raw mean score reported for the whole sample was 9.3, which falls into the normal range. Ten per cent of boys had scores in the abnormal range, 17 or above, whereas for girls, the worst 10 per cent mark was in the borderline category

When comparing countries, Scotland and Northern Ireland have lower mean scores of 8.9 and 8.7 respectively, indicating significantly fewer behavioural problems in those countries on the four domains encompassed by the total difficulties score. Examining the different ethnic groups shows that mothers of Black African children report the least behaviour problems (i.e. lowest mean scores of 8.7 (confidence interval +/-0.8), followed by White children (mean score 9.2 +/-0.16). For all other ethnic groups we find scores higher than the sample mean, with Bangladeshi and Pakistani children obtaining scores of 12 and 13 respectively, indicating relatively increased behavioural problems (with confidence limits of 1.16 and 1.04 either side respectively). Black African girls have the lowest mean difficulties score (8.1) across all the groups split by gender and ethnicity; White, mixed background, Indian and other ethnic group females also have scores below the sample mean (8.7, 9.1, 8.5 and 9 respectively). For males, only Black African boys had scores below the overall sample average at 9.2. In interpreting these findings, it has to be kept in mind, that behavioural adjustment was assessed via parental report, and that there might be ethnic differences in reporting, parental language skills or item interpretation. The total difficulties score is higher for children in homes where no English is said to be spoken (10.87) compared to 9.24 in homes speaking only English. Children growing up in bilingual homes fall in between these two groups. All three groups are significantly different from one another.

Children described by their parent as having relatively low behavioural problems were those with two natural parents (8.9); those with more educated parents (9.1 for NVQ3 and 7.9 for NVQ4 or higher); or children in households where there were two employed adults (8.4). Children from higher socio-economic groups, where the mean score was 8.1 for children of managerial/professional parents, and those in higher income families, with mean scores of 7.5 and 8. 5 for the two highest household income groups, were also assessed as having lower difficulties scores.

At the problematic extreme, groups where as many as 10 per cent or more had an abnormal score above 17 were: boys, ethnic groups from South Asia, lone parents, step-families, parental occupation in the lower two classes, and adjusted family income below £330 per week.

Table: 7.7

Total Behaviour Difficulties Score: mean and percentiles by selected characteristics at MCS2										
Total Difficulties Score	Mean	Standard Error	10 th per- centile	25 th per- centile	50 th per- centile	75 th per- centile	90 th per- centile	Obs		
All	9.3	0.08	3	5.5	9	12	16	12,018		
England	9.4	0.10	3	6	9	12	16	7,680		
Wales	9.1	0.14	3	5	8.3	12	16	1,865		
Scotland	8.9	0.18	3	5	8	12	15.8	1.390		
Northern Ireland	8.7	0.17	3	5	8	12	16	1.083		
		••••				F=4	.79 Prob>	F=0.0027		
Child gender						· · ·		. 0.0027		
Males	9.8	0.09	4	6	9	13	17	6,120		
Females	8.8	0.1	3	5	8	12	15.8	5,898		
						F=97	'.18 Prob>	F=0.0000		
Ethnic group	Ethnic group									
White	9.2	0.08	3	5.3	8.5	12	16	10,467		
Mixed background	9.4	0.31	3	6	9	12.3	16.5	352		
Black Caribbean	9.9	0.53	4	6.3	10	13	16.3	149		
Black African	8.7	0.41	2	5	8.5	11.3	14.8	174		
Bangladeshi	12	0.59	5	8	10.3	16	19.3	98		
Indian	9.7	0.49	3	5.3	9	13	17	260		
Pakistani	13	0.53	5	8	10.3	16	19.3	329		
Other background	10.2	0.75	3	5.3	9	14	20	153		
						F=11	.66 Prob>	F=0.0000		
Ethnic group Boys	8									
White male	9.7	0.1	4	6	9	13	17	5,347		
Mixed background male	9.8	0.43	3	6	9	13	16.8	170		
Black Caribbean			-		-					
male	10.3	0.63	4	6.8	10	13	16	78		
Black African male	9.2	0.63	2	6	9	12.3	14.8	88		
Bangladeshi male	12	1.22	5	7.3	10	15.8	24	45		
Indian male	10.8	0.61	4	6	10	14.8	18.8	138		
Pakistani male	13.6	0.6	5.6	8.8	12.7	18	22.8	155		
Other background male	11 4	11	3	6	10	15.8	23	79		
			Ŭ	Ŭ	10	F=	8.31 Prob>	F=0 0000		
Ethnic group Girls										
White female	8.7	0.1	3	5	8	11.7	15.3	5,120		
Mixed background										
female	9.1	0.43	3	6	8	11.8	16	182		
Black Caribbean	0.4	0.67	E	e	10	10	16.0	74		
Black African	9.4	0.07		0	10	12	10.3	/ 1		
female	8.1	0.52	3	5	7.5	10.4	14.7	86		
Bangladeshi female	12	0.78	7	8.7	11	16.8	19	53		
Indian female	8.5	0.57	3	4.5	7.8	11	16	122		
					11.7	17	22	174		

Table: 7.7								
Total Difficulties Score	Mean	Standard Error	10 th per- centile	25 th per- centile	50 th per- centile	75 th per- centile	90 th per- centile	Obs
Other background	9	0 71	3	5	8	12	16	74
		0.71	0	0	0	Γ_6		
Contd						F=0	0.98 PIOD>	F=0.0000
Language spoken ir	the home	•						
Language spoken i		,						
English only	9.24	0.84	3.00	5.00	7.00	10.00	14.00	10,665
English and other languages	9.97	0.32	3.00	5.00	7.50	11.00	15.00	1,145
No English spoken	10.87	0.62	5 00	5 40	8 00	11 00	16 67	208
	Spore IU.07 U.02 SUU SUU IU.07 IU.0						e means)	
	F=5.31, prob $>F=0.0217$ (testing mean1 and mean2)							
Family structure				/ [-		(*****)		/
Two natural parents	8.9	0.07	3	5	8	12	15.5	9,386
Natural lone parent	11.2	0.16	4	7	10.5	15	19.3	2414
One natural and		_						
one step-parent	11.8	0.45	5	8	11.8	15	20.5	204
						F=131	.31 Prob>	F=0.0000
Highest parental ed		0.00	0		7	10 5	4.4	4.070
	7.9	0.09	3	5	/	10.5	14	4,370
	9.1	0.13	3.8	62	8.3	12	15.8	1,932
	10.2	0.12	4	0.3	9.0	15	10	2,270
No qualifications	11.1	0.30	4	0.5	10.3	10	20	394 468
	11.9	0.52	5	I	11	F=90	20 20 Proh>	+00 F=0 0000
Highest parental oc	F=99.29 ProD>F=0.000							1 -0.0000
Managerial/	Jupation							
professional	8	0.08	3	5	7	11	14	4,949
Intermediate	9.1	0.16	3.5	5.7	9	12	15	1,223
Small employer & self-employed	9.3	0.2	3.3	6	8.7	12.1	16	913
Lower supervisor & technical	10.4	0.19	4	6.7	9.5	13.8	17.3	932
Semi-routine &	11 4	0 17	5	7	11	15	19	1 518
	11.4	0.17	5			F=87	.87 Pr∩h>	F=0 0000
Equivalised family income								
Above 60 percent								
median income	8.6	0.07	3	5	8	11	15	8,588
Below 60 percent	11 /	0 12	5	7	11	15	10	3 761
	F=596 54 Prob = 0.001							

Mean scores weighted using weight 2. Sample children where the main respondent is a natural, step or adoptive mother and the partner respondent is a natural, step or adoptive father, including lone parents. Second and third twins and triplets not included.

Note: Analysis by ethnicity uses the child's ethnicity; parental qualifications and occupation relating to the higher of either of the parents in two- carer families or the highest qualification or occupation of lone parents.
Language in Wales

The foregoing analysis of homes where languages other than English is spoken at the UK level did not take into account that in Wales there are two official languages. The general implication of an association of not speaking English with delayed development, particularly in vocabulary, but also emotional adjustment may not apply in this situation.

About one-tenth of respondents in Wales reported speaking some or only Welsh at home. A small number of families opted to do the child assessment or adult interviews in Welsh (see Moon, 2006), but there were also assessments done in English on 175 families. These results are almost the reverse of the general picture. Children in those Welsh homes where any Welsh was reported as being spoken had an almost identical distribution of scores at age 3 on both the Vocabulary and School Readiness Scores as other children in Wales speaking only English. If anything, the average cognitive scores were higher in the Welsh-speaking homes, but not significantly so. There were fewer children with high problem behaviour scores in the Welsh-speaking homes than those in Wales where only English was spoken (the mean behaviour problem scores were 7.92 and 9.23 respectively, a modest but statistically significant difference).

Neither set of results proves that a bilingual upbringing is either an asset or a handicap as far as developmental assessments go, although to be acquiring a second language is an asset in itself. It may also play a part among other factors, and signal other differences between families which need to be considered with multivariate methods.

Looking back at earlier developmental milestones

We now compare indicators of development at age three with the developmental milestones measured in the first MCS survey: gross motor functions, fine motor functions and communicative gestures. Gross motor skills include standing and walking, while examples of fine motor skills are being able to pick up small objects. To make communicative gestures at nine months, includes smiling and waving goodbye. Delays are identified for, by definition, a minority who have not reached the stage normal for their age (Schoon et al 2005). Children classified as having one or more delays at age nine months on average achieved worse scores in all the three assessments at three years of age.

The mean BAS vocabulary score for the whole sample is 50.6; similar mean scores were achieved by children without any developmental delays. However, the minority of children who had had one or more gross motor function delays had a mean score of 48. Those with one or more fine motor function delays at nine months had a mean score of 48.3 and those with one or more delay in communicative gestures at the earlier stage achieved a mean score of 48.4 (all still within the 'average' range). The mean Bracken score for the whole sample was 105.6, and again children without any delays at nine months achieved comparable mean scores. Children who had had gross motor function delays had a mean score of 101.9. Those with earlier fine motor function delays had scores of 102.3 and those with previous delays in communicative

gestures had a mean score of 101.5. Again, this is still within the 'average' range, but lower. The total behaviour difficulties scores show the same pattern: children with one or more delays at nine months in any of the three developmental milestones obtained worse mean scores than the overall sample and than those without any delays. Children displaying developmental delays in communicative gestures at nine months have the highest mean problem score at age three (10.8). These differences are all statistically significant and suggest that not all early delays are compensated for by subsequent catch-up.

The indicators of developmental milestones at nine months, especially communicative gestures, did not appear to be as clearly socially patterned as socioemotional adjustment measured at three. This could be because behavioural problems in babies are less clearly measured, or because socially divergent patterns emerge as a baby grows into a three-year-old.

 Table: 7.8

 BAS Naming Vocabulary: mean and percentile scores at age three by developmental milestone

 measures at nine months

1										
540		Standard	10	25	50	/5	90			
BAS	Mean	error	percentile	percentile	percentile	percentile	percentile	Obs		
All	50.6	0.21	38	43	49	58	67	12,096		
No gross motor										
function delays at										
nine months	51.1	0.20	38	44	50	58	67	10 387		
One or more gross	• • • •	0.20					01	10,001		
motor function										
delays at nine										
monthe	49.0	0.40	34	11	47	56	63	1 700		
monuns	40.0	0.40	- 54	41	47	50	03			
		r			[F-00.05 PIOD	>0.0000		
No fine motor										
function delays at										
nine months	50.9	0.21	38	43	50	58	67	10,906		
One or more fine										
motor function										
delays at nine										
months	48.3	0.39	36	41	48	56	63	1,190		
		I		•	I.	•	F=54.69 Prob	>F=0.0000		
No communicative										
gesture delays at										
nine months	50.7	0.21	38	43	49	58	65	11 550		
	00.7	0.21	00				00	11,000		
communicative										
yesiule uelays al	40.4	0.00	0.4		40	50		F 40		
nine months	48.4	0.60	34	41	49	56	<u> </u>	546		
	-U. -	0.00	54		-+3	50	E=18.06 Prol	h>F=0.0000		

Mean scores weighted using weight 2. Sample: children where the main respondent is a natural, step or adoptive mother and the partner respondent is a natural, step or adoptive father, including lone parents, where BAS scores and developmental milestone measures have been specified. Second and third twins and triplets not included.

measures at nine months								
		Standard	10 th	25 th	50 th	75 th	90 th	
Bracken	Mean	error	percentile	percentile	percentile	percentile	percentile	Obs
All	105.6	0.40	84	96	106	117	126	11,533
No gross motor function delays	106.2	0.40	84	96	107	117	126	9,938
One or more gross motor function								
delays	101.9	0.67	79	89	102	115	124	1,615
F=64.13 Prob>F=0.0000								
No fine motor								
function delays	106.0	0.40	84	96	107	117	126	10,417
One or more fine								
delays	102.3	0.67	79	90	103	115	125	1,136
	•		•	•		F=	42.74 Prob	>F=0.0000
No communicative	105.9	0.40	04	06	106	117	126	11 020
gesture delays	105.0	0.40	04	90	100	117	120	11,030
One or more communicative								
gesture delays	101.5	0.88	79	89	102	114	124	523
	F=31.31 Prob>F=0.0003							

 Table: 7.9

 Bracken School Readiness: mean and percentile scores at age three by developmental milestone measures at nine months

Mean scores weighted using weight 2. Sample children where the main respondent is a natural, step or adoptive mother and the partner respondent is a natural, step or adoptive father, including lone parents, where Bracken scores and developmental milestone measures have been specified. Second and third children in twins and triplets not included.

Table: 7.10					
Total Behavioural Difficulties: mean and percentile scores at age three by					

-		aevelopme	ntal mileston	e measures	at nine mont	ns		
Total Difficulties		Standar	10 th	25 th	50 th	75 th	90 th	
Score	Mean	d Error	percentile	percentile	percentile	percentile	percentile	Obs
All	9.3	0.08	3.0	5.5	9.0	12.0	16.0	12,018
No gross motor function delays at	0.0	0.08	2.0	5.0	0.0	10.0	16.0	10 220
	9.2	0.08	3.0	5.3	8.3	12.0	10.0	10,329
One or more gross motor function delays at								
nine months	10.2	0.19	4.0	6.0	9.2	13.8	18.0	1,689
						F	==37.46 Prob	>F=0.0000
No fine motor function delays at	0.2	0.08	3.0	53	83	12.0	16.0	10 836
	9.2	0.08	3.0	0.0	0.3	12.0	10.0	10,030
motor function delays at nine	10.6	0.21	4.0	6.0	10.0	14.0	18 3	1 182
monuis	10.0	0.21	4.0	0.0	10.0	14.0	-55 29 Drok	1,102
No						r I		DPF=0.0000
communicative gesture delays at	0.0	0.00	2.0			10.0	10.0	11100
nine months	9.2	0.08	3.0	5.5	8.8	12.0	16.0	11493
One or more communicative gesture delays at								
nine months	10.8	0.30	4.0	6.0	10	14.0	18.5	525
	F=30.01 Prob>F=0.0028							

Mean scores weighted using weight 2. Sample children where the main respondent is a natural, step or adoptive mother and the partner respondent is a natural, step or adoptive father, including lone parents, where a total difficulties scores and developmental milestone measures have been specified. Second and third twins and triplets not included.

Conclusion

This chapter has provided an overview of child outcomes at age three using cognitive and behavioural assessments. The scores for the sample fell as much within and outside the normal range for all three assessments as would be expected for children of their age. However, the results showed a marked difference in children from advantaged versus disadvantaged backgrounds, exemplified by the better scores achieved by children from families with two working parents, high family incomes and highly educated parents. All three assessments show that a picture of early childhood disadvantage is emerging as likely to have important implications for educational progress and adjustments in later life. There also appear to be substantial differences between ethnic groups, with Bangladeshi and Pakistani children showing signs of slower cognitive development and lower parent reported behavioural adjustment. However, as already noted, a range of other factors which have not been taken into account in this analysis could account, partially or even fully, for these ethnic differences. Data on minority groups are subject to greater sampling error than larger groups, and may be particularly variable as concerns members of ethnic groups who do not live in the over sampled areas of concentrated minority ethnic settlement, or among ethnic minority children born into different social strata. The response rates of some non-White groups, cultural expectations, the importance of other languages being spoken at home, and number of siblings are just some of the factors that warrant further investigation. There is also scope for further investigation of differences in the development scores in the separate countries of the UK, and in particular of the role of bilingualism in Wales.

References

Bracken, B. A. (1998). *Bracken. Basic Concept Scale - Revised*. San Antonio, Texas: The Psychological Corporation.

Bracken, B. A. (2002). *Bracken School Readiness Assessment Administration Manual.* San Antonio, TX: The Psychological Corporation.

Bricker, D., Squires, J., Mounts, L., Potter, L., Nickel, B., & Farrell, J. (1995). *Ages and Stages Questionnaire*. Baltimore: Paul H. Brookes.

Elliott, C. D. (1983). British Ability Scales. Windsor, UK: NFER-Nelson.

Elliott, C.D., Smith, P. & McCulloch, K. (1997) *British Abilities Scale II Technical Manual*. London, UK: NFER-Nelson.

Fenson, L., Dale, P. S., Resnick, J. S., Thal, D., Bates, E., Hartung, J. P., et al. (1993). *MacArthur Communicative Development Inventories (CDI)*. San Diego, CA: Singular Publishing Group.

Frankenburg, W. K., & Dodds, J. B. (1967). Denver Developmental Screening Test *J. Paediatrics, 71*, 181-191.

Frankenburg, W. K., & Dodds, J. B. (1990). *Denver II screening manual*. Denver, CO: Denver Developmental Materials.

Goodman, R. (1997). The Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire: A Research Note

Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry, 38, 581-586.

Goodman, R. (2001). Psychometric properties of the Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ) *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, *40*(1337-1345).

Goodman, R., Meltzer, H., & Bailey, V. (1998). The Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire: A pilot study on the validity of the self-report version, *European Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, *7*, 125-130.

Moon, N. (2006) *Technical Report on Fieldwork*, London: NOP. <u>http://www.cls.ioe.ac.uk/studies.asp?section=00010002000100040006</u>

Schoon, I., Sacker, A., Hope, S., Collishaw, S., & Maughan, B. (2005). Children's development in the family environment. In S. Dex & H. Joshi (Eds.), *Babies of the New Millennium* (pp. 159-174): Polity Press

Chapter 8

PARENTAL HEALTH AND WELLBEING

Lisa Calderwood, Yvonne Kelly and Lidia Panico

Introduction

The health of parents matters in our account of the Millennium Cohort Study, as it forms an important part of the context in which children grow up. The second sweep of the MCS collected data on health and related behaviours including general selfrated health, longstanding illnesses, psychological morbidity, smoking, alcohol and other drug use, and height and weight. Each of these is considered for mothers and fathers in relation to age, country of residence, ethnicity, occupation, educational qualifications, family structure and employment status.

Health and longstanding illness

Self-rated health

Parents were asked to describe their health as 'excellent', 'good', 'fair' or 'poor'. Overall, about 31 per cent of mothers rated it 'excellent', 51 per cent 'good', 15 per cent 'fair' and 3 per cent 'poor'. Slightly higher proportions of fathers rated their health 'excellent' (35 per cent) and 'good' (52 per cent), with lower proportions of fathers rating it 'fair' (12 per cent) and poor (2 per cent).

Fair/poor health was reported by one in six mothers (Table 8.1). This varied by occupation, highest educational qualification, ethnic group, age, employment status and family composition. For example, mothers in less skilled occupations were twice as likely to have fair/poor health as the most skilled. Indian, Pakistani, Bangladeshi and Black Caribbean mothers were more likely to report fair/poor health compared with White mothers.

Mothers in England were the most likely (17.7 per cent) to report fair or poor health and those in Scotland and Northern Ireland were the least likely (15.1 per cent and 15.6 per cent). These differences were statistically significant at the 6 per cent level.

About one in seven fathers reported fair/poor health and similar variations to those seen in mothers were observed. Country differences were not statistically significant.

		Total	Percentage fair or poor health
Mothers			
Total (all who completed main interview)		15,229	17.4
Age	Under 25	2,151	24.5
	25 to 29	2,997	22.0
	30 to 34	4,823	14.9
	35 to 39	3,875	14.3
	40 and over	1,372	18.3

Table 8.1 Parents' general health at sweep 2

		Total	Percentage fair or
Operated			poor nealth
Conta.		0.0000	
	p value Chi square	0.0000	
Country	England	9,810	17 7
	Wales	2 200	16.5
	Scotland	1 785	15.1
	N Ireland	1 4 3 4	15.6
	p value	0.0519	1010
	Chi square	10.89	
Ethnicity	White	12,473	16.5
	Mixed	128	17.3
	Indian	366	19.4
	Pakistani	652	28.8
	Bangladeshi	247	25.7
	Black Caribbean	178	25.8
	Black African	240	18.8
	Other ethnicity	257	20.0
	p value	0.0000	
	Chi square	56.27	
Occupational class	Managerial and professional	2,866	9.6
	Intermediate	1,848	11.9
	Small employer and self-employed	522	11.4
	Lower supervisory and technical	311	19.3
	Routine and semi-routine	2,100	18.1
	p value	0.0000	
	Chi square	88.94	
Couples' employment	Both partners employed	6,669	11.9
	Main employed, partner not employed	308	20.9
	Partner employed, main not employed	4,647	18.5
	Neither employed	969	36.8
	p value	0.0000	
		343.41	00.0
Education level	NVQ 1	1,234	23.3
	NVQ 2	4,314	18.9
		2,220	11.5
		4,304	11.0
	NVQ 5 Other/oversees qualifications	302	9.5
	None of the above	2 060	21.0
	n value	0,000	50.9
	Chi square	404.24	
Family type	Married natural parents	9 384	14 0
	Cohabiting natural parents	2 339	21.4
	Natural parents (other/unknown	2,000	2
	relationship)	563	19.2
	Lone natural mother	2.575	25.9
	Other	368	27.7
	p value	0.0000	
	Chi square	244.24	
Fathers			
Total (all fathers who com	pleted partner interview)	10,256	14.0
Age	Under 25	387	21.5
	25 to 29	1,295	18.9

Table 8.1Parents' general health at sweep 2

		Total	Percentage fair or
			poor health
Contd.		0.050	10.0
		2,953	13.6
	35 to 39	3,291	11.2
	40 and over	2,278	15.0
	p value	0.0000	
0		61.75	
Country	England	6,707	14.1
	Wales	1,488	12.1
	Scotland	1,169	13.5
	N. Ireland	892	13.3
	p value	0.29	
	Chi square	4.39	
Ethnicity	White	8,114	13.6
	Mixed	64	14.8
	Indian	268	18.5
	Pakistani	350	20.0
	Bangladeshi	107	29.4
	Black Caribbean	82	11.7
	Black African	103	9.3
	Other ethnicity	182	14.2
	p value	0.0029	
	Chi square	21.48	
Occupational class	Managerial and professional	3,928	9.1
	Intermediate	763	12.5
	Small employer and self-employed	1,495	13.8
	Lower supervisory and technical	1,420	19.7
	Routine and semi-routine	2,575	20.8
	p value	0.0000	
	Chi square	207.52	
Couples' employment	Both partners employed	5,610	10.9
	Main employed, partner not employed	258	33.6
	Partner employed, main not employed	3,586	13.2
	Neither employed	752	43.7
	p value	0.0000	
	Chi square	523.99	
Education level	NVQ 1	646	22.1
	NVQ 2	2,628	16.2
	NVQ 3	1,462	12.1
	NVQ 4	2,988	8.7
	NVQ 5	622	5.4
	Other/overseas gualifications	398	21.1
	None of the above	1,106	27.9
	p value	0.0000	-
	Chi square	315.77	
Family type	Married natural parents	7.675	12.4
	Cohabiting natural parents	1,852	19.4
	Natural parents (other/unknown	.,	
	relationship)	504	13.9
	Other	225	26.9
	p value	0.0000	
	Chi square	86.08	

Table 8.1Parents' general health at sweep 2

 On Square
 86.98

 Note: Total bases are unweighted. Percentages are weighted. Variable used for weighting: weight 2, apart from analysis by country which uses weight 1. Lone fathers not included.

Longstanding illness

Such illness is defined as 'a longstanding illness, disability or infirmity that has troubled you over a period of time or is likely to affect you over a period of time'. About one in five parents reported such illness (Table 8.2), although it is not known how many had to limit their activities as a result.

Table 8.2					
	Parental longstanding illness at s	weep 2	Deveentere		
		lotai	Iongstanding illness		
Mothers	•				
Total (all who completed	main interview)	15,229	21.4		
Age	Under 25	2,151	21.8		
	25 to 29	2,997	23.1		
	30 to 34	4,823	20.1		
	35 to 39	3,875	20.9		
	40 and over	1,372	23.6		
	p value	0.02			
	Chi square	14.35			
Country	England	9,810	21.3		
	Wales	2,200	22.8		
	Scotland	1,785	22.7		
	N. Ireland	1,434	19.4		
	p value	0.11			
	Chi square	8.61			
Ethnicity	White	12,473	21.7		
	Mixed	128	23.1		
	Indian	366	18.2		
	Pakistani	652	13.8		
	Bangladeshi	247	18.4		
	Black Caribbean	178	24.2		
	Black African	240	12.0		
	Other ethnicity	257	17.5		
	p value	0.0003			
	Chi square	27.60			
Occupational class	Managerial and professional	2,866	17.9		
	Intermediate	1,848	17.3		
	Small employer and self-employed	522	22.9		
	Lower supervisory and technical	311	22.2		
	Routine and semi-routine	2,100	19.6		
	p value	0.0171			
	Chi square	14.26			
Couples' employment	Both partners employed	6,669	18.4		
	Main employed, partner not				
	employed	308	23.2		
	Partner employed, main not				
	employed	4,647	21.8		
	Neither employed	969	33.3		
	p value	0.0000			
		95.06	00.0		
		1,234	22.9		
		4,314	23.6		
		2,226	19.5		
	NVQ 4	4,304	19.6		
L	NVQ 5	582	16.3		

	Table 8.2		
	Parental longstanding illness at sw	veep 2	Duration
		lotal	Percentage longstanding illness
Contd.			longotaliang intooo
	Other/overseas gualifications	477	20.3
	None of the above	2,060	24.5
	p value	0.0000	
	Chi square	47.40	
Family type	Married natural parents	9,384	19.6
	Cohabiting natural parents	2,339	23.5
	Natural parents (other/unknown		
	relationship)	563	21.4
	Lone natural mother	2,575	25.7
	Other	368	30.4
	p value	0.0000	
	Chi square	66.36	
Fathers		10.070	
I otal (all who completed	partner interview)	10,256	21.2
Age	Under 25	387	22.7
	25 to 29	1,295	20.7
	30 to 34	2,953	19.0
	35 to 39	3,291	19.6
	40 and over	2,278	25.8
	p value	0.0000	
Country		44.07	01.0
Country		0,707	21.3
	Sectord	1,400	22.1
	Nureland	1,109	20.7
		0.027	10.0
	p value Chi square	0.037	
Ethnicity	White	8 11/	21.8
	Mixed	64	21.0
	Indian	268	18.8
	Pakistani	350	17.3
	Bandadeshi	107	17.5
	Black Caribbean	82	18.0
	Black African	103	15.6
	Other ethnicity	182	15.6
	p value	0.25	10.0
	Chi square	9.0005	
Occupational class	Managerial and professional	3,928	19.2
•	Intermediate	763	24.1
	Small employer and self-employed	1,495	18.6
	Lower supervisory and technical	1,420	24.4
	Routine and semi-routine	2,575	23.8
	p value	0.0000	
	Chi square	37.02	
Couples' employment	Both partners employed	<u>5,</u> 610	19.2
	Main employed, partner not		
	employed	258	38.8
	Partner employed, main not		
	employed	3,586	19.0
	Neither employed	752	47.4
	p value	0.0000	
	Chi square	291.87	

Table 8.2 Parental longstanding illness at sween 2					
		Total	Percentage longstanding illness		
Contd.					
Education level	NVQ 1	646	22.2		
	NVQ 2	2,628	22.3		
	NVQ 3	1,462	19.5		
	NVQ 4	2,988	20.5		
	NVQ 5	622	16.1		
	Other/overseas qualifications	398	25.0		
	None of the above	1,106	26.0		
	p value	0.0014			
	Chi square	30.21			
Family type	Married natural parents	7,675	20.3		
	Cohabiting natural parents	1,852	23.8		
	Natural parents (other/unknown				
	relationship)	504	23.4		
	Other	225	28.3		
	p value	0.0030			
	Chi square	18.68			

Note: Total bases are unweighted. Percentages are weighted. Variable used for weighting: weight 2, , apart from analysis by country which uses weight 1. Lone fathers not included.

Health-related behaviour

Cigarette smoking

About three in 10 mothers were smokers, varying by age, ethnic group, occupation, educational qualifications and family composition (Table 8.3). The likelihood of smoking decreases with age, with more than half of younger mothers (under 25) smoking at the time of interview compared with about one in five of those aged 35 or above. Younger mothers were also most likely to smoke heavily, with one in 10 smoking 20 or more cigarettes per day. Indian, Pakistani, Bangladeshi and Black African mothers were less likely to smoke than White mothers. Black Caribbean mothers were most likely to smoke, though White mothers were most likely to be heavy smokers. Mothers in the most skilled occupations were less likely to smoke and be heavy smokers than those less skilled. Lone mothers were more likely to smoke and be heavy smokers than mothers in married two-parent families, although there was no difference between lone mothers and those in co-habiting households.

Similar patterns by age, occupation and educational level were seen for fathers, with the exception of ethnic group: Pakistani and Bangladeshi fathers were more likely to smoke than White fathers, though less likely to be such heavy smokers.

	Table 8.3						
Parental	smoking	status	at sweep 2				

		Total					
			Non-smoker	Under 10 cigarettes (inc. roll- ups)	10-19 cigarettes (inc. roll-ups)	20+ cigarettes (inc. roll-ups)	Other tobacco products
			Per cent	Per cent	Per cent	Per cent	Per cent
Mothers		-	-				
Total (all who completed	main interview)	15,229	72.5	9.2	12.2	6.0	0.1
Age	Under 25	2,151	45.8	15.8	27.9	10.4	0.0
	25 to 29	2,997	62.1	13.8	16.2	7.8	0.1
	30 to 34	4,823	76.4	7.8	10.2	5.5	0.1
	35 to 39	3,875	82.4	6.5	7.0	4.0	0.1
	40 and over	1,372	82.2	5.2	7.4	5.2	0.1
	p value	0.0000					
	Chi square	1166.55					
Country	England	9,810	73.1	9.1	12.0	5.8	0.1
	Wales	2,200	67.4	11.0	13.7	7.8	0.0
	Scotland	1,785	71.1	8.7	13.5	6.5	0.2
	N. Ireland	1,434	71.4	7.4	12.9	8.2	
	p value	0.0005					
	Chi square	56.64					
Ethnicity	White	12,473	71.6	9.3	12.7	6.4	0.0
	Mixed	128	64.7	18.0	12.8	4.5	
	Indian	366	92.7	2.9	3.1	0.7	0.6
	Pakistani	652	95.2	4.0	0.6	0.1	0.1
	Bangladeshi	247	98.1	1.3	0.5		
	Black Caribbean	178	62.9	22.8	10.9	1.6	1.8
	Black African	240	89.6	6.9	3.6		
	Other ethnicity	257	88.5	6.6	3.0	1.6	0.4
	p value	0.0000					
	Chi square	376.07					
Occupational class	Managerial and professional	2,866	86.8	6.3	5.0	1.8	0.1
	Intermediate	1,848	80.4	9.7	7.4	2.5	0.1
	Small employer and self-		• · -				
	employed	522	81.5	6.8	8.6	3.1	
	Lower supervisory and technical	311	65.1	14.7	13.6	6.7	
	Routine and semi-routine	2,100	64.1	11.8	16.9	7.2	
	p value	0.0000					
	Chi square	443.65					

Table 8.3	
Parental smoking status at sweep 2	

		Total					
			Non-smoker	Under 10 cigarettes (inc. roll- ups)	10-19 cigarettes (inc. roll-ups)	20+ cigarettes (inc. roll-ups)	Other tobacco products
			Per cent	Per cent	Per cent	Per cent	Per cent
Contd.							
Couples' employment	Both partners employed	6,669	81.4	7.8	7.9	2.8	0.0
	Main employed, partner not						
	employed	308	62.5	11.9	17.7	7.9	
	Partner employed, main not						
	employed	4,647	75.9	7.8	10.4	5.8	0.1
	Neither employed	969	52.0	9.6	21.7	16.6	0.2
	p value	0.0000					
	Chi square	522.24					
Education level	NVQ 1	1,234	53.1	11.9	23.5	11.5	0.1
	NVQ 2	4,314	65.6	11.3	16.2	6.8	0.1
	NVQ 3	2,226	73.3	11.4	10.9	4.3	0.0
	NVQ 4	4,304	87.1	6.3	4.5	2.0	0.0
	NVQ 5	582	92.9	4.3	1.7	1.1	
	Other/overseas qualifications	477	76.2	5.9	9.7	7.9	0.3
	None of the above	2,060	50.1	10.0	23.7	16.1	0.1
	p value	0.0000					
	Chi square	1618.38					
Family type	Married natural parents	9,384	83.3	6.7	6.9	3.0	0.0
	Cohabiting natural parents	2,339	56.2	13.3	20.1	10.3	0.1
	Natural parents (other/unknown						
	relationship)	563	77.1	6.7	10.0	5.9	0.2
	Lone natural mother	2,575	44.9	15.8	25.9	13.2	0.2
	Other	368	49.9	10.9	24.6	14.6	
	p value	0.0000					
	Chi square	1924.50					
Fathers							
Total (all who completed	partner interview)	10,256	69.6	8.1	12.1	8.8	1.4
Age	Under 25	387	41.2	14.0	31.2	13.5	
	25 to 29	1,295	51.5	14.1	21.3	12.7	0.4
	30 to 34	2,953	68.9	7.8	13.4	8.6	1.4
	35 to 39	3,291	75.6	7.9	8.0	7.2	1.3
	40 and over	2,278	73.9	5.5	9.6	8.7	2.3

	Table 8.3	
Parental	smoking status at sweep	2

		Total					
				Under 10	10-19 cigarettes	20+ cigarettes	Other tobacco
			Non-smoker	cigarettes (inc. roll- ups)	(inc. roll-ups)	(inc. roll-ups)	products
			Per cent	Per cent	Per cent	Per cent	Per cent
Contd.							
	p value	0.0000					
	Chi square	498.88					
Country	England	6,707	69.6	8.5	11.8	8.7	1.4
	Wales	1,488	69.9	7.3	12.8	8.6	1.4
	Scotland	1,169	69.5	6.3	14.0	8.9	1.3
	N. Ireland	892	74.8	3.1	10.3	10.9	0.9
	p value	0.0002					
	Chi square	54.53					
Ethnicity	White	8,114	70.4	7.4	11.7	8.9	1.5
	Mixed	64	61.3	16.3	13.0	9.5	
	Indian	268	78.5	10.6	7.2	2.9	0.9
	Pakistani	350	59.2	18.0	18.8	4.0	
	Bangladeshi	107	60.3	17.7	17.8	4.2	
	Black Caribbean	82	61.7	20.9	8.7	2.5	6.2
	Black African	103	93.2	5.9	0.9		
	Other ethnicity	182	69.0	13.4	9.6	7.7	0.2
	p value	0.0001					
	Chi square	141.02					
Occupational class	Managerial and professional	3,928	80.2	6.5	7.5	4.1	1.8
	Intermediate	763	79.5	7.9	7.2	4.1	1.3
	Small employer and self-						
	employed	1,495	63.8	10.0	12.5	12.3	1.4
	Lower supervisory and technical	1,420	60.7	8.6	16.1	13.1	1.5
	Routine and semi-routine	2,575	53.4	10.1	21.0	14.8	0.6
	p value	0.0000					
	Chi square	751.44					
Couples' employment	Both partners employed	5,610	74.4	7.6	10.1	6.4	1.4
	Main employed, partner not	258	51.0	1/1 3	21 /	13.2	0.1
	Partner omploved main net	200	51.0	14.3	21.4	13.2	0.1
	employed	3,586	68.2	8.2	12.4	9.6	1.6
	Neither employed	752	36.9	10.9	25.7	26.3	0.2

	Tabl	e 8.3		
Parental	smoking	status	at sweep	2

		Total		-			
			Non-smoker	Under 10 cigarettes (inc. roll- ups)	10-19 cigarettes (inc. roll-ups)	20+ cigarettes (inc. roll-ups)	Other tobacco products
			Per cent	Per cent	Per cent	Per cent	Per cent
Contd.							
	p value Chi square	0.0000 499.02					
Education level	NVQ 1	646	55.0	7.9	19.8	16.0	1.3
	NVQ 2	2,628	64.0	8.6	15.0	11.0	1.4
	NVQ 3	1,462	68.9	8.0	12.3	8.7	2.1
	NVQ 4	2,988	80.9	7.4	7.0	3.3	1.3
	NVQ 5	622	88.0	6.4	2.0	1.8	1.8
	Other/overseas qualifications	398	59.0	10.7	17.1	12.6	0.6
	None of the above	1,106	48.5	9.7	20.3	20.7	0.8
	p value Chi square	0.0000 781.19					
Family type	Married natural parents	7,675	74.5	7.3	9.6	7.0	1.5
	Cohabiting natural parents	1,852	50.3	11.8	21.9	14.9	1.1
	Natural parents (other/unknown relationship)	504	68.6	7.9	12.4	10.0	1.2
	Other	225	49.7	7.9	21.9	19.6	0.9
	p value Chi square	0.0000 497.13					

Note: Total bases are unweighted. Percentages are weighted. Variable used for weighting: weight 2, apart from analysis by country which uses weight 1. Lone fathers not included.

-

Alcohol and problem drinking

Frequency of alcohol consumption for mothers and fathers is shown in Table 8.4 and showed variations by age, country, ethnic group, occupation and education.

For mothers, the likelihood of ever drinking alcohol rose with age. Those in Wales and Scotland were more likely to drink alcohol than mothers in England and Northern Ireland. Mothers from England and Wales, however, were most likely to drink alcohol five or more times per week (8 per cent and 7 per cent respectively) compared with those in Scotland and Northern Ireland (4 per cent and 1 per cent). Pakistani and Bangladeshi mothers were least likely (3 and 1 per cent respectively), and White and Black Caribbean mothers most likely, to drink any alcohol (88 and 80 per cent). Mothers in the most skilled occupations were more likely to drink alcohol five or more times per week than those in the least skilled occupations (11 and 5 per cent respectively). A similar pattern was seen across educational groups.

Fathers in England and Wales were most likely to drink alcohol five or more times per week (17 and 15 per cent respectively) compared with those in Scotland and Northern Ireland (10 and 4 per cent). White and Black Caribbean fathers were most likely (95 and 85 per cent) and Bangladeshi and Pakistani fathers least likely (2 and 8 per cent) to ever drink alcohol.

Table 8.4Frequency of parental drinking at sweep 2

		Total	Current alcohol use				
			Never	Less than	Monthly	Four times a	Five or more
				monthly		week or less	times a week
			Per cent	Per cent	Per cent	Per cent	Per cent
Mothers			1				
I otal (all who completed	main interview)	15,229	17.3	18.5	18.2	38.7	7.4
Age	Under 25	2,151	21.2	24.5	25.0	28.0	1.3
	25 to 29	2,997	24.7	20.7	20.2	31.4	2.9
	30 to 34	4,823	16.5	18.1	19.1	40.3	6.0
	35 to 39	3,875	13.5	15.6	15.1	43.9	11.9
	40 and over	1,372	13.0	16.4	12.0	44.3	14.3
	p value	0.0000					
	Chi square	858.06					
Country	England	9,810	18.0	18.3	17.6	38.2	8.0
	Wales	2,200	13.3	18.2	19.6	42.2	6.8
	Scotland	1,785	12.0	20.0	22.1	42.0	3.9
	N. Ireland	1,434	17.0	21.8	21.2	38.9	1.2
	p value	0.0000					
	Chi square	217.60					
Ethnicity	White	12,473	11.8	19.0	19.1	42.0	8.1
	Mixed	128	34.9	13.3	19.0	29.3	3.6
	Indian	366	58.6	20.2	10.1	10.3	0.7
	Pakistani	652	96.6	1.2	0.9	0.6	0.6
	Bangladeshi	247	98.9			0.8	0.3
	Black Caribbean	178	20.1	29.8	17.9	29.6	2.6
	Black African	240	61.8	18.0	10.2	10.0	
	Other ethnicity	257	51.9	16.2	9.7	20.9	1.3
	p value	0.0000					
	Chi square	3341.48					
Occupational class	Managerial and professional	2,866	9.2	13.7	17.3	48.9	10.9
	Intermediate	1,848	11.2	19.5	20.2	43.7	5.5
	Small employer and self-employed	522	11.5	14.1	15.9	46.6	12.0
	Lower supervisory and technical	311	10.1	22.9	24.8	36.8	5.3
	Routine and semi-routine	2,100	13.6	22.1	21.7	38.0	4.5
	p value	0.0000					
	Chi square	228.08					

Table 8.4Frequency of parental drinking at sweep 2

		Total	Current alcohol use				
			Never	Less than	Monthly	Four times a	Five or more
			_	monthly	_	week or less	times a week
			Per cent	Per cent	Per cent	Per cent	Per cent
Contd.							
Couples' employment	Both partners employed	6,669	10.7	17.4	19.1	44.5	8.3
	Main employed, partner not employed	308	18.7	18.6	20.5	37.5	4.7
	Partner employed, main not employed	4,647	22.9	18.4	15.5	35.1	8.1
	Neither employed	969	39.8	20.8	12.7	22.5	4.1
	p value	0.0000					
	Chi square	649.37					
Education level	NVQ 1	1,234	19.9	25.5	21.1	29.5	4.0
	NVQ 2	4,314	14.4	22.0	19.9	38.4	5.3
	NVQ 3	2,226	16.3	18.1	21.1	37.4	7.1
	NVQ 4	4,304	11.6	14.3	16.8	46.5	10.8
	NVQ 5	582	14.3	10.2	12.3	49.5	13.6
	Other/overseas qualifications	477	50.6	16.4	11.8	16.9	4.2
	None of the above	2,060	36.9	20.6	15.2	24.3	3.1
	p value	0.0000					
	Chi square	1326.09					
Family type	Married natural parents	9,384	17.7	16.6	16.8	40.7	8.3
	Cohabiting natural parents	2,339	13.0	24.1	20.5	36.0	6.4
	Natural parents (other/unknown relationship)	563	22.7	15.7	13.6	38.8	9.1
	Lone natural mother	2,575	18.8	21.1	22.6	33.4	4.1
	Other	368	16.9	20.9	21.3	36.2	4.7
	p value	0.0000					
	Chi square	239.32					
Fathers							
Total (all who completed p	artner interview)	10,256	9.0	9.6	13.6	52.2	15.6
Age	Under 25	387	16.5	13.2	19.9	45.0	5.4
	25 to 29	1,295	14.0	12.7	16.8	48.2	8.2
	30 to 34	2,953	9.0	10.1	14.5	53.4	13.0
	35 to 39	3,291	7.0	8.5	12.8	55.4	16.4
	40 and over	2,278	8.1	8.7	11.4	49.2	22.5
	p value	0.0000					
	Chi square	284.82					
Country	England	6,707	9.2	9.1	13.0	52.0	16.7
	Wales	1,488	5.8	10.2	14.9	54.7	14.5

Table 8.4Frequency of parental drinking at sweep 2

		Total		C	urrent alc	ohol use	
			Never	Less than	Monthly	Four times a	Five or more
			-	monthly		week or less	times a week
			Per cent	Per cent	Per cent	Per cent	Per cent
Contd.							
	Scotland	1,169	6.9	12.9	16.8	53.6	9.7
	N. Ireland	892	10.8	13.5	18.0	54.0	3.7
	p value	0.0000					
	Chi square	200.88					
Ethnicity	White	8,114	4.9	9.6	13.9	55.0	16.7
	Mixed	64	17.1	11.0	13.2	41.2	17.5
	Indian	268	27.4	7.5	18.0	37.9	9.3
	Pakistani	350	91.1	1.2	3.7	4.1	
	Bangladeshi	107	97.5	1.4		0.4	0.7
	Black Caribbean	82	14.5	11.4	15.4	44.5	14.2
	Black African	103	38.9	26.5	5.4	25.2	3.9
	Other ethnicity	182	26.8	15.8	15.4	34.1	7.9
	p value	0.0000					
	Chi square	2700.86					
Occupational class	Managerial and professional	3,928	5.1	6.7	12.6	57.1	18.5
	Intermediate	763	6.8	12.5	15.2	55.3	10.2
	Small employer and self-employed	1,495	11.6	8.1	11.7	48.7	19.9
	Lower supervisory and technical	1,420	7.5	11.6	16.8	51.7	12.4
	Routine and semi-routine	2,575	16.7	14.5	14.5	43.9	10.4
	p value	0.0000					
	Chi square	513.28					
Couples' employment	Both partners employed	5,610	4.6	8.3	14.4	56.4	16.2
	Main employed, partner not employed	258	14.4	11.8	14.0	48.6	11.2
	Partner employed, main not employed	3,586	12.7	10.1	12.4	49.0	15.8
	Neither employed	752	28.4	18.0	12.5	30.1	11.1
	p value	0.0000					
	Chi square	565.55					
Education level	NVQ 1	646	9.9	14.1	18.5	45.8	11.8
	NVQ 2	2,628	8.0	11.1	14.9	51.8	14.2
	NVQ 3	1,462	5.0	9.1	16.1	51.9	17.8
	NVQ 4	2,988	5.4	7.5	11.8	58.4	16.9
	NVQ 5	622	8.8	4.7	9.9	55.4	21.3

Table 8.4							
Frequency of	parental drinking	at sweep 2					

		Total	Current alcohol use				
			Never	Less than monthly	Monthly	Four times a week or less	Five or more times a week
			Per cent	Per cent	Per cent	Per cent	Per cent
Contd.							
	Other/overseas qualifications	398	28.5	12.1	11.3	33.9	14.2
	None of the above	1,106	22.6	13.5	12.8	39.4	11.7
	p value Chi square	0.0000 612.35					
Family type	Married natural parents	7,675	9.5	8.6	13.2	52.7	16.0
	Cohabiting natural parents	1,852	5.7	12.6	15.2	50.9	15.6
	Natural parents (other/unknown relationship)	504	11.5	11.7	12.2	52.7	11.9
	Other	225	9.6	18.3	18.2	43.4	10.4
	p value Chi square	0.0000 90.79					

Note: Total bases are unweighted. Percentages are weighted. Variable used for weighting: weight 2, apart from analysis by country which uses weight 1. Lone fathers not included.

The CAGE questionnaire is a four-point scale used to detect problem drinking in primary care and community settings. The acronym refers to the types of question asked: Cut down, Annoyed, Guilty and Eye-opener. Respondents are asked whether they have ever felt the need to reduce their drinking, about feelings of guilt or annoyance, and whether they drink first thing in the morning or to alleviate a hangover. A score of 2 or more indicates problem drinking. These questions formed part of the computerised self-completion questionnaire given to respondents who ever drank alcohol.

The proportions of parents with 'problem' scores on the CAGE scale are shown in Table 8.5. A substantial amount of data were missing due primarily to non-response, which was highlighted when the sample was stratified by ethnic group. The figures therefore should be interpreted with caution.

About one in 16 mothers and one in eight fathers had a 'problem' score (Table 8.5), and there were few variations according to stratifying variables. Older mothers (40 and above), lone mothers and the most highly educated were slightly more likely to have such scores. Among fathers. drinking problems were more likely in Scotland, among the not employed and those who were cohabiting.

		Total	Scor (non-drinker	res s excluded)
			Problem drinker (score 2 or more)	Score less than 2
			Per cent	Per cent
Mothers				
Total (all who answ	vered self-completion	11,094		
questionnaire in m	ain interview)		57	94.3
Age	Under 25	1.524	5.1	94.9
	25 to 29	1,979	4.6	95.4
	30 to 34	3 560	53	94 7
	35 to 39	2,984	6.0	94.0
	40 and over	1.043	8.3	91.7
	p value	0.0021		
	Chi square	21.23		
Country	England	6,726	5.8	94.2
	Wales	1,780	5.9	94.1
	Scotland	1,492	4.5	95.5
	N. Ireland	1,096	5.8	94.2
	p value	0.34		
	Chi square	4.24		
Ethnicity	White	10,232	5.5	94.5
_	Mixed	73	7.2	92.8
	Indian	99	1.2	98.8
	Pakistani	13	-	-
	Bangladeshi	2	-	-
	Black Caribbean	124	9.4	90.6
	Black African	64		100.0
	Other ethnicity	75	5.7	94.3
	p value	0.23		
	Chi square	9.20		
Occupational	Managerial and professional			
class		2,470	6.3	93.7
	Intermediate	1,526	4.0	96.0

Table 8.5 Parental CAGE scores at sweep 2

		Total	Scores	
			(non-drinkers	s excluded)
			Problem drinker	Score less than 2
			(score 2 or more)	-
			Per cent	Per cent
Conta.				
	Small employer and self	405	4.5	05.5
	employed	435	4.5	95.5
	Lower supervisory and	064	5.5	04 5
	Reuting and somi routing	204	0.0	94.3
	Routine and semi-routine	1,002	4.0	95.4
	p value	0.08		
Couples'	Both partners employed	11.07		
employment	Both partners employed	5 580	51	0/ 0
employment	Main employed partner not	5,500	5.1	34.3
	employed	224	4.0	96.0
	Partner employed main not		4.0	00.0
	employed	2 961	52	94.8
	Neither employed	472	7 9	92.1
	n value	0.16	1.0	52.1
	Chi square	5 72		
Education level	NVO 1	877	4 9	95.1
Edubation level	NVO 2	3 345	5.0	95.0
		1 735	5.0	90.0 04 0
		3 505	60	94.0
		0,000	8.0	97.0
	Other/overseas	-+0	0.0	52.0
	qualifications	185	3.8	96.2
	None of the above	081	3.0	92.6
	n value	0.09	7.4	52.0
	Chi square	15 16		
Family type	Married natural parents	6 669	4 9	95.1
	Cohabiting natural parents	1 907	5.9	94.1
	Natural parents	1,007	0.0	01.1
	(other/unknown relationship)	383	7.4	92.6
	Lone natural mother	1 834	8.3	91.7
	Other	301	6.0	93.9
	n value	0.0001	0.1	00.0
	Chi square	29.95		
Fathers		20.00		
Total (all who answ	vered self-completion	8 712		
questionnaire in pa	artner interview)	0,1 12	13.1	86.9
Age	Under 25	318	14.7	85.3
	25 to 29	1.009	13.5	86.5
	30 to 34	2 515	13.3	86.7
	35 to 39	2,908	12.8	87.2
	40 and over	1 942	12.0	87.1
	n value	0.92	12.0	01.1
	Chi square	1 1935		
Country	England	5 483	13.3	86 7
oountry	Wales	1 369	10.0	89.3
	Scotland	1 073	10.7 14 4	85.6
	N Ireland	787	11 0	200.0 20 0
	n value	0 02	11.2	00.0
	Chi square	11 00		
Ethnicity	White	7 530	12 R	87.2
		.,000	1 12.0	01.2

 Table 8.5

 Parental CAGE scores at sweep 2

		0103 at 3W			
		Total	Scores		
			(non-drinkers	Secretare then 2	
			(score 2 or more)	Score less than z	
			Per cent	Per cent	
Contd.					
	Mixed	48	22.5	77.5	
	Indian	165	11.3	88.7	
	Pakistani	25	-	-	
	Bangladeshi	3	-	-	
	Black Caribbean	61	9.0	91.0	
	Black African	49	9.9	90.1	
	Other ethnicity	106	10.7	89.3	
	p value	0.17			
	Chi square	9.81			
Occupational	Managerial and professional				
class	0	3,614	12.9	87.1	
	Intermediate	680	11.4	88.6	
	Small employer and self-				
	employed	1,208	13.4	86.6	
	Lower supervisory and				
	technical	1,239	12.5	87.5	
	Routine and semi-routine	1,928	14.3	85.7	
	p value	0.48			
	Chi square	4.27			
Couples'	Both partners employed				
employment		5,205	12.3	87.7	
	Main employed, partner not		45.0		
	employed	206	15.8	84.2	
	Partner employed, main not	0.040	10.7	06.0	
	employed	2,813	13.7	80.3	
	Neither employed	448	20.4	/9.0	
	p value	0.0005			
Education loval		21.33	14.0	96.0	
Education level		2 2 2 2	14.0	00.0	
		2,315	12.7	07.3	
		1,341	12.1	07.9	
	NVQ 4	2,733	12.7	07.3	
	NVQ 5	545	10.2	09.0	
	ounel/overseas	226	12.4	87.6	
	None of the above	707	20.6	70 /	
		0.0002	20.0	73.4	
	Chi square	34 67			
Family type	Married natural parents	6 4 2 7	12.8	87.2	
r anny type	Cobabiting natural parents	1 679	15.8	84.2	
	Natural parents	1,075	10.0	04.2	
	(other/unknown relationshin)	403	10.6	89.4	
		203	8.8	00.4 91.2	
	n value	0.0048	0.0	51.2	
	Chi square	15 21			

 Table 8.5

 Parental CAGE scores at sweep 2

Note: Total bases are unweighted. Percentages are weighted. Variable used for weighting: weight 2, , apart from analysis by country which uses weight 1. Lone fathers not included.

Percentages not reported if base is less than 30 (-).

Drug use

Recreational drug use was also covered in the computerised self-completion questionnaire. Overall, one in 25 mothers and one in 12 fathers reported using (occasionally or regularly) recreational drugs in the past year (Table 8.6). There was a substantial amount of missing data, primarily due to non-response, particularly among minority ethnic groups other than Black Caribbean.

For mothers the most striking differences in rates of reported drug use were by age, country of residence and family composition. The likelihood of reported recreational drug use decreased with age and mothers in one-parent or two-parent cohabiting households, and those with an out–of-work partner were most likely to report it. Mothers in Northern Ireland were least likely to do so.

Younger fathers (16 to 24 years) were more likely to report drug use than older fathers (over 35). Fathers in the less skilled occupations and those who were least well educated were most likely to report using drugs, along with those who were not employed or in cohabiting partnerships (who tend to be younger).

		Iotal	Use of recre	eational drugs	in past year	
			Ever	Never	Can't say	
			Per cent	Per cent	Per cent	
Mothers						
Total (all who answe	ered self-completion					
questionnaire in ma	iin interview)	13,464	3.9	95.0	1.1	
Age	Under 25	1,929	6.9	90.7	2.4	
	25 to 29	2,560	5.4	92.7	1.9	
	30 to 34	4,295	3.7	95.2	1.0	
	35 to 39	3,471	2.7	96.9	0.4	
	40 and over	1,205	1.5	98.0	0.5	
	p value	0.0000				
	Chi square	153.33				
Country	England	8,380	3.9	95.0	1.1	
	Wales	2,068	3.4	95.2	1.4	
	Scotland	1,700	4.5	94.5	1.1	
	N. Ireland	1,316	1.4	98.1	0.5	
	p value	0.0071				
	Chi square	10.94				
Ethnicity	White	11,736	3.8	95.1	1.1	
	Mixed	102	12.9	87.1		
	Indian	234	1.1	98.5	0.4	
	Pakistani	342	1.8	96.6	1.6	
	Bangladeshi	88		99.2	0.8	
	Black Caribbean	157	8.0	87.2	4.8	
	Black African	138	2.1	95.3	2.6	
	Other ethnicity	138	3.0	95.8	1.2	
	p value	0.0000				
	Chi square	54.77				
Occupational	Managerial and					
class	professional	2,727	2.8	96.6	0.5	
	Intermediate	1,749	2.2	96.9	0.8	
	Small employer and self-					
	employed	491	5.3	93.5	1.1	
	Lower supervisory and					
	technical	298	3.0	95.1	1.9	

Table 8.6 Parental drug use reported at sweep 2

		Total	Use of recreational drugs in past year			
		i otai	Ever	Never	Can't say	
			Per cent	Per cent	Per cent	
Contd			1 of cont			
	Routine and semi-routine	1 943	4 0	94 1	19	
	p value	0 0001		0		
	Chi square	42.95				
Couples'	Both partners employed					
employment		6.303	2.5	96.7	0.7	
	Main employed, partner not					
	employed	280	6.3	89.4	4.3	
	Partner employed, main not					
	employed	3,895	3.1	96.0	0.9	
	Neither employed	706	7.7	90.5	1.8	
	p value	0.0000				
	Chi square	91.58				
Education level	NVQ 1	1,124	3.4	95.2	1.4	
	NVQ 2	3,985	4.2	94.4	1.3	
	NVQ 3	2,090	4.3	94.6	1.1	
	NVQ 4	4.038	3.4	96.1	0.5	
	NVQ 5	528	2.6	97.2	0.3	
	Other/overseas			•••=	0.0	
	qualifications	294	4.2	93.6	2.2	
	None of the above	1.381	4.8	92.4	2.8	
	p value	0,0000				
	Chi square	60.63				
Family type	Married natural parents	8,183	2.2	97.1	0.7	
	Cohabiting natural parents	2 213	6.7	91.6	17	
	Natural parents	_,	•	••		
	(other/unknown					
	relationship)	466	2.1	97.3	0.5	
	Lone natural mother	2.247	8.7	89.1	2.3	
	Other	355	4.0	94.1	1.9	
	p value	0.0000		•		
	Chi square	287.19				
Fathers						
Total (all who answe	ered self-completion	9.818	8.3	89.8	1.9	
questionnaire in par	tner interview)	-,				
Age	Under 25	375	16.1	79.1	4.8	
	25 to 29	1.213	15.1	82.0	3.0	
	30 to 34	2.840	9.3	88.9	1.8	
	35 to 39	3.178	6.9	91.4	1.7	
	40 and over	2.173	5.3	93.3	1.4	
	p value	0.0000	0.0			
	Chi square	153.92				
Country	England	6.313	8.4	89.6	2.0	
, ,	Wales	1,469	6.8	91.4	1.8	
	Scotland	1 158	9.0	89.4	12	
	N Ireland	878	40	Q <u>4</u> Q	1 1	
	n value	0,000	<u></u>	57.9	1.1	
	Chi square	36 51				
Ethnicity	White	9.328	84	90.0	84	
	Mixed	72	21 0	71 0	21.0	
	Indian	200	21.5	03.7	21.5	
	Pakistani	1200	2.0	01 Q	2.0	
	Bandadeshi	20	2.0	0 20	2.0	
	Danyiaucani		1.1	90.9	1.1	

Table 8.6Parental drug use reported at sweep 2

	Total Use of recreational drugs in page				
			Ever	Never	Can't sav
			Per cent	Per cent	Per cent
Contd.			•		
	Black Caribbean	80	14.1	81.1	14.1
	Black African	71		97.2	
	Other ethnicity	135	2.8	93.9	2.8
	p value	0.0000			
	Chi square	82.21			
Occupational	Managerial and				
class	professional	3,892	6.5	92.1	1.4
	Intermediate	750	5.8	93.2	1.0
	Small employer and self-				
	employed	1,414	11.3	86.2	2.5
	Lower supervisory and				
	technical	1,370	9.2	88.6	2.2
	Routine and semi-routine	2,341	10.6	86.5	2.8
	p value	0.0000			
	Chi square	81.90			
Couples'	Both partners employed				
employment		5,521	7.1	91.3	1.5
	Main employed, partner not				
	employed	244	19.9	74.5	5.6
	Partner employed, main not				
	employed	3,380	8.5	89.6	1.9
	Neither employed	626	16.0	78.5	5.5
	p value	0.0000			
	Chi square	142.89			
Education level	NVQ 1	629	10.7	86.3	3.0
	NVQ 2	2,562	10.7	86.9	2.4
	NVQ 3	1,442	7.9	90.4	1.7
	NVQ 4	2,960	6.2	92.6	1.3
	NVQ 5	616	5.8	93.7	0.6
	Other/overseas				
	qualifications	334	5.9	89.9	4.1
	None of the above	911	10.9	86.7	2.3
	p value	0.0000			
	Chi square	89.78			
Family type	Married natural parents	7,309	6.3	92.3	1.5
	Cohabiting natural parents	1,808	17.7	78.6	3.7
	Natural parents				
	(other/unknown				
	relationship)	479	7.1	90.9	2.0
	Other	222	8.7	87.0	4.3
	p value	0.0000			
	Chi square	288.47			

 Table 8.6

 Parental drug use reported at sweep 2

Note: Total bases are unweighted. Percentages are weighted. Variable used for weighting: weight 2, , apart from analysis by country which uses weight 1. Lone fathers not included.

Psycho-social health

Post-natal and diagnosed depression

Natural mothers who had given birth to a child since sweep 1 were asked whether they had experienced post-natal depression ('since child was born, has there ever

been a time lasting two weeks or more when you felt low or sad?') to which nearly one third (32.8 per cent) replied that they did. Statistically significant variation was found by age, social class, couples' work status, education and family type but not by ethnic group or country (Table 8.7).

Mothers under 30 and to a lesser extent the small number over 40 were more likely to have had post-natal depression than mothers in their 30s. Mothers in less skilled occupations were more likely than those in skilled occupations to have had the condition. Those in couples who were both in paid work were less likely to have suffered post-natal depression than mothers in workless couples (25.3 per cent compared with 46.2 per cent). Mothers with lower educational levels were more likely to experience the condition than those with higher education; around 40 to 43 per cent for those with no qualifications or qualifications equivalent to NVQ level,1 compared with 25 to 27 per cent of mothers with qualifications equivalent to NVQ level 4 and 5. Lone mothers were more likely to have had post-natal depression than those in couples. Cohabiting mothers were more likely to have experienced it than married mothers (57.4 per cent of lone mothers, 37.5 per cent of cohabiting mothers and 28 per cent of married mothers suffered post-natal depression).

(naturai i			
		Total	Percentage 'low or
			sad' for two weeks
			or more
Total (all who completed mai	n interview)	4,109	32.8
Age	Under 25	722	45.2
	25 to 29	952	36.4
	30 to 34	1,392	29.0
	35 to 39	877	28.0
	40 and over	164	32.5
	p value	0.0000	
	Chi square	67.60	
Country	England	2,766	32.8
	Wales	490	37.0
	Scotland	440	30.5
	N. Ireland	413	34.0
	p value	0.2845	
	Chi square	5.55	
Ethnicity	White	3,234	31.3
	Mixed	34	39.8
	Indian	84	38.7
	Pakistani	256	38.7
	Bangladeshi	78	33.6
	Black Caribbean	28	60.8
	Black African	81	25.9
	Other ethnicity	85	38.0
	p value	0.06	
	Chi square	14.88	
Occupational class	Managerial and professional	711	22.1
	Intermediate	365	24.8
	Small employer and self-		
	employed	117	25.4
	Lower supervisory and technical	50	43.0
	Routine and semi-routine	340	34.4

Table 8.7 Maternal post-natal depression at MCS2 natural mothers who had had another child since sween 1

		Total	Percentage 'low or sad' for two weeks or more
Contd.			
	p value	0.0015	
	Chi square	24.59	
Couples' employment	Both partners employed	1,498	25.3
	Main employed, partner not		
	employed	64	27.9
	Partner employed, main not		
	employed	1,728	32.8
	Neither employed	369	46.2
	p value	0.0000	
	Chi square	56.04	
Education level	NVQ 1	307	40.1
	NVQ 2	1,006	39.3
	NVQ 3	558	30.3
	NVQ 4	1,247	25.2
	NVQ 5	207	27.0
	Other/overseas qualifications	136	35.4
	None of the above	636	42.7
	p value	0.0000	
	Chi square	90.40	
Family type	Married natural parents	2,743	28.0
	Cohabiting natural parents	681	37.5
	Natural parents (other/unknown		
	relationship)	154	30.7
	Lone natural mother	432	57.4
	Other	99	52.8
	p value	0.0000	
	Chi square	154.30	

Table 8.7 Maternal post-natal depression at MCS2 (natural mothers who had had another child since sweep 1)

Note: Total bases are unweighted. Percentages are weighted. Variable used for weighting: weight 2, apart from analysis by country which uses weight 1.

Main respondents were asked whether they had ever been diagnosed with depression or serious anxiety and if so, whether they were being treated. Statistically significant differences were found by age, country, ethnic group, social class, couples' work status, education and family type (Table 8.8).

Mothers under 30 were more likely to have been diagnosed with depression than those aged 30 and over (about 35 per cent compared to 25 per cent). Mothers aged 25 to 29 were most likely to be receiving treatment for depression at the time of the interview (10.3 per cent compared to 7.8 per cent overall). Mothers in Northern Ireland were most likely to be receiving treatment for depression (11.3 per cent), followed by Scotland (9.8 per cent), Wales (8.7 per cent) and England (7.4 per cent). White mothers (29.7 per cent) were more likely than all other ethnic groups to have been diagnosed with depression, with Bangladeshi (12.3 per cent) and Black African (6.6 per cent) mothers least likely to have had such a diagnosis.

There was a social-class gradient in diagnosed depression. Mothers in less skilled occupations were more likely to have been diagnosed with depression than those in more skilled occupations (around 30 per cent compared with 20 per cent). Mothers in couples who were both working were least likely to have been diagnosed with

depression (23 per cent) and those in workless couples were most likely to have been so diagnosed (38.6 per cent). Moreover, 16.6 per cent of mothers in workless couples were being treated for depression, compared with an average of 7.8 per cent.

Highly-educated mothers were much less likely to have had a diagnosis of depression than less educated ones; around 15 per cent of mothers with qualifications equivalent to NVQ level 5 had been diagnosed with depression, compared with 36 per cent among those with qualifications equivalent to NVQ level 1 or lacking qualifications.

Lone mothers were also much more likely to have been diagnosed with depression than those in couples, and cohabiting mothers were more likely to have been than married mothers (42.4 per cent of lone mothers, 32.7 per cent of cohabiting mothers and 23.7 per cent of married mothers).

		Total	Never	Diagnosed but	Diagnosed
			diagnosed with	not currently	and being
			depression or	treated	treated
			serious anxiety		
			Per cent	Per cent	Per cent
Mothers	•				
Total (all who com	pleted main interview)	15,229	71.4	20.8	7.8
Age	Under 25	2,151	64.0	27.2	8.8
	25 to 29	2,997	65.0	24.6	10.3
	30 to 34	4,823	72.8	19.7	7.5
	35 to 39	3.875	75.1	18.3	6.7
	40 and over	1.372	76.1	17.1	6.9
	p value	0.0000			
	Chi square	156.90			
Country	England	9,810	71.7	20.8	7.4
	Wales	2,200	69.6	21.7	8.7
	Scotland	1,785	69.0	21.3	9.8
	N. Ireland	1,434	71.6	17.1	11.3
	p value	0.0000			
	Chi square	45.07			
Ethnicity	White	12,473	70.3	21.7	8.0
	Mixed	128	75.0	17.6	7.4
	Indian	366	82.2	13.8	4.0
	Pakistani	652	79.8	13.4	6.9
	Bangladeshi	247	87.7	6.6	5.7
	Black Caribbean	178	81.0	14.0	4.9
	Black African	240	93.4	4.5	2.1
	Other ethnicity	257	87.8	9.4	2.8
	p value	0.0000			
	Chi square	125.80			
Occupational	Managerial and				
class	professional	2,866	80.0	15.5	4.5
	Intermediate	1,848	75.9	18.5	5.6
	Small employer and self-				
	employed	522	72.8	22.7	4.5
	Lower supervisory and				
	technical	311	67.9	23.8	8.3
	Routine and semi-routine	2,100	69.2	23.5	7.3

 Table 8.8

 Diagnosed depression or serious anxiety of mothers at sweep 2

T	Blaghooda aophoodian a			at 61100p 2	
		Total	Never	Diagnosed but	Diagnosed
			diagnosed with	not currently	and being
			depression or	treated	treated
			serious anxiety		
			Per cent	Per cent	Per cent
Contd.					
	p value	0.0000			
	Chi square	89.66			
Couples'	Both partners employed				
employment		6.669	77.0	17.9	5.1
	Main employed partner	,			
	not employed	308	71.0	21.3	77
	Partner employed main	000	11.0	21.0	
	not employed	4 647	71.0	21.3	77
	Neither employed	969	61.5	21.0	16.6
	n value	0,000	01.0	21.0	10.0
	Chi square	186.67			
		100.07			
Education level	NVQ 1	1,234	64.1	25.4	10.5
	NVQ 2	4,314	67.3	23.3	9.4
	NVQ 3	2,226	71.3	20.9	7.8
	NVQ 4	4,304	77.2	17.7	5.1
	NVQ 5	582	85.2	11.4	3.4
	Other/overseas				
	qualifications	477	73.4	16.1	10.4
	None of the above	2,060	64.2	24.8	11.0
	p value	0.0000			
	Chi square	269.07			
Family type	Married natural parents	9,384	76.3	17.5	6.1
	Cohabiting natural				
	parents	2,339	67.3	24.1	8.6
	Natural parents				
	(other/unknown				
	relationship)	563	70.5	22.0	7.5
	Lone natural mother	2,575	57.6	28.4	14.1
	Other	368	51.7	38.0	10.3
	p value	0.0000			
	Chi square	447.23			

Table 8.8	
Diagnosed depression or serious anxiety of mothers at sweep	2

Note: total bases are unweighted. Percentages are weighted. Variable used for weighting: weight 2, apart from analysis by country which uses weight 1.

Psychological distress

This was measured using the Kessler 6 scale, widely used in general-purpose health surveys. Both main and partner respondents used a computerised self-completion form. The six questions ask how often in the past 30 days the respondent had felt i) 'so depressed that nothing could cheer you up' ii) 'hopeless' iii) 'restless or fidgety' iv) 'that everything you did was an effort' v) 'worthless' vi) 'nervous'. For each question respondents score four points if they answer 'all of the time', three points for 'most of the time', two points for 'some of the time', one point for 'a little of the time' and zero for 'none of the time'. The questions form a 24-point scale and the following cut-offs were used: 0-3 'No or low distress', 4-12 'medium', and 13 or over 'high'. For both mothers and fathers there were variations by age, country, ethnic group, social class, couple work status and family type (Table 8.9). Overall, a slightly lower proportion of

mothers had no score or a low score compared with fathers (67.5 per cent compared with 69.8 per cent).

Mothers

Overall, 3.1 per cent of mothers had a high score, 29.4 per cent had a medium score and 67.5 per cent had a low or no score. Mothers under 30 and over 40 were more likely to have a medium or high score than those in their 30s. Mothers under 25 were twice as likely as average to have a high score (6.2 per cent). There was little variation by country, with mothers in Scotland least likely to have a medium or high score (28.6 per cent), followed by Northern Ireland (29.3 per cent), Wales (30.7 per cent) and England (33.1 per cent). Mixed, Bangladeshi and Black Caribbean mothers were more likely than average to have a medium or high score; around 43 per cent compared with about 33 per cent. Most strikingly, Pakistani mothers were almost twice as likely to have a medium or high score compared to other mothers. Pakistani and Bangladeshi mothers were the most likely of all to have a high score (8.7 per cent and 8.8 per cent). Interestingly, a higher than average proportion of Black African mothers had a high score (6.2 per cent), reflecting a very low proportion in the medium group. Mothers in less skilled occupations were less likely to have a medium or high score than those in more skilled occupations. Mother in couples who were both working were least likely to have a medium or high score (27 per cent) and mothers in workless couples were most likely to have such a score (47.1 per cent). Highly educated mothers were less likely to have a medium or high score than less educated ones. Mothers lacking gualifications or with only other/overseas gualifications were most likely to have a medium or high score (46 per cent and 48.2 per cent). Lone mothers were more likely to have medium or high scores than those in couples and cohabiting mothers were more likely than married ones to have such scores. Lone mothers were nearly four times as likely as married mothers (7.2 per cent vs 1.9 per cent) to have a high distress score.

Fathers

Overall, 1.6 per cent of fathers had a high score, 28.6 per cent had a medium score and 69.8 per cent had a low or no score. Those under 25 were more likely to have a medium or high score than fathers aged 25 or over; 40 per cent compared with around 30 per cent. There was little variation by country but fathers in Scotland (25.9 per cent) were least likely to have a medium or high score, followed by Northern Ireland (26.7 per cent), Wales (27.4 per cent) and England (30.9 per cent). Mixed, Indian and Bangladeshi fathers were more likely to have such a score; around 33-37 per cent compared with around 30 per cent on average. Pakistani fathers were most likely to have a medium or high score (47.8 per cent).

Some variation by social class in the proportion of fathers with a medium to high score was noted, but there was no clear gradient. Fathers in semi-routine and routine occupations were most likely to have a high score (3.0 per cent). Fathers in couples who were both working were least likely to have a medium or high score (27.3 per cent) and those in workless couples were most likely to have such a score (52.1 per cent). There was some variation in the proportion with a high or medium score by education but there was no clear pattern. Fathers with no qualifications (4 per cent) or only other/overseas qualifications (3.7 per cent) were most likely to have a high score. Married fathers (29.1 per cent) were less likely to have medium or high scores than cohabiting ones (34.2 per cent).

		Total	Low or none	Medium	High
			Per cent	Per cent	Per cent
Mothers					
Total (all who answered s	self-completion	12,320			
questionnaire in main inte	erview)		67.5	29.4	3.1
Age	Under 25	1,672	56.9	36.9	6.2
	25 to 29	2,299	61.5	33.6	4.9
	30 to 34	3,975	71.3	26.0	2.6
	35 to 39	3,241	71.0	27.3	1.6
	40 and over	1,129	66.2	31.4	2.3
	p value	0.0000			
	Chi square	206.12			
Country	England	7,645	66.8	30.1	3.0
	Wales	1,906	69.3	27.4	3.3
	Scotland	1.576	71.4	25.4	3.2
	N Ireland	1 193	70.7	25.8	3.5
	p value	0.0039			0.0
	Chi square	23.19			
Ethnicity	White	10 884	68.6	28.6	28
	Mixed	90	57.8	37.5	4 7
	Indian	193	63.2	33.4	3.4
	Pakistani	256	39.1	52.2	8.7
	Bandladeshi	65	57.1	34.0	8.8
	Black Caribbean	130	58.4	30.1	2.6
	Black Calibbean	116	71.2	22.5	2.0
	Other ethnicity	100	71.3 55.0	22.5	0.2
		0.0000	55.0	44.0	0.4
	Chi square	98.84			
Occupational class	Managerial and	50.04			
	professional	2 636	73 1	25.8	11
	Intermediate	1 642	72.2	26.2	1.6
	Small employer and	1,042	12.2	20.2	1.0
	self-employed	467	78.4	20.0	16
	Lower supervisory and	101	70.1	20.0	1.0
	technical	276	69.6	25.9	4.5
	Routine and semi-	210	00.0	20.0	1.0
	routine	1 753	67 7	30.4	19
	p value	0.0001	0111	00.1	110
	Chi square	45.2101			
Couples' employment	Both partners employed	5,945	73.0	25.6	1.3
	Main employed, partner				
	not employed	255	68.8	26.7	4.5
	Partner employed, main				
	not employed	3,513	66.0	30.8	3.2
	Neither employed	587	52.9	36.7	10.4
	p value	0.0000			
	Chi square	228.29			
Education level	NVQ 1	967	62.8	31.9	5.3
	NVO 2	3 623	66.3	30.2	3.5
	NVQ 3	1 955	67.8	20.2	3.0
	NVO 4	3 850	72 7	25.2	1 /
		5,009	60.2	20.9	0.6
	Other/oversees	510	09.2	30.2	0.0
	qualifications	2/7	51.9	101	7 9
	None of the above	1 122	54.0	28.2	7.0
1		1,102	J-1.0	00.0	1.1

Table 8.9Parental psychological distress at sweep 2

		Total	Low or none	Medium	High
			Per cent	Per cent	Per cent
Contd.					
	p value	0.0000			
	Chi square	248.30			
Family type	Married natural parents	7,563	71.9	26.2	1.9
	Cohabiting natural				
	parents	2,007	63.6	32.7	3.7
	Natural parents				
	(other/unknown	400	04.4	24.0	
	relationship)	433	64.4	31.2	4.4
	Lone natural mother	1,992	54.1	38.8	1.Z
		325	0.00	38.4	0.C
	p value Chi square	0.0000			
Eathors		514.55			
Total (all who answored a	solf completion	0.204			
questionnaire in partner i	nterview)	9,204	69.8	28.6	16
	Linder 25	338	59.7	38.1	2.2
Age	25 to 29	1 111	68.5	28.7	2.2
	30 to 34	2 673	70.7	20.7	1.4
	35 to 39	3 004	69.7	28.9	1.4
	40 and over	2 045	70.9	20.5	1.4
	n value	0.0094	10.0	21.0	1.0
	Chi square	25.88			
Country	England	5.885	69.2	29.2	1.7
J	Wales	1.387	72.6	25.9	1.5
	Scotland	1,105	74.1	24.6	1.3
	N. Ireland	827	73.3	26.0	0.7
	p value	0.0073			
	Chi square	21.03			
Ethnicity	White	7,613	70.5	28.0	1.5
	Mixed	53	62.9	37.1	
	Indian	207	64.1	34.8	1.1
	Pakistani	206	52.2	43.3	4.5
	Bangladeshi	52	66.5	32.1	1.3
	Black Caribbean	67	76.4	20.8	2.8
	Black African	74	78.2	19.6	2.3
	Other ethnicity	134	54.2	41.5	4.3
	p value	0.0004			
	Chi square	48.91			
Occupational class	Managerial and				
	professional	3,749	70.7	28.3	1.0
	Intermediate	724	67.6	30.6	1.8
	Small employer and				
	self employed	1,298	71.7	27.1	1.3
	Lower supervisory and	1 000	00.0	00.0	1.0
		1,269	69.9	28.3	1.9
	Routine and semi-	0 101	67.4	20.6	2.0
	routine	2,121	07.4	29.0	3.0
	p value Chi square	0.0000 20 21			
Countes' employment	Both partners employed	5 256	70 7	26.2	1 1
Souples employment	Main employed partner	0,200	12.1	20.2	1.1
	not employed, partitle	213	61 5	36.9	16
	Partner employed main	210	01.0	00.0	1.0
	not employed	3,142	68.4	30.0	1.6

Table 8.9Parental psychological distress at sweep 2

		Total	Low or none	Medium	High
			Per cent	Per cent	Per cent
	Neither employed	548	47.9	43.4	8.7
Contd.					
	p value	0.0000			
	Chi square	224.95			
Education level					
	NVQ 1	574	68.5	28.8	2.7
	NVQ 2	2,400	70.7	28.0	1.3
	NVQ 3	1,363	71.7	27.4	0.8
	NVQ 4	2,863	69.8	28.7	1.5
	NVQ 5	590	69.5	30.0	0.5
	Other/overseas				
	qualifications	296	64.3	32.0	3.7
	None of the above	793	67.2	28.8	4.0
	p value	0.0001			
	Chi square	50.05			
Family type	Married natural parents	6,866	70.9	27.8	1.3
	Cohabiting natural				
	parents	1,690	65.8	31.7	2.5
	Natural parents				
	(other/unknown				
	relationship)	446	66.0	30.6	3.4
	Other	202	70.0	27.9	2.1
	p value	0.0004			
	Chi square	33.26			

Table 8.9 Parental psychological distress at sweep 2

Note: total bases are unweighted. Percentages are weighted. Variable used for weighting: weight 2, apart from analysis by country which uses weight 1. Lone fathers not included.

Life satisfaction

Main and partner respondents were asked how satisfied they were with the way their life had turned out so far. The response category was a 10-point scale where 1 meant completely satisfied and 10 meant completely dissatisfied. For both mothers and fathers there were variations by age, country, ethnic group, social class, couple work status and family type (Table 8.10). Overall, a slightly lower proportion of mothers chose a score of 7 or more (indicating high life satisfaction) compared with fathers (82.4 per cent compared with 86.7 per cent).

Mothers

Younger mothers were less likely to have a score of 7 or more; 69.8 per cent among those under 25, 77.4 per cent of those aged 25 to 29 and 84 to 86 per cent among those aged 30 and over. Life satisfaction was highest in Northern Ireland (85.8 per cent), followed by Scotland (83.4 per cent), Wales (82.7 per cent) and England (82 per cent). It was lowest among Mixed (72.2 per cent) and Black Caribbean (67.5 per cent) mothers. Mothers in less skilled occupations were less satisfied with their life than those doing more skilled work; around 80 per cent of the less skilled had a score of 7 or more compared with around 86-89 per cent for the more skilled. Mothers in workless couples were least likely to have a score of 7 or more (65.8 per cent). Interestingly, non-employed mothers who had a partner who was working were more satisfied (85.4 per cent) than mothers who were employed but had a partner who was not (73.8 per cent). The most educated mothers were more satisfied with their life than the less educated. Lone mothers were much less likely than those in couples to choose a score of 7 or more for life satisfaction and cohabiting mothers were less

likely to do so than married ones (59.7 per cent of lone mothers, 78.2 per cent of cohabiting mothers and 88.9 per cent of married ones).

Fathers

Younger fathers were less likely to choose 7 or more; 75.4 per cent among those under 25, 81.8 per cent of those aged 25-29 and 87 per cent among those aged 30 and over. Life satisfaction was highest in Northern Ireland (89 per cent), followed by Wales (88.8 per cent), Scotland (87.2 per cent) and England (86.3 per cent). Life satisfaction was lowest among Pakistani (77.9 per cent) and Black Caribbean (77.9 per cent) fathers. Fathers in less skilled occupations were less satisfied with their life so far than those doing more skilled work; the least skilled groups (79.7 per cent) were less likely than those in other occupational groups (84-89 per cent) to have a score of 7 or more. Fathers in workless couples were least likely to have a score of 7 or more (67.7 per cent). Those who were in paid work but had a partner who was not working were more satisfied (87.0 per cent) than fathers who were not employed but had a partner who was (75.7 per cent). The most educated were more satisfied with their life than the less educated. Married fathers were more satisfied than cohabiting fathers (88.9 per cent compared with 79.2 per cent).

		Total	Percentage rating life
			satisfaction 7 or above
Mothers			
Total (all mothers who answered self-completion		13,359	22 4
questionnaire in main interview)			82.4
Age	Under 25	1,914	69.8
	25 to 29	2,538	77.4
	30 to 34	4,266	85.8
	35 to 39	3,445	86.0
	40 and over	1,192	84.4
	p value	0.0000	
	Chi square	286.28	
Country	England	8,313	82.2
	Wales	2,054	82.7
	Scotland	1,687	83.4
	N. Ireland	1,305	85.8
	p value	0.0286	
	Chi square	11.43	
Ethnicity	White	11,674	83.5
	Mixed	99	72.2
	Indian	232	77.9
	Pakistani	330	75.3
	Bangladeshi	86	81.2
	Black Caribbean	152	67.5
	Black African	134	79.8
	Other ethnicity	135	76.9
	p value	0.0001	
	Chi square	44.16	
Occupational class	Managerial and professional	2,721	89.0
	Intermediate	1,739	86.4
	Small employer and self-employed	490	87.7
	Lower supervisory and technical	297	79.5
	Routine and semi-routine	1.924	81.5
	p value	0.0000	
	Chi square	62.29	

Table 8.10 Parental life satisfaction at sweep 2

	Table 8.10	
Parental life	satisfaction	at sweep 2

		Total	Percentage rating life
Contd			satisfaction 7 of above
Countes' employment	Both partners employed	6 278	88.8
ooupies employment	Main employed partner not	0,270	00.0
	employed	280	73.8
	Partner employed, main not		
	employed	3,874	85.4
	Neither employed	692	65.8
	p value	0.0000	
	Chi square	253.41	
Education level	NVQ 1	1,110	75.2
	NVQ 2	3,959	79.5
	NVQ 3	2,080	83.2
	NVQ 4	4,022	88.3
	NVQ 5	527	90.0
	Other/overseas qualifications	289	79.3
	None of the above	1,349	70.4
	p value	0.0000	
	Chi square	295.98	
Family type	Married natural parents	8,137	88.9
	Cohabiting natural parents	2,203	78.2
	Natural parents (other/unknown		
	relationship)	463	81.5
	Lone natural mother	2,203	59.7
	Other	353	73.5
	p value	0.0000	
	Chi square	967.30	
Fathers			
Total (all who answered	self-completion questionnaire in	9,700	00 7
partner interview)		074	86.7
Age	Under 25	3/1	/5.4
	25 to 29	1,197	81.8
	30 to 34	2,822	87.9
	35 to 39	3,153	87.7
	40 and over	2,157	87.2
	p value	0.0000	
Country		61.57	06.2
Country		0,254	80.3
	Sectland	1,460	00.0
	Nureland	1,104	07.2
		0.0582	69.0
	Chi square	4.62	
Ethnicity	White	7 955	87.3
Linnong	Mixed	59	89.5
	Indian	235	83.0
	Pakistani	200	77 9
	Bangladeshi	60	84.0
	Black Caribbean	74	77 0
	Black African	84	83.2
	Other ethnicity	145	78 0
	n value	0 0005	10.9
	Chi square	27.31	
Occupational class	Managerial and professional	3 879	89 7
	Intermediate	747	86.6
			50.0
		Total	Percentage rating life satisfaction 7 or above
---------------------	--	------------------	--
Contd.	•		
	Small employer and self employed	1,398	88.6
	Lower supervisory and technical	1,360	84.3
	Routine and semi-routine	2,304	79.9
	p value	0.0000	
	Chi square	119.41	
Couples' employment	Both partners employed	5,505	88.4
	Main employed, partner not employed	239	75.7
	Partner employed, main not employed	3,342	87.0
	Neither employed	606	67.7
	p value Chi square	0.0000 178.33	
Education level			
	NVQ 1	621	82.3
	NVQ 2	2,547	86.2
	NVQ 3	1,434	87.2
	NVQ 4	2,946	88.4
	NVQ 5	616	91.6
	Other/overseas qualifications	327	80.9
	None of the above	893	81.9
	p value	0.0000	
	Chi square	54.56	
Family type	Married natural parents	7,258	88.9
	Cohabiting natural parents	1,791	79.2
	Natural parents (other/unknown relationship)	471	79.8
	Other	217	78.0
	p value	0.0000	
	Chi square	142.39	

 Table 8.10

 Parental life satisfaction at sweep 2

Variable used for weighting: weight 2, apart from analysis by country which uses weight 1. Lone fathers not included.

Height and weight

All main and partner respondents (except pregnant women) were asked to give their weight at sweep 2. New respondents were also asked to give their height. At both sweeps height and weight could be reported in either metric (centimetres/kilograms) or imperial (feet and inches/stones and pounds) measures. Using these data, height in metres and weight in kilograms was derived for main and partner respondents. These variables were used to calculate Body Mass Index (BMI). This is the ratio of height and weight; weight in kilograms divided by height in metres, squared. BMI can be used to measure obesity. The following cut-offs were used: under 18.5 (underweight), 18.5 to 25 (normal weight), over 25 to 30 (overweight), over 30 to 35 (obese) and over 35 (morbidly obese). For both mothers and fathers there were variations in BMI by age, ethnic group, social class, couple work status and family type, but not country (Table 8.11).

Mothers' BMI

BMI showed few clear patterns of variation with age, although the relationship was statistically significant. Younger mothers, however, were more likely to be

underweight than older mothers; 6.7 per cent among those under 25, compared with 2.1 per cent of those aged 40 and over. BMI showed significant variation by ethnic group: Black Caribbean and Black African mothers were much more likely than White ones to be overweight (32.2 per cent and 36.6 per cent compared with 25.1 per cent), obese (27.2 per cent and 17.0 per cent compared with 9.7 per cent) and morbidly obese (5.9 per cent and 8.7 per cent compared with 3.9 per cent). Pakistani mothers were also more likely than White mothers to be obese (15.5 per cent) but similar proportions were overweight and morbidly obese. Bangladeshi mothers were more likely than White ones to be overweight (41.3 per cent) and obese (13.0 per cent) but much less likely to be morbidly obese (0.9 per cent). Mothers in lower supervisory and technical or routine and semi-routine occupations were the most likely to be obese or morbidly obese; almost one in five of these groups had a BMI of 30 or above. The more educated mothers (NVQ level 4 and 5) were less likely to be obese or morbidly obese than the less educated (NVQ level 1 and 2) or those lacking qualifications. BMI also varied significantly by couples' employment status and family type, though few notable patterns were observed.

Fathers

BMI showed few clear patterns of variation with age, although the relationship was statistically significant. BMI varied by ethnic group. Black African fathers were more likely than White fathers to be overweight (50.1 per cent compared with 46.1 per cent) and obese (19.3 per cent compared with 13.3 per cent) and morbidly obese (3.9 per cent compared with 3.5 per cent), though these differences were not as large as for mothers. Black Caribbean fathers were also more likely than White ones to be overweight (48.8 per cent) but less likely to be obese (8.5 per cent) or morbidly obese (1 per cent). Pakistani fathers were less likely than White fathers to be overweight (39.3 per cent) but similar proportions were obese and morbidly obese. Bangladeshi fathers were less likely than White fathers to be overweight (30.1 per cent), obese (8.1 per cent) and morbidly obese (1.6 per cent). Fathers in routine and semi-routine occupations were the most likely to be obese or morbidly obese; almost one in five had a BMI of 30 or over. Fathers in couples in which neither partner was employed were the most likely to be obese or morbidly obese (nearly one in five and 9.2 per cent morbidly obese). The more educated fathers (NVQ level 4 and 5) were less likely to be obese or morbidly obese than the less educated (NVQ level 1 and 2) or those lacking qualifications. BMI also varied significantly by family type, though few notable patterns were observed.

		Total			BMI		
			Less than 18.5 (underweight)	18.5 to 25.0 (normal)	Over 25 to 30 (overweight)	Over 30 to 35 (obese)	Over 35 (morbidly obese)
			%	%	%	%	%
Mothers	Mothers						
Total (all who completed main							
interview)		12,822	2.9	57.9	25.3	9.9	4.0
Age	Under 25	1,696	6.7	56.6	22.0	10.4	4.2
	25 to 29	2,410	3.9	53.6	25.7	12.0	4.8
	30 to 34	4,108	2.5	58.1	26.0	9.7	3.8
	35 to 39	3,376	1.7	59.8	26.2	8.9	3.5
	40 and over	1,226	2.1	59.9	23.9	9.5	4.6

Table 8.11Parental Body Mass Index at sweep 2

		Total	BMI				
			Less than 18.5	18.5 to	Over 25 to 30	Over 30	Over 35
			(underweight)	25.0	(overweight)	to 35	(morbidly
			0/2	(normal)	0/2	(obese)	obese)
Contd			70	70	70	70	70
Conta.	n value	0 0000					
	Chi square	143.08					
Country	England	8.228	3.0	57.9	25.2	9.9	4.0
	Wales	1,879	3.3	56.5	26.0	10.3	3.9
	Scotland	1,482	2.4	60.7	24.0	9.0	3.9
	N. Ireland	1,233	2.0	55.3	30.3	8.8	3.6
	p value	0.0690					
	Chi square	27.75					
Ethnicity	White	10,682	2.7	58.6	25.1	9.7	3.9
	Mixed	107	5.1	60.3	21.7	9.2	3.6
	Indian	313	6.3	60.3	24.8	5.8	2.8
	Pakistani	486	5.2	47.8	27.2	15.5	4.2
	Bangladeshi	171	2.4	42.5	41.3	13.0	0.9
	Black Caribbean	151	3.6	31.1	32.2	27.2	5.9
	Black African	164	3.6	34.1	36.6	17.0	8.7
	Other ethnicity	209	4.5	61.3	23.7	6.9	3.6
	p value	0.0000					
	Chi square	139.25					
Occupational	Managerial and	2 5 1 0	1 5	62.0	22.2	0 0	26
Class	Intermediate	2,310	1.0	<u> </u>	23.2	0.0	2.0
	Small omployor	1,004	2.5	57.4	20.0	10.1	4.2
	and self-						
	employed	455	3.0	60.3	25.3	8.8	2.6
	Lower						
	supervisory and						
	technical	265	0.8	51.1	28.1	13.0	7.1
	Routine and						
	semi-routine	1,845	3.1	52.3	26.6	12.4	5.5
	p value	0.0000					
	Chi square	97.38					
Couples	Both partners	E 901	1.0	50 0	25 1	10.2	2.0
employment	Main omployed	5,601	1.9	50.0	20.1	10.5	3.0
	nartner not						
	employed	258	4.2	58.4	20.5	10.4	6.5
	Partner						
	employed, main						
	not employed	3,829	2.5	58.0	26.6	9.2	3.7
	Neither						
	employed	729	6.5	51.8	24.5	10.1	7.1
	p value	0.0000					
Education		/5.5/					
Education	NVQ 1	1,036	4.4	53.7	25.3	10.9	5.7
	NVQ 2	3,699	3.3	54.2	26.7	11.1	4.6
	NVQ 3	1,887	1.8	58.3	24.6	11.0	4.3
	NVQ 4	3,729	1.9	63.2	24.1	7.9	2.9
	NVQ 5	505	3.4	71.6	18.5	5.9	0.6
	Other/overseas		0.1			0.0	0.0
	qualifications	386	5.3	50.7	28.8	10.1	5.2

Table 8.11Parental Body Mass Index at sweep 2

		Total			BMI		
			Less than 18.5	18.5 to	Over 25 to 30	Over 30	Over 35
			(underweight)	25.0	(overweight)	to 35	(morbidly
			0/	(normal)	0/	(obese)	obese)
Contd		l	%	%	%	%	%
Conta.	None of the						
	above	1 574	5.2	18.4	28.8	12.3	53
		0,000	5.2	40.4	20.0	12.5	5.5
	p value Chi square	242 41					
Family type	Married natural	272.71					
r anny type	narents	7 908	20	58 5	25.7	99	39
	Cohabiting	1,000	2.0	00.0	20.1	0.0	0.0
	natural parents	1.999	4.0	57.2	25.5	9.2	4.0
	Natural parents	.,					
	(other/unknown						
	relationship)	467	2.0	56.3	25.3	12.3	4.1
	Lone natural						
	mother	2,166	5.9	56.3	24.0	10.0	3.9
	Other	282	3.6	58.5	21.4	9.9	6.6
	p value	0.0000					
	Chi square	103.34					
Fathers		-					
Total (all who co	ompleted partner						
interview)		8,726	0.5	37.2	45.7	13.2	3.5
Age	Under 25	290	1.2	51.5	32.9	10.0	4.3
	25 to 29	1,046	1.3	44.3	38.3	12.5	3.7
	30 to 34	2,525	0.6	37.0	45.0	14.6	2.7
	35 to 39	2,873	0.4	35.3	47.8	12.6	3.8
	40 and over	1,960	0.2	35.3	48.0	13.0	3.5
	p value	0.0000					
	Chi square	83.62					
Country	England	5,674	0.5	37.3	45.4	13.2	3.5
	Wales	1,256	0.4	34.1	48.5	14.2	2.8
	Scotland	1,024	0.5	38.2	46.4	12.2	2.6
	N. Ireland	772	1.2	33.1	47.6	13.7	4.5
	p value	0.0576					
	Chi square	24.46				10.0	
Ethnicity	White	7,675	0.5	36.6	46.1	13.3	3.5
	Mixed	59	1.0	50.1	37.7	10.0	2.2
	Indian	254	1.2	43.0	42.0	10.3	3.6
	Pakistani	308	2.8	40.7	39.3	13.2	3.9
	Bangladeshi	8/		60.2	30.1	8.1	1.6
	Black Caribbean	/1		41.6	48.8	8.5	1.0
	Black African	89	47	26.7	50.1	19.3	4.0
	Other ethnicity	165	1.7	43.4	38.2	12.8	3.9
	p value	0.0439					
Occupational	Managarial and	55.56					
class	nofessional	3 500	0.3	26 S	16.8	12.2	20
Class	Intermediate	664	0.5	34.2	48.3	13.2	2.5
	Small employer	004	0.0	04.2	40.3	10.0	5.5
	and self-						
	employed	1.249	0.3	38.1	47.2	11.1	3.3
	Lower	.,2.0	0.0	50.1			0.0
	supervisory and						
	technical	1,214	0.6	37.0	46.4	12.6	3.4

 Table 8.11

 Parental Body Mass Index at sweep 2

		Total		•	BMI		
			Less than 18.5	18.5 to	Over 25 to 30	Over 30	Over 35
			(underweight)	25.0	(overweight)	to 35	(morbidly
			0/	(normal)	0/	(obese)	obese)
Ocald			%	%	%	%	%
Conta.	Deutine and						
	Routine and	0.001	10	20.7	10.4	447	5.0
	semi-routine	2,031	1.2	30.7	40.4	14.7	5.0
		0.0002					
Couples'	Both portpore	59.07					
omployment	employed	1 0/1	0.2	36.2	17.2	12.0	3.5
employment	Main employed	4,941	0.2	50.2	47.2	12.9	5.5
	nartner not						
	employed	193	15	43 7	44 0	87	21
	Partner	100	1.0	10.7	11.0	0.1	2.1
	employed, main						
	not employed	2,992	0.5	37.8	44.9	13.9	2.8
	Neither						
	employed	561	3.8	42.3	32.5	12.2	9.2
	p value	0.0000					
	Chi square	167.92					
Education	NVQ 1	572	2.2	31.1	41.4	19.6	5.7
	NVQ 2	2,322	0.6	35.1	46.9	14.0	3.5
	NVQ 3	1,352	0.5	35.4	46.7	13.8	3.6
	NVQ 4	2,750	0.1	38.6	46.4	12.2	2.7
	NVQ 5	560	0.5	44.9	45.5	8.5	0.6
	Other/overseas						
	qualifications	298	1.4	41.9	42.4	9.5	4.8
	None of the						
	above	867	0.5	38.0	40.7	13.8	7.1
	p value	0.0000					
	Chi square	158.36					
Family type	Married natural						
	parents	6,607	0.4	36.5	46.8	12.8	3.4
	Cohabiting						
	natural parents	4 470	0.0	00 5	40.0	445	
		1,473	0.8	39.5	42.0	14.5	3.2
	Notural a sussific						r
	ivatural parents						
		161	06	37 5	<i>лл</i> 1	12.0	20
		125	0.0	57.5 AA 2	44.1 31 5	13.9	J.9 1 Q
		0.0015	2.0	44.3	54.5	13.7	4.0
	Chi square	38.89					

 Table 8.11

 Parental Body Mass Index at sweep 2

Note: Total bases are unweighted. Percentages are weighted. Variable used for weighting: weight 2, apart from analysis by country which uses weight 1. Lone fathers not included.

Conclusion

Most parents seem to be in reasonably good health, as would be expected of adults with children of this age. Around 30 per cent smoked, and the large majority drank alcohol at some time, though problem drinking appeared rare. Mild mental health problems were fairly common for these parents, though not necessarily current during the survey. At that time, the vast majority (around five out of six) said they

were reasonably satisfied with their lives. There were, of course, exceptions, and differences in physical and mental health and related behaviours varied by gender, country, age and socio-economic circumstances. The socio-economic patterning of health forms part of the constellation of deprivation in which some of the cohort children are growing up.

References

Bracken, B. A. (1998). *Bracken. Basic Concept Scale - Revised*. San Antonio, Texas: The Psychological Corporation.

Bracken, B. A. (2002). *Bracken School Readiness Assessment Administration Manual.* San Antonio, TX: The Psychological Corporation.

Bricker, D., Squires, J., Mounts, L., Potter, L., Nickel, B., & Farrell, J. (1995). *Ages and Stages Questionnaire*. Baltimore: Paul H. Brookes.

Elliott, C. D. (1983). British Ability Scales. Windsor, UK: NFER-Nelson.

Elliot, C.D., Smith, P. & McCulloch, K. (1997) *British Abilities Scale II Technical Manual*. London, UK: NFER-Nelson.

Fenson, L., Dale, P. S., Resnick, J. S., Thal, D., Bates, E., Hartung, J. P., et al. (1993). *MacArthur Communicative Development Inventories (CDI)*. San Diego, CA: Singular Publishing Group.

Frankenburg, W. K., & Dodds, J. B. (1967). Denver Developmental Screening Test *J. Paediatrics, 71*, 181-191.

Frankenburg, W. K., & Dodds, J. B. (1990). *Denver II screening manual*. Denver, CO: Denver Developmental Materials.

Goodman, R. (1997). The Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire: A Research Note

Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry, 38, 581-586.

Goodman, R. (2001). Psychometric properties of the Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ) *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, 40*(1337-1345).

Goodman, R., Meltzer, H., & Bailey, V. (1998). The Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire: A pilot study on the validity of the self-report version, *European Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, *7*, 125-130.

Moon, N. (2006) *Technical Report on Fieldwork*, London: NOP. http://www.cls.ioe.ac.uk/studies.asp?section=00010002000100040006

Schoon, I., Sacker, A., Hope, S., Collishaw, S., & Maughan, B. (2005). Children's development in the family environment. In S. Dex & H. Joshi (Eds.), *Babies of the New Millennium* (pp. 159-174): Polity Press

Chapter 9

EMPLOYMENT AND EDUCATION

Kelly Ward and Shirley Dex

Introduction

The economic activity and employment of parents is one vitally important element of the context in which the cohort child is growing up. It influences both the time available to spend with the child and the income level and household resources the child has grown up with. In this chapter, we report on the parents' economic activity, employment and education. Chapter 10 focuses more particularly on the income consequences of these activities. It is well known that mothers' employment has substantially increased since the 1960s and full-time working for mothers has increased markedly since 1985. This has been largely due to mothers with young children entering the labour market, the type of mothers, in fact, who are parents of the Millennium Cohort Study's three-year-old children.

Between the two sweeps, we expect to see some changes in mothers' employment as well as continuity for other mothers. This is a relatively short period but a time when children are changing very fast, so it is interesting to see the extent of change in their parents' employment status. We can also examine how many parents have gained new academic or vocational qualifications in this two-year period – a feature of the data relevant to policies on lifelong learning.

Parents' employment at sweep 2

Mothers' economic activity

More than half of all mothers were employed and 42 per cent were looking after the family and home at the time of the survey of three-year-olds. Nearly 4 per cent were also not working for various reasons, including unemployment and being in education (just over 1 per cent each). Mothers in Scotland were more likely to report that they were working (62.4 per cent) compared to mothers in England (53.2 per cent). See Table 9.1. Approximately 13 per cent of all mothers reported working full-time and 41.1 per cent worked part-time. Mothers in Northern Ireland were most likely to be employed full-time (22.1 per cent) and mothers in Scotland were most likely to report working part-time (47 per cent). Nearly 47 per cent of mothers in England were not employed when their child was aged three. Of all employed mothers, approximately three-quarters worked part-time.

Mothers' employment rate of 54.3 per cent at sweep 2 (of those interviewed then) compares with 48.7 per cent who were employed when the child was nine months old (on the base of the higher sweep 1 sample). Of the mothers present at both surveys, the employment rate was 50.8 per cent at sweep 1, increasing to 54.3 per cent at sweep 2. This increase is the net result of 24.5 per cent entering employment between surveys and 17.3 per cent leaving employment. Further details of transitions

in and out of employment between the first and second surveys are given at the end of this chapter.

				Northern	All UK
	England	Wales	Scotland	Ireland	total
Working full-time	12.6	15.8	15.4	22.1	13.2
Working part-time	40.6	42.7	47.0	37.8	41.1
Looking after family and home	43.2	37.3	33.5	36.2	42.0
Not employed and seeking work	1.3	1.1	1.0	0.9	1.2
Other not employed	1.2	1.5	1.2	1.9	1.2
In education or government					
training scheme	1.1	1.6	1.9	1.1	1.2
Total percentage **	100	100	100	100	100
Unweighted sample size	9,811	2,200	1,785	1,434	15,230
			Of the	se currently	employed
Works full-time	23.6	27.1	24.7	36.9	24.3
Works part-time	76.4	72.9	75.3	63.1	75.7
Total percentage	100	100	100	100	100
Unweighted sample size	4,687	1,197	1,101	820	7,805

 Table 9.1

 Mothers' economic activity status by country at sweep 2

Base: All MCS2 mothers (natural, adoptive, foster and step) including 692 families who were not interviewed at sweep 1. This table excludes any natural mothers not interviewed and any fathers or grandparents who completed the main interview. Within country weighted by weight 1, all UK weighted by weight 2. Those on leave from a job at the time of interview are counted as working, full or part-time according to usual hours.

**Chi square = 70.3731, p = 0.0000 for the economic activity status by country in the upper part of this table.

Employment rates were found to be higher for highly educated mothers, while rates of looking after the family rose as the level of educational qualifications fell (Table 9.2). The employment rate for mothers with degree-level qualifications (NVQ level 4 or 5) was 70.5 per cent, compared with 23 per cent for mothers who reported no qualifications.

Mothers lacking any qualification had the highest rates of non-employment: 75 per cent were looking after the family and home, while 3.0 per cent were out of employment for other reasons. These least qualified mothers were least likely to be employed full-time (3.8 per cent), whereas mothers with an NVQ level 4/5 had the highest rates overall of working both full-time (20 per cent) and part-time (49.9 per cent).

The highest levels of educational qualifications were found among mothers employed in managerial and professional occupations (75.7 per cent had NVQ level 4/5). NVQ level 1 or 2 was the most common level of qualification reached by many mothers. Mothers employed in low supervisory/technical (48.5 per cent) and semiroutine/routine occupations (51 per cent) were most likely to be educated to NVQ level 1/2 (Figure 9.1).

	Mothers' hi	MCS2				
			NVQ level	Overseas		
	NVQ	NVQ	1/2	and other		
	level 4/5	level 3	O-level	unclassified	None of	All UK
	Degree+	A-level	GCSE	qualification	these	total
Employed full-time	20.0	14.7	9.0	6.8	3.8	13.2
Employed part-time	49.9	44.4	39.3	17.2	17.6	41.2
Looking after family						
and home	27.5	35.9	47.8	71.3	75.0	41.9
Other not employed						
or unemployed	1.6	2.8	3.0	3.2	3.0	2.5
In education	1.1	2.2	1.0	1.5	0.7	1.2
Total percentage **	100	100	100	100	100	100
Unweighted sample						
size	4,887	2,226	5,548	477	2,060	15,198
Of those currently empl	loyed					
Works full-time	28.6	24.9	18.6	28.3	17.6	24.3
Works part-time	71.4	75.1	81.4	71.7	82.4	75.7
Total percentage	100	100	100	100	100	100
Unweighted sample						
size	3,445	1,312	2,562	98	384	7,801

 Table 9.2

 Mothers' current economic activity by highest educational achievement

Base: All MCS2 mothers (natural, adoptive, foster and step) including 692 families who were not interviewed at sweep 1. This table excludes any natural mothers not interviewed and any fathers or grandparents who completed the main interview: 32 natural mothers did not provide their educational qualifications. Weighted by all-UK weight.

** Chi square = 1512.46, p = 0.0000 for economic activity by NVQ in upper table only.

Degree+ means this level is degree-level or above .





Base: All employed MCS2 mothers (natural, adoptive, foster and step) including 692 families not interviewed at sweep 1. Mothers with overseas only qualifications and unclassified qualifications are included in 'none'. Excludes mothers with no information on NS-SEC. Weighted by all-UK weight: Chi square = 2204.76, p value = 0.00

Mothers' economic status varied significantly by the type of electoral ward in which they were sampled for sweep 1. Mothers sampled in non-disadvantaged wards were far more likely to be employed (59.4 per cent) than those in wards with high minority ethnic populations (25.5 per cent). Seventy per cent of mothers originally living in wards with high minority ethnic populations reported that they were looking after the family and home compared to 46.8 per cent of mothers from other disadvantaged wards and 37.4 per cent of mothers from non-disadvantaged wards (Table 9.3).

	Type of ward at MO			
	Minority ethnic	Other	Non-	All UK
	(disadvantaged)	Disadvantaged	disadvantaged	Total
Currently working full-time	8.9	12.4	14.0	13.2
Currently working part-time	16.6	36.3	45.4	41.1
Looking after family and home	70.1	46.8	37.4	42.0
Other not employed or				
unemployed*	2.6	3.0	2.2	2.5
In education	1.8	1.6	0.9	1.2
Total percentage **	100	100	100	100
Unweighted sample size	1,869	7,036	6,325	15,230
Of those currently employed				
Works full-time	34.8	25.4	23.5	24.3
Works part-time	65.2	74.6	76.5	75.7
Total percentage	100	100	100	100
Unweighted sample size	477	3,472	3,856	7,805

 Table 9.3

 Mothers' economic activity status at sweep 2 by electoral ward

Base: All MCS2 mothers (natural, adoptive, foster and step) including 692 families who were not interviewed at sweep 1. This table excludes any natural mothers not interviewed and any fathers or grandparents who completed the main interview. Weighted by all-UK weight.

** Chi square = 403.67, p=0.00 for economic activity by area of residence in upper table only.

Turning to the individual's ethnicity rather than the composition of the neighbourhood, we can see which ethnic groups were involved in the low employment rates in minority ethnic neighbourhoods and beyond. Pakistani and Bangladeshi mothers were least likely to be employed (13.9 per cent and 13.5 per cent respectively), whereas Indian (62.8 per cent), Black Caribbean (59.9 per cent) and White mothers (57.3 per cent) were most likely to be employed (Table 9.4). Around four out of five Pakistani and Bangladeshi mothers were looking after the family and home (Table 9.4). Black Caribbean (34.1 per cent), Black African (26.2 per cent) and Indian mothers (25.2 per cent) reported the highest rates of full-time employment. Mothers of White (44.2 per cent) and Indian (37.6 per cent) ethnicity were most likely to be working part-time.

	\//hito	Indian	Dakietani	Bangladashi	Black	Black	Othor	All UK
	VVIIICE	mulan	Farislaili	Dangiauesni	Caribbean	African	Other	total
Working full-time	13.1	25.2	3.1	2.3	34.1	26.2	17.4	13.5
Working part-time	44.2	37.6	10.8	11.2	25.9	22.6	19.9	42.0
Looking after family								
and home	39.2	36.0	83.3	83.9	32.0	43.8	55.7	41.0
Other not employed								
or unemployed	2.4	0.8	1.9	2.6	5.4	1.8	3.6	2.4
In education	1.0	0.4	0.9	0.0	2.6	5.7	3.5	1.1
Total percentage **	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Sample size	1,2474	366	652	247	178	240	385	14,542

Table 9.4Mothers' economic activity status at sweep 2 by ethnicity

Table 9.4Mothers' economic activity status at sweep 2 by ethnicity

	White	Indian	Pakistani	Bangladeshi	Black	Black	Other	All UK
	vvinte	mulan	i akistani	Dangiadesni	Caribbean	African	Outer	total
Contd.								
Of those currently employed								
Works full-time	22.9	40.1	22.3	17.1	56.9	53.6	46.6	24.3
Works part-time	77.1	59.9	77.7	82.9	43.1	46.4	53.4	75.8
Total percentage	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Unweighted sample								
size	6,981	201	70	28	99	87	127	7,593

Base: All MCS2 mothers (natural, adoptive, foster and step) including 692 families not interviewed at sweep 1. Excludes approximately 688 cases where mother's ethnicity was not known. Weighted by all-UK weight. ** Chi square = 497.19, p = 0.0000 for economic activity by ethnicity in upper table only.

Mother's employment status was found to vary significantly by the number of children in the household (Table 9.5). Mothers who only had one child (the cohort member) were, not surprisingly, far more likely to be currently in paid work (66.6 per cent) compared to mothers with three or more children (39.7 per cent). The reverse was also true for mothers who reported they were currently looking after the family and home: 57.2 per cent of mothers with three or more children were looking after the family compared to only 27.9 per cent of mothers with one child.

Part-time was far more common than full-time working for all mothers who were employed, regardless of the number of children. Employed mothers who had one child were more likely to work full-time (31.3 per cent) compared to mothers who had two children (22.1 per cent) or mothers with three or more children (19.7 per cent).

			at on cop =	
	Number of ch	nildren living	in household	
	Cohort	Two	Three or more	All UK
	baby only	children	children	total
Working full-time	20.8	12.5	7.8	13.2
Working part-time	45.8	44.2	31.8	41.1
Looking after family and home	27.9	40.3	57.2	42.0
Other not employed or unemployed	3.5	2.2	2.1	2.5
In education or government training scheme	1.9	0.9	1.1	1.2
Total percentage **	100	100	100	100
Unweighted sample size	3,729	6,850	4,651	15,230
Of those currently employed				
Works full-time	31.3	22.1	19.7	24.3
Works part-time	68.7	77.9	80.3	75.7
Total percentage	100	100	100	100
Unweighted sample size	2,385	3,740	1,680	7,805

Table 9.5 Mothers' economic activity status by number of children at sweep 2

Base: All MCS2 mothers (natural, adoptive, foster and step) including 692 families who were not interviewed at sweep 1. Weighted by all-UK weight.

** Chi square = 733.03, p = 0.0000 for economic activity by number of children in upper table only.

Resident fathers' economic activity at sweep 2

The Millennium Cohort Study did not collect information about the economic activity of non-resident fathers. Hence the discussion about fathers' employment in this section refers only to resident fathers. Nearly all resident fathers (92.4 per cent) were employed when the child was aged three, mostly (74 per cent) as employees. Some 18.4 per cent of fathers were self-employed (Table 9.6). The rate of self-employment among fathers is an increase over the MCS1 rate of 15.7 per cent. Fathers in Northern Ireland (24.1 per cent) and England (18.8 per cent) had higher rates of self-employment than fathers in Wales (15.3 per cent) or Scotland (14.3 per cent). These rates of self-employment for fathers of Millennium children are higher than the average rate of self-employment across the male working population, which in 2003 was 16 per cent across the UK (Labour Force Survey in *Labour Market Trends*). Approximately 7.6 per cent of fathers were not employed when the cohort child was aged three. This compares with 9 per cent of all UK fathers with a youngest child aged 0-4 in 2004. Five per cent of employed MCS fathers worked part-time.

					All UK
	England	Wales	Scotland	Northern Ireland	total
Employee	73.7	76.0	77.4	68.7	74.0
Self-employed	18.8	15.3	14.3	24.1	18.4
Not employed	7.5	8.7	8.2	7.2	7.6
Total percentage **	100	100	100	100	100
Unweighted sample size	6707	1488	1169	892	10256
Of those currently employe	d				
Works full-time	94.4	94.4	94.9	94.9	94.5
Works part-time	5.6	5.6	5.1	5.1	5.5
Total percentage	100	100	100	100	100
Unweighted sample size	6,032	1,333	1,059	811	9,235

 Table 9.6

 Resident fathers' economic activity by country at sweep 2

Base: All MCS2 fathers (natural, adoptive, foster and step) including 692 families who were not interviewed at sweep 1. Excludes fathers who were present at MCS2 but not interviewed or provided proxy information, and other carers who completed the partner interview (mothers and grandparents). Within country weighted by weight 1, all-UK weighted by weight 2.

** Chi square= 20.09, p = 0.001 for father's economic activity by country in upper table only.

As with mothers, employment rates for fathers were higher for more highly qualified individuals, yet interestingly rates of self-employment increased slightly as the level of educational qualifications fell (Table 9.7). Of fathers with an NVQ level 4 or 5 qualification, 81.4 per cent were employees, compared to 52.9 per cent of those who reported no qualifications. Approximately a quarter of fathers who had no academic or vocational qualifications were not employed. This compared with an average of 7 per cent who were not employed across all levels of qualification. Employed fathers with an overseas qualification (13.1 per cent) or no qualification (14.2 per cent) were more likely to be working part-time compared to fathers with some qualifications, of whom 4 to 5 per cent worked part-time.

Table 9.7
Father's current economic activity status
by highest educational achievement by MCS2

			NVQ level	Overseas		
	NVQ	NVQ	1/2	and other		
	level 4/5	level 3	O-level +	unclassified	None of	All UK
	Degree+	A-level	GCSE	Qualification	these	total
Employee	81.4	75.9	71.4	65.1	52.9	74.4
Self-employed	15.5	19.1	20.9	20.4	20.0	18.4
Not employed	3.0	5.0	7.7	14.6	27.2	7.3
Total percentage	100	100	100	100	100	100
Unweighted sample size	3,610	1,462	3,274	398	1,106	9,850
Of those currently employe	d					
Works full-time	95.8	95.6	94.8	86.9	85.8	94.5
Works part-time	4.2	4.4	5.2	13.1	14.2	5.5
Total percentage	100	100	100	100	100	100
Unweighted sample size	3,494	1,359	2,969	324	783	8,929

Base: All MCS2 fathers (natural, adoptive, foster and step) including 692 families who were not interviewed at sweep 1 Excludes fathers who were present at MCS2 but not interviewed or provided proxy information and other carers who completed the partner interview (mothers and grandparents). Education is based on education reported in MCS1 and updated with any new qualifications reported in MCS2. Excludes approximately 406 cases where educational qualifications were unknown. Weighted by all-UK weight.

** Chi square=698.90, p = 0.0000 for father's economic activity by NVQ level in upper table only.

A clear gradient is shown in Figure 9.2. Higher levels of qualification are associated with higher occupational status; 66 per cent of fathers employed in managerial and professional occupations were educated to degree level (NVQ level 4/5) compared with 10.6 per cent of fathers in semi-routine and routine occupations.



Figure 9.2 Working fathers' NS-SEC status by highest education level by MCS2

Base: All employed MCS2 fathers (natural, adoptive, foster and step) including 692 families not interviewed at sweep 1. Excludes fathers who were present at MCS2 but not interviewed or provided proxy information and other carers who completed the partner interview (mothers and grandparents) and fathers with no information on NS-SEC. Education is based on that reported in MCS1 and updated with any new qualifications reported in MCS2. Cases where educational qualifications were from overseas or unknown are included in 'none'. Weighted by all-UK weight. Note: Chi square= 2836.65, p value = 0.000.

Pakistani (26.6 per cent), Indian (22.6 per cent) and Bangladeshi fathers (21.6 per cent) were the most likely to be self-employed, whereas White (75.8 per cent) and Black Caribbean fathers (71.1 per cent) were the most likely to be employees (Table 9.8). Working full-time was highest among fathers of White (95.4 per cent), Indian (93.1 per cent), Black African (92.2 per cent) and Black Caribbean (91 per cent) ethnicity compared to Bangladeshi (57.2 per cent) and Pakistani (78.4 per cent) fathers. Interestingly, nearly 43 per cent of employed Bangladeshi fathers were in part-time employment compared to around 4 per cent of employed White fathers.

					Black	Black		All UK
	White	Indian	Pakistani	Bangladeshi	Caribbean	African	Other	Total
Employee	75.8	70.1	54.3	59.7	71.1	68.8	70.2	74.9
Self-employed	17.7	22.6	26.6	21.6	16.2	15.6	17.8	18.0
Not employed	6.5	7.3	19.1	18.7	12.8	15.7	12.0	7.1
Total percentage								
**	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Unweighted								
sample size	8114	268	350	107	82	103	246	9,270
Of those currently	/ working	l						
Works full-time	95.4	93.1	78.4	57.2	91.0	92.2	88.3	94.6
Works part-time	4.6	6.9	21.6	42.8	9.0	7.8	11.7	5.4
Total percentage	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Unweighted								
sample size	7,460	243	283	86	69	78	206	8,425

Table 9.8Fathers' economic activity status at sweep 2 by ethnicity

Notes: All MCS2 fathers (natural, adoptive, foster and step) including 692 families who were not interviewed at sweep 1 Excludes fathers who were present at MCS2 but not interviewed or provided proxy information and other carers who completed the partner interview (mothers and grandparents). Excludes approximately 986 cases where father's ethnicity was not known. Weighted by all-UK weight. Note: small sample sizes for Black Caribbean and Black African fathers. ** Chi square = 98.59, p = 0.0000 for father's economic activity by ethnicity in upper table only.

Parents' employment status at sweep 2

By the time the cohort child was aged three, one in six was living in a family with no earner (15.8 per cent). This was mostly the 11.2 per cent whose lone parent was not employed. The most common situation was two parents in a 1.5-earner partnership, with the father employed full-time and the mother employed part-time (34.1 per cent). A further quarter of families (28.6 per cent) were couples where the father was the sole earner (Table 9.9). This arrangement was most common among mothers in England (29.6 per cent) compared to mothers in the other three countries (approximately 23 per cent).

White (36.8 per cent) and Indian mothers (32.6 per cent) were most likely to live in families where the father was employed full-time and the mother part-time. Most Pakistani (58.9 per cent) and Bangladeshi mothers (50.4 per cent) were living in families where the father alone was employed. This arrangement was far less common among Black African (14.7 per cent) and Black Caribbean (10.6 per cent) mothers. Indian mothers reported the highest frequency of living in the type of two-parent family where both parents were employed full-time (25.9 per cent). Pakistani (2 per cent) and Bangladeshi (2.5 per cent) mothers were the least likely to live in a two-full-time-earner family (Table 9.10).

				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	All UK
	England	Wales	Scotland	Northern Ireland	total
Both employed full-time	10.1	12.6	13.7	19.7	10.8
Father full-time, mother part-time	33.8	35.5	36.7	30.2	34.1
Father part-time, mother full-time	2.2	2.5	2.5	2.3	2.2
Mother employed, father not employed	2.0	1.7	2.8	2.3	2.1
Father employed, mother not					
employed	29.6	23.1	23.6	23.0	28.6
Both not employed	4.6	5.7	4.2	3.7	4.6
Lone parent employed	6.4	6.3	7.6	7.9	6.4
Lone parent not employed	11.3	12.7	8.8	11.0	11.2
Total percentage	100	100	100	100	100
Unweighted sample size	8,646	1,949	1,432	1,152	13,179

Table 9.9Parents' partnerships and economic status by country at sweep 2

All MCS2 mothers and fathers (natural, adoptive, foster and step) including 692 families who were not interviewed at sweep 1. Excludes mothers whose partners did not complete the interview (approximately 2,056 cases) and interviews completed by grandparents or proxy interviews. Weighted by all-UK weight. Chi square = 90.07, p = 0.0000.

Falenis	partitiers	sinps and		status by motin	er s etrinicity			
	Mother's ethnicity							
					Black	Black		All UK
	White	Indian	Pakistani	Bangladeshi	Caribbean	African	Other	Total
Both employed full-time	10.9	25.9	2.0	2.5	19.6	17.7	11.2	11.1
Father full-time and								
mother part-time	36.8	32.6	7.1	7.2	14.6	14.5	17.1	35.0
Father part-time and								
mother full-time	2.2	4.1	2.6	3.1	2.4	5.1	2.4	2.2
Mother employed, father								
not employed	1.9	2.6	4.4	5.8	2.5	0.8	2.1	2.0
Father employed,								
mother not employed	28.0	23.6	58.9	50.4	10.6	14.7	36.8	28.6
Both not employed	4.0	4.9	13.0	17.8	2.4	9.2	7.7	4.4
Lone parent employed	6.3	2.8	2.3	3.1	20.6	9.1	5.8	6.3
Lone parent not								
employed	10.0	3.5	9.8	10.3	27.3	29.0	16.9	10.4
Total percentage	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Unweighted sample size	10,889	315	482	154	168	200	349	12,557

 Table 9.10

 Parents' partnerships and economic status by mother's ethnicity

Base: All MCS2 mothers and fathers (natural, adoptive, foster and step) including 692 families who were not interviewed at sweep 1. Excludes mothers whose partners did not complete the interview (approximately 2,056 cases) and interviews completed by grandparents or proxy interviews. Excludes cases were ethnicity was unknown. Weighted by all-UK weight. Chi square = 647.52, p = 0.0000

Employed parents' NS-SEC statuses

Nearly 40 per cent of all employed mothers in the UK were in managerial/professional occupations, either high or low, when the child was aged three (Table 9.11). There were relatively minor variations across countries. Employed mothers in England had slightly higher rates in small employer and self-employed occupations (8.5 per cent) compared to mothers in Northern Ireland (5.2 per cent).

Table 9.11 Employed mothers' MCS2 NS-SEC status by country										
	Country at	MCS2		•	All UK					
	England	Wales	Scotland	N Ireland	total					
High managerial/professional	7.8	7.0	7.9	7.0	7.7					
Low managerial/professional	31.5	34.7	34.2	31.5	31.9					
Intermediate	24.4	21.7	23.3	27.8	24.2					
Small employer and self-employed	8.5	5.6	5.8	5.2	7.9					
Low supervisory	3.9	5.1	3.6	2.8	3.9					
Semi-routine	17.4	18.2	18.0	19.8	17.6					
Routine	6.6	7.7	7.2	5.9	6.7					
Total percentage	100	100	100	100	100					
Unweighted sample size	4,591	1,178	1,074	804	7,647					

Base: All MCS2 employed mothers (natural, adoptive, foster and step) including 692 families who were not interviewed at sweep 1 whose occupations were known. Within country weighted by weight 1. All-UK weighted by weight 2. Chi square = 22.94, p = 0.08.

Forty-four per cent of all employed fathers in the UK were in managerial occupations (high or low) when the cohort child was aged three (Table 9.12). This is a very slight increase on the sweep 1 data about employed fathers. These occupation groups were lowest for employed fathers living in Northern Ireland (33 per cent). But this was compensated for by higher proportions than other UK countries being in intermediate (10.2 per cent), small employer/self-employed (20.9 per cent) or routine occupations (15 per cent).

				Northern	All UK
	England	Wales	Scotland	Ireland	total
High managerial/professional	15.7	11.2	14.5	11.0	15.2
Low managerial/professional	29.7	29.0	26.7	22.1	29.1
Intermediate	7.5	7.3	9.1	10.2	7.8
Small employer and self-employed	14.7	12.4	11.2	20.9	14.6
Low supervisory	12.5	16.4	15.9	12.3	12.9
Semi-routine	9.3	9.0	9.1	8.3	9.3
Routine	10.6	14.8	13.4	15.0	11.2
Total percentage	100	100	100	100	100
Unweighted sample size	6,656	1,476	1,164	885	10,181

 Table 9.12

 Employed fathers' NS-SEC status at sweep 2 by country

Base: All MCS2 employed fathers (natural, adoptive, foster and step) including 692 families who were not interviewed at sweep 1. Excludes fathers who were present at MCS2 but not interviewed or provided proxy information and other carers who completed the partner interview (mothers and grandparents) and fathers with no information on NS-SEC. Within country weighted by weight 1. All-UK weighted by weight 2. Chi square = 64.13, p = 0.0000

Employed mothers' atypical working patterns

Mothers' reports of atypical working hours, such as evenings, nights or weekends, varied only by country. Northern Ireland had lower amounts of such working than the other UK countries, particularly evenings and Saturdays or Sundays. Of all UK employed mothers, 35.1 per cent worked after 6 pm, 10.8 per cent reported working nights, 14 per cent worked on Saturday and 8.4 per cent on Sunday (Table 9.13). Overall, mothers in Northern Ireland were least likely to report working these atypical hours compared to mothers elsewhere.

	Country at N	Country at MCS2					
				Northern	All UK		
	England	Wales	Scotland	Ireland	Total		
Works after 6 pm*	35.4	33.4	35.4	30.4	35.1		
Works nights**	10.8	10.8	11.5	9.8	10.8		
Works Saturdays***	13.7	15.2	16.2	10.5	14.0		
Works Sundays****	8.4	9.8	8.8	4.1	8.4		
Works at any atypical time							
(any of the above)	42.9	41.6	42.7	35.8	42.6		
Unweighted sample size	4,779	1,205	1,107	826	7,917		

Table 9.13Percentage of employed mothers working atypical hours by country

Base: All employed MCS2 mothers (natural, adoptive, foster and step) including 692 families who were not interviewed at sweep 1, who reported working these atypical patterns on a weekly basis. Columns do not add up to 100 per cent as multiple responses allowed. *Chi-sq = 4.91, p = 0.25. ** Chi square = 4.03, P=0.28 *** Chi square=16.86, p = 0.01. **** Chi square=19.09, p = 0.01.

A U-shaped social profile was found for mothers' extent of working at atypical weekday hours by their current socio-economic status. Those in intermediate occupations were the least likely (22.3 per cent) to work after 6 pm. There was a more one-directional social gradient in weekend working. Mothers who reported working on Saturdays were most likely to be in small employer/self-employed; low supervisory/technical or semi-routine/routine occupations (Table 9.14).

			Small	Low	Semi-	
Mothers working	Managerial		employer	supervisory	routine	
weekly atypical	and		and self-	and	and	All UK
hours	professional	Intermediate	employed	technical	routine	Total
Works after 6 pm	35.2	22.3	42.5	46.5	43.9	35.2
Works nights	10.5	5.5	9.0	19.3	16.0	10.9
Works Saturdays	7.8	7.7	24.7	24.7	25.0	14.0
Works Sundays	5.4	4.7	9.1	14.2	15.6	8.4
Unweighted						
sample size	2,904	1,859	541	315	2,139	7,758

 Table 9.14

 Employed mothers' atypical weekly working patterns by NS-SEC status at sweep 2

Base: All employed MCS2 mothers (natural, adoptive, foster and step) including 692 families who were not interviewed at sweep 1, who reported working these atypical patterns on a weekly basis. Columns do not add up to 100 per cent as multiple responses allowed. *Chi-sq = 499.62, p = 0.000. ** Chi square = 291.33, P=0.000 *** Chi square=657.33, p = 0.000. **** Chi square=481.00, p = 0.000.

Employee mothers' flexible working arrangements

Parents who were employees were asked at MCS2, 'which, if any, of these arrangements have you made use of in your current main job, or, plan to make use of?'. The arrangements most commonly used by employee mothers were part-time working (63.7 per cent), flexible hours (29.5 per cent), changing from full to part-time working (23.4 per cent) and special shifts (16.8 per cent). Employee mothers in semi-routine/routine (71.3 per cent) and intermediate occupations (66.4 per cent) reported higher use of part-time working (Table 9.15). Use of special shifts was also highest among mothers in semi-routine/ routine (22.1 per cent) and low supervisory (24.2 per cent) occupations, compared to mothers in managerial and professional occupations (13.7 per cent). Employee mothers in managerial and professional occupations had

the highest rates of working at or from home occasionally (23.4 per cent). Clearly flexible working suits some jobs better than others.

Flexible working, particularly part-time, varied significantly by country (Table 9.16). Compared with 63.7 per cent of all UK employee mothers working part-time, mothers in Northern Ireland reported the lowest frequencies (44.3 per cent) of part-time work. Nearly 65 per cent of White mothers reported part-time working compared to only 33 per cent of employed Black Caribbean and Black African mothers (Table 9.17). There was little variation by country in flexible working hours.

Table 9.15
Percentage of employee mothers in each NS-SEC group who reported using flexible
working at sweep 2

	Mother's NS-S	SEC at MCS2				P value
	Managerial		Low	Semi-		
	and		supervisory	routine and	All UK	
	professional	Intermediate	and technical	routine	total	
Part-time working	57.7	66.4	57.1	71.3	63.7	0.00
Job-sharing	11.6	10.3	6.3	3.6	8.8	0.00
Flexible working hours	32.2	33.6	26.7	21.8	29.5	0.00
Working at or from home						0.00
occasionally	23.4	10.3	4.5	1.5	13.1	
Working at or from home all the						0.00
time	3.2	4.2	1.0	0.9	2.7	
Special shifts (evenings, school						0.00
hours)	13.7	14.8	24.2	22.1	16.8	
Nine-day fortnights / 4.5-day						0.00
working week	2.1	1.1	0.7	0.3	1.3	
School term-time contracts	8.1	6.9	6.1	8.2	7.8	0.36
Ability to change from full-time						0.00
to part-time	29.5	26.3	22.3	11.6	23.4	
None of these	11.3	10.1	14.9	9.9	10.7	0.00
Unweighted maximum sample						
size	2,734	1,858	315	2,139	7,046	

Base: All employee MCS2 mothers (natural, adoptive, foster and step) including 692 families not interviewed at sweep 1. Columns do not add up to 100 per cent as multiple responses allowed. Note: This table is based on employees only, therefore does not include self-employed mothers. Employees were asked 'which, if any, of these arrangements have you made use of in your current main job, or, plan to make use of?'.

 Table 9.16

 Percentage of employee mothers in each country who reported flexible working arrangements at sweep 2

	Country					Р				
				Northern	All UK	value				
	England	Wales	Scotland	Ireland	total					
Part-time working	64.6	64.3	60.0	44.3	63.4	0.00				
Job-sharing	8.6	7.8	11.2	6.7	8.7	0.02				
Flexible working hours	30.0	30.4	27.4	27.7	29.7	0.29				
Working at or from home occasionally	14.0	10.9	11.2	7.8	13.3	0.00				
Working at or from home all the time	3.0	2.3	1.5	1.7	2.8	0.08				
Special shifts (evenings, school hours)	16.9	17.4	15.3	10.6	16.6	0.00				
Nine-day fortnights / 4.5-day week	1.3	1.3	1.1	2.3	1.3	0.28				
School term-time contracts	7.8	9.8	5.7	7.6	7.7	0.01				

Table 9.16 Percentage of employee mothers in each country who reported flexible working arrangements at sweep 2

	Country					Р
				Northern	All UK	value
	England	Wales	Scotland	Ireland	total	
Contd.						
Ability to change from full- to part-time	24.0	22.4	20.5	22.6	23.5	0.41
None of these	10.4	11.9	11.4	19.2	10.8	0.00
Unweighted cases sample size	4,279	1,109	1,025	775	7,188	

Base: All employee MCS2 mothers (natural, adoptive, foster and step) including 692 families not interviewed at sweep 1.

Table 9.17
Percentage of employee mothers in each ethnic group who reported using flexible
working arrangements with their current employer at sweep 2

				Black	Black		
	White	Indian	Pakistani	Caribbean	African	Other	All UK Total
Part-time working	64.9	53.9	54.2	33.2	33.1	46.2	63.6
Job-sharing	9.1	2.1	11.6	3.5	4.7	1.9	8.7
Flexible working hours	29.3	33.5	37.5	36.0	31.8	33.1	29.7
Working at or from home occasionally	13.4	15.3	5.5	15.1	11.3	11.9	13.4
Working at or from home all the time	2.7	5.0	2.7	4.1	0.0	1.3	2.8
Special shifts (evenings, school hours)	16.8	14.2	12.3	12.6	7.8	21.9	16.7
Nine-day fortnights/ 4.5-day working week	1.4	, 1.2	0.0	4.3	0.0	0.9	1.4
School term-time contracts	7.6	9.1	13.0	6.8	6.1	4.1	7.7
Ability to change from full- to part- time	23.9	22.9	20.5	17.9	20.5	18.3	23.7
None of these	10.1	16.6	8.6	21.5	22.4	23.3	10.7
Unweighted cases sample size	6,396	196	72	96	82	118	6,992

Base: All employee MCS2 mothers (natural, adoptive, foster and step) including 692 families who were not interviewed at sweep 1.

Employee mothers were also asked 'which, if any, of these arrangements have you made use of in your current main job, or, plan to make use of if currently away from work?'. The provisions most widely used by cohort mothers from their employers were time off for a family emergency (53.7 per cent) and use of a telephone in the workplace for family reasons (45.1 per cent). See Table 9.18. One in four employee mothers across the UK did not use any of the provisions listed. Employee mothers in Northern Ireland reported the highest frequency of needing time off for family emergencies (60 per cent) compared to mothers living in England (53.2 per cent) and Scotland (53.2 per cent). A relatively low proportion of mothers reported that they had benefited from financial help with childcare (8.7 per cent overall in the UK) and access to a workplace nursery or crèche (4.9 per cent). Each of these was used most by mothers living in England (9.5 per cent for financial help and 5.3 per cent for the workplace nursery) and used least by mothers in Northern Ireland (4.3 per cent for financial help and 1.5 per cent for the workplace nursery).

Non-employed mothers' reasons for not working at sweep 2

Mothers neither working nor seeking work (nor on leave) when the cohort child was aged three were asked why they were not doing so (Table 9.19). In the UK as a whole, 63.7 per cent of these mothers reported that they preferred to be at home looking after their family. This figure varied significantly by country; ranging from 70.2 per cent of economically inactive mothers in Northern Ireland, to 56.4 per cent in Scotland.

	-				-	
				Northern	All UK	p value
	England	Wales	Scotland	Ireland	total	
Financial help with						
childcare/childcare vouchers	9.5	5.5	5.7	4.3	8.7	0.00
Workplace nursery or crèche	5.3	5.2	2.9	1.5	4.9	0.00
Other nurseries supported by						
employer	1.0	1.1	0.6	0.8	0.9	0.65
Help with finding childcare facilities						
away from the workplace	2.8	1.7	3.0	1.4	2.7	0.06
Care for children after school hours						
or during school holidays	6.0	6.0	6.1	4.5	5.9	0.45
Time off for family emergencies	53.2	57.8	53.2	60.0	53.7	0.00
Career breaks for personal reasons*	7.0	8.5	9.8	6.9	7.4	0.13
Parental leave*	15.9	15.8	18.7	17.2	16.2	0.43
A telephone to use for family						
reasons	44.3	50.0	46.3	50.3	45.1	0.00
None of these	24.4	20.5	24.5	19.7	24.1	0.00
Unweighted cases sample size	4,202	1,104	1,021	770	7,097	

Table 9.18Percentage of employee mothers who used or planned to use employer-provided
family-friendly arrangements by country at sweep 2

Base: All MCS2 employee mothers (natural, adoptive, foster and step) including 692 families who were not interviewed at sweep 1. Columns do not add up to 100 per cent as multiple responses allowed. Note: This table is based on employees only therefore does not include self-employed mothers. Employees were asked 'which, if any, of these arrangements have you made use of in your current main job, or plan to make use of if currently away from work?'

* The survey did not ask whether these benefits were paid or not.

Of the economically inactive, 57.9 per cent reported that they preferred to look after their children themselves and 10.7 per cent reported that they were not working because they were unable to earn enough to pay for childcare. Citing these costs as a problem varied by country, from 11.4 per cent of responses in England to 7.9 per cent in Scotland, but the variations were not statistically significant (at 95 per cent level of confidence). Approximately 9 per cent of these mothers were at home because they had a new baby.

There were small variations in the reasons mothers in couples gave for not being employed when the child was aged three, according to their partner's employment status at the time (Table 9.20). Mothers who preferred to look after the child themselves were a slightly lower percentage of those with a non-employed partner (55.1 per cent) compared with mothers who had an employed partner (around 62 per cent). Mentioning problems of finding suitable childcare was most frequent (11 per cent) among mothers with full-time employed partners, and very infrequent (4.7 per cent) among those with part-time employed partners. Worries about the loss of benefit by going out to work were cited by 7 per cent of mothers with non-employed partners and 4 per cent of mothers whose partners worked part-time.

Mothers' reasons for not working also varied a little by family income (Table 9.21). Those on low incomes (below 60 per cent median income) were less likely than those with higher incomes to prefer to be at home with their children, to look after their children themselves, to prefer not to work and to have a new baby. Mothers on low incomes were more likely than those on higher family incomes to think they would lose benefits by working, and to think they would not earn enough to cover the costs of childcare if they did work.

						P value
				Northern	All UK	
	England	Wales	Scotland	Ireland	total	
Prefer to be at home looking after						
family	64.8	60.0	56.4	70.2	63.7	0.00
Prefer to look after children myself	59.4	59.4	55.9	47.2	57.9	0.00
I cannot earn enough to pay for						
childcare	11.4	11.3	7.9	8.6	10.7	0.07
I cannot find suitable childcare	4.7	5.8	7.6	3.4	5.1	0.00
There are no suitable jobs for me	10.1	8.2	10.8	5.1	9.9	0.18
I am on a training course	3.0	4.3	3.0	1.6	3.1	0.00
My family would lose benefits if I						
was earning	4.0	4.8	3.7	2.9	4.0	0.34
I am caring for an elderly or ill						
relative or friend	1.8	2.9	1.9	3.3	2.1	0.04
I prefer not to work	5.2	2.7	6.8	3.7	4.9	0.41
My husband/partner disapproves	2.1	0.5	1.1	0.4	1.6	0.05
I have a new baby	9.3	7.9	9.2	6.2	8.8	0.03
Other	11.0	12.1	16.3	9.4	11.6	0.00
Unweighted cases sample size	4,619	892	600	529	6,640	

Table 9.19 Non-employed mothers' reasons for not working at MCS2 by country

Base: All MCS2 mothers who were not employed, nor seeking work when cohort child aged three, (natural, adoptive, foster and step) including 692 families who were not interviewed at sweep 1. Columns do not add up to 100 per cent as multiple responses allowed.

Non-employed mothers' reasons for not working at MCS2 by partner's employment status									
	Partner emplo	yed at MCS2							
Mothers' reasons why not currently	Employed	Employed	Non-	All UK					
employed	full-time	part-time	employed	total					
Prefer to be at home looking after family	67.6	68.5	65.5	67.4					
Prefer to look after children myself	62.9	62.2	55.1	61.8					
I cannot earn enough to pay for childcare	11.0	4.7	8.1	10.2					
I cannot find suitable childcare	3.9	3.1	2.4	3.7					
There are no suitable jobs for me	9.2	10.3	9.4	9.3					
I am on a training course	2.4	1.9	2.1	2.3					
My family would lose benefits if I was									
earning	1.8	4.0	7.0	2.6					
I am caring for an elderly or ill relative or									
friend	1.6	2.1	5.0	2.1					
I prefer not to work	5.9	6.5	4.6	5.8					

Table 9.20

Non-employed mothers' reasons for not working at MCS2 by partner's employment status	Table 9.20
	Non-employed mothers' reasons for not working at MCS2 by partner's employment status

	Partner emplo			
Mothers' reasons why not currently	Employed	Employed	Non-	All UK
employed	full-time	part-time	employed	total
Contd				
My husband/partner disapproves	2.4	2.9	1.4	2.3
I have a new baby	11.1	6.5	10.7	10.7
Other	9.6	9.0	17.4	10.6
Unweighted cases sample size	2,953	323	686	3,962

Base: MCS2 mothers who were not employed at the MCS2 interview and had partners.

Non-employed mothers reason	is for not work	ing at NIC52 by f	amily income	
	Income pover	ty status at		
	MCS2		All UK total	
	Above 60%	Below 60%		
Mothers' reasons for not being	median	median		Р
currently employed	income level	Income level		
Prefer to be at home looking after				
family	67.1	57.4	63.0	0.0000
Prefer to look after children myself	62.8	55.1	59.6	0.0001
I cannot earn enough to pay for				
childcare	10.9	13.0	11.8	0.0539
I cannot find suitable childcare	4.1	6.7	5.2	0.0001
There are no suitable jobs for me	9.9	11.3	10.5	0.1721
I am on a training course	2.7	3.9	3.2	0.0131
My family would lose benefits if I was				
earning	1.9	7.4	4.3	0.0000
I am caring for an elderly or ill relative				
or friend	1.7	2.8	2.2	0.0408
I prefer not to work	6.4	3.2	5.1	0.0000
My husband/partner disapproves	2.1	1.5	1.8	0.1748
I have a new baby	11.1	7.5	9.6	0.0007
Other	9.9	13.3	11.4	0.0041
Unweighted cases sample size	2 526	2 720	5 246	

Table 9.21Non-employed mothers' reasons for not working at MCS2 by family income

Base: Mothers who were not employed at the MCS2 interview.

Changes in parents' employment from sweep 1 to sweep 2

Small changes in mothers' employment status were found between sweeps. Most mothers remained in their previous type of work; 62.4 per cent of mothers working full-time when the child was nine months old were still doing so at sweep 2 (Figure 9 3); 73.4 per cent of mothers previously employed part-time were still working part-time when their child was three. Some movement can also be seen both out of and into non-employment.

Figure 9.3



Changes in mother's employment status and hours of work from 9 months to age 3

Base: All MCS2 mothers (natural, adoptive, foster and step) interviewed in sweep 1 and followed-up in sweep 2, therefore excluding 692 families who were not interviewed at sweep one. $\text{Chi-sq}^2 = 8881.98$ P = 0.0000





Employment status and hours at MCS2

Base: All MCS2 mothers (natural, adoptive, foster and step) interviewed in sweep 1 and followed-up in sweep 2, therefore excluding 692 families who were not interviewed at sweep 1.

These transitions in employment status by the time children were aged three varied slightly by country (Figure 9. 4). Mothers in Wales who worked full time at sweep 1 were more likely to continue in full-time work than similar mothers in the other UK countries, and mothers in England were the least likely to do so. Mothers who had worked part-time at sweep 1 lived in Northern Ireland were the most likely to have changed to work full-time by the time of the second survey; these mothers in Wales and Scotland were slightly more likely than the others to have stayed working part-time. Mothers in England and Northern Ireland had the highest percentages of part-timers who changed to non-employment. Mothers in Scotland who had been out of employment at sweep 1 were the most likely to have entered employment by the time their children were three.

Fathers' employment status displayed fewer changes than the mothers'. Of fathers employed full-time at sweep 1, 94.3 per cent were still employed full-time at sweep 2; 2.7 per cent had moved into part-time employment and 3 per cent were out of work (Figure 9.5). Forty-six per cent of fathers who had previously been employed part-time were working full-time when their child was aged three. Of fathers who had been out of work at sweep 1, 35.4 per cent had full-time and 11.9 per cent had part-time employment by sweep 2.



Changes in father's employment status when child aged 9-10 months to age 3

Figure 9.5

Base: All MCS2 employed fathers (natural, adoptive, foster and step) interviewed in sweep 1 and followed up in sweep 2, therefore excluding 692 families who were not interviewed at sweep 1. Chi square = 3713.01, p = 0.0000

Changes in parents' combined partnership and economic status

Much larger changes occurred in the combined economic status of couples between sweep 1 and sweep 2. Only 55.3 per cent of couples who were both working full-time at sweep 1 were still doing so at the second survey (Table 9.22); in 24 per cent of these families, the mother had moved into part-time work; and in a further 12.2 per cent the mother had stopped being employed, leaving the family with only the father employed. Families where the mother worked part-time and the father full-time in sweep 1 were most likely to have stayed in the same employment status by sweep 2 (68.7 per cent), although 15 per cent of these families had moved into a father-only employed category. Couples where only the father was employed also had a high level of continuity, with 60.5 per cent remaining in this state when children were aged three. Clearly, keeping two full-time jobs in a family with young children is quite demanding, and having one full-time and one part-time job is more sustainable.

The greatest change across these family economies was for couples where the mother alone was employed at sweep 1 (23.9 per cent were still in this position when children were aged three) and non-employed couples, where 43.4 per cent also had no earner at sweep 2.

There was evidence of some changes to partnerships over the period. Almost one in four lone parents at sweep 1 had moved into a partnership by the time their children were aged three. Partnership breakdowns were also evident. Splits to become a lone parent family at sweep2 were least likely among those where both parents were employed at sweep 1 (around 5 per cent) and somewhat more likely among single-earner couples (around 9 per cent) and mostly likely (around 22 per cent) among those who were no-earner couples at sweep 1.

 Table 9.22

 Parents' partnerships and economic statuses between sweeps

	When child aged three									
		Father	Father							
		employed	employed							
	Both	full-time,	part-time	Mother			Lone	Lone		
When child aged	employed	mother part-	and mother	only	Father only	Both not	parent	parent not	Total	Unweighted
nine months	full-time	time	full-time	employed	employed	employed	employed	employed	percentage	sample size
Both employed										
full-time	55.3	24.0	1.8	2.0	12.2	0.6	3.7	0.4	100	1,464
Father employed										
full-time, mother										
part-time	7.4	68.7	1.7	1.2	15.4	0.4	4.0	1.1	100	3,436
Father employed										
part-time and										
mother full-time	10.5	30.9	35.8	4.3	13.0	3.0	1.6	1.0	100	199
Mother only										
employed	11.5	21.2	9.1	23.9	14.9	7.9	6.7	4.8	100	282
Father only										
employed	3.1	21.2	1.4	1.4	60.5	3.5	2.6	6.3	100	3,819
Both not employed	0.6	3.5	1.7	3.5	25.3	43.4	3.0	19.0	100	882
Lone parent										
employed	5.2	11.2	1.7	1.9	3.5	0.4	56.9	19.2	100	505
Lone parent not										
employed	0.3	3.8	0.5	1.0	9.3	6.3	12.8	65.9	100	1,553
All UK total	10.8	34.4	2.2	2.0	29.2	4.5	6.2	10.6	100	1,2140

Base: All MCS2 families (natural, adoptive, foster and step mothers and fathers) interviewed in sweep 1 and followed up in sweep 2, therefore excluding 692 families who were not interviewed at sweep 1 and excludes mothers whose partners were not interviewed. Other carers and proxy interviews are excluded. p = 0.0000

Increases in education by age three

Mothers' education

When the cohort child was aged three, mothers who had been interviewed at both sweeps were asked whether they had acquired new qualifications since the first study. Approximately one in six (16.9 per cent) of these mothers reported that they had acquired new educational qualifications (Table 9.23). Mothers in Wales reported the highest frequency (19.9 per cent).

whether mothers had acquired new qualifications by country								
	Country at	Country at MCS2						
				Northern	All UK			
	England	Wales	Scotland	Ireland	Total			
Yes	16.9	19.9	15.3	18.3	16.9			
No	83.1	80.1	84.7	81.7	83.1			
Total	100	100	100	100	100			
Unweighted sample size	9093	2185	1779	1429	14486			

Table 9.23Whether mothers had acquired new qualifications by country

Base: All MCS2 mothers (natural, adoptive, foster and step) interviewed in sweep 1 and followed up in sweep 2,who gave valid information. Chi square=6.83, p =0.02

Mothers who had higher levels of qualification when the child was aged nine months were more likely to have gained additional qualifications by the time the child reached three (Table 9.24). Almost one-fifth of mothers with NVQ levels 3, 4 or 5, that is A-level, degree or other tertiary academic or vocational qualification, gained an additional qualification by sweep 2, compared with 7.3 per cent of mothers who had no qualifications at this earlier stage. However, mothers were not always acquiring higher skills than previously reported at MCS1. In the case of those with the highest level of qualification at MCS1 (NVQ 4 or 5, bachelor and postgraduate degree, along with professional equivalents) who gained an additional qualification, 33.7 per cent gained an additional qualification by MCS2; for the rest of this group the qualification by MCS2, having had NVQ level 1 or 2 at sweep 1, 19.4 per cent gained a higher level of qualification.

	Level of or	Level of original MCS1qualification						
	NVQ	NVQ	NVQ	Overseas	None of	Total		
	level 4/5	level 3	level 1/2	qualification only	these			
Percentage of Yes	19.5	19.4	16.4	9.8	7.3	16.9		
Unweighted sample size	4,552	2,113	5,389	376	2,034	14,464		
Percentage of Yes with	n/a	13.9	19.4	41.3	100.0			
higher qualification than								
MCS1								
Unweighted sample size		434	916	44	161	1,555		

Table 9.24 Mothers' new qualifications at sweep 2 by level of MCS1 qualifications

Base: All MCS2 mothers (natural, adoptive, foster and step) interviewed in sweep 1 and followed-up in sweep 2 who had acquired a new qualification since sweep 1 interview, therefore excluding 692 families who were not interviewed at sweep 1. Chi square = 141.06, p=0.000

4.2 Fathers' education

Fathers were somewhat more likely than mothers to gain qualifications between surveys. Just over 20 per cent of fathers interviewed at both sweeps reported new educational qualifications in the interval (Table 9.25). Like mothers in Wales, fathers living there reported the highest frequency (22.9 per cent) compared to other countries.

whether rathers had acquired new quantications by MCS2 by country						
Acquired new qualifications since				Northern	All UK	
cohort child was nine months old	England	Wales	Scotland	Ireland	Total	
Yes	20.6	22.9	17.4	17.9	20.3	
No	79.4	77.1	82.7	82.1	79.7	
Total	100	100	100	100	100	
Unweighted sample size	5,719	1,312	1,029	775	8,835	

Table 9.25	
Whether fathers had acquired new qualifications by MCS2 by cou	ntry

Base: All MCS2 fathers (natural, adoptive, foster and step) interviewed in sweep 1 and followed-up in sweep 2, excluding those with missing information. Chi square=6.69, p = 0.02

Like mothers, fathers who had higher levels of qualification at sweep 1 were more likely to have gained additional qualifications by the second survey (Table 9.26). Fathers were more likely than mothers to gain additional qualifications, particularly at the lower levels of earlier qualifications.

However, as with mothers, not all of the qualifications gained were higher than at MCS1. Of fathers who had an NVQ level 4 or 5 qualification at MCS1 and had gained an additional qualification, in 33.9 per cent of cases this additional qualification was at the same high NVQ level. For fathers who were at lower levels of qualification at sweep 1, 14 per cent of those who gained an additional qualification from being at NVQ level 3 gained a higher level of qualification (Table 9.26).

Fathers' qualifications at sweep 1 by level of new qualifications at sweep 2						
Fathers acquired new	Level of original MCS1qualification			All UK		
qualification by MCS2	NVQ	NVQ	NVQ	Overseas	None of	Total
	level 4/5	level 3	level 1/2	qualification only	these	
Percentage Yes	20.9	23.0	20.0	18.3	12.3	20.3
Unweighted sample size	3245	1368	2850	249	911	8,623
Percentage Yes with	n/a	14.0	11.4	32.3	100.0	
higher qualification than						
MCS1						
Unweighted sample size		321	539	40	161	1,006

 Table 9.26

 Fathers' qualifications at sweep 1 by level of new qualifications at sweep 2

Base: All MCS2 fathers (natural, adoptive, foster and step) interviewed in sweep 1 and followed up in sweep 2 who had acquired a new qualification since sweep 1 interview. Chi square = 34.37, p = 0.00. Note: Figures assume an NVQ qualification at any level is higher than an overseas qualification; and an overseas qualification is higher than 'None'.

Conclusions

As we expected, there were changes in mothers' economic activity between the two sweeps of MCS as well as small amounts of partnership changes. These are fairly sizeable changes, but this is a time of great change in children's development and many things can occur to make parents alter their ways of combining work and family life. For example, a sizeable proportion of mothers, 27 per cent (see Table 3.11) had another baby by the time the cohort child was three; children can be unhappy with their carer and this can have knock-on effects on parents' employment: changes in the job of one partner can make it more difficult to manage employment for the other partner. There were more substantial changes in the classifications of families' economic activity between sweeps, since these also encompassed changes in working hours as well as in both parents' employment statuses.

Fathers in sweep 2 were slightly less likely than all UK fathers to be out of employment when the cohort child was three, but more likely to be self-employed than the UK male employed population.

A significant minority of parents gained a new academic or vocational qualification over this relatively short period, suggesting an appetite for lifelong learning.

Chapter 10

INCOME AND POVERTY

Kelly Ward, Alice Sullivan and Jonathan Bradshaw

Objectives

The economic circumstances of the family in which a child is growing up are important for determining current standards of living and are linked to prospects for the child's development. Eradicating child poverty is a key long-term aim of government policy, and the sources of income available are key levers in this policy. This chapter describes how the income data were derived from MCS2. It then establishes an income poverty threshold and compares poverty rates for different types of families. It concludes with a comparison of income poverty in MCS1 and MCS2. The most authoritative source of national data on child poverty is the Households Below Average Income (HBAI) series (DWP 2007) but it is not possible to reproduce its measure exactly from MCS data and our own indicator of income poverty is not intended to do so. The income poverty indicator for the MCS will be supplemented with other measures of deprivation. However, it is important for there to be an indicator of poverty in cash terms for the MCS and this will be supplemented with other measures of deprivation.

Methods

Unlike a survey specifically devoted to collecting information on income and its components, MCS provides relatively broad-brush information. Respondents were asked about family income in terms of their own and their partner's take-home earnings as well as benefits and regular net income from other sources. To maintain item response, respondents were asked to specify which of 18 income bands their family income⁶ belonged to, instead of an actual figure. The survey questionnaire used separate income bands for lone parents and for couples. In order to produce an estimate of family income, we assigned the central value of the income band to all the families belonging to that particular band. Respondents and their partners were also asked about their gross and net pay (for those in employment) or take-home income (for the self-employed), but these are not reported in this chapter. There was insufficient information to double-check all the reported net family income responses against these other answers, but in a minority of cases some inconsistency was apparent. It has to be recognised that there may be some measurement error in the banded income reported. This should be partly absorbed by using only grouped indicators derived from it, but they remain somewhat rough and ready.

The derivation of an income poverty rate for the MCS is not straightforward. We follow the same procedures used in MCS1. For the top and bottom categories of the income bands, we took respectively the bottom and top thresholds of the band as the household income. This artificially reduces the estimated range of family income somewhat.

⁶ Family income is only asked of lone parents and couples and does not include income received by other adults in the household.

Having established an estimate of income, we needed to 'equivalise' it to take account of the needs of families of different sizes and compositions. To calculate equivalent income we used a version of the McClements equivalence scale⁷, also used by the government in its annual publication of Households Below Average Income up to 2004/5. We did not take account of the detailed child weights in the McClements scale, partly because it gives a weight of only 0.09 for babies and 0.18 for a child aged three. Instead, we assigned to all dependent children in the household the average of the child weights of 0.23.

The tabulations in this chapter are based on respondents in MCS2 who were the cohort child's parents who answered the family income question. There is one response per family regardless of the small minority who have two or three cohort children through multiple births. Hence the unit is a family, selected on the condition that they have at least one child in the cohort.

As measured in the questionnaire, the median income band for couples in our sample was £22,000 to £28,000 per annum. The median for single parents was just £5,500 to £7,500 per annum. Expressed in terms of weekly equivalised income, Figure 10.1 shows the distribution of total family income for MCS2 families. The mean was £387 per week and the median was £325.



Figure 10.1 Net family income, equivalised, per week at MCS2

Notes: Frequency distribution using McClements-type adjusted family income for all respondents in MCS2 who answered the family income question and where the number of partners was clear (n=13024). Approximately 1,875 families answered don't know, 440 families refused to answer and 143 families gave no response, in 108 cases it was not clear how many partners were included in the income response. Weighted by weight 2.

7	McClements equivalence scale		
	Number of people in family	Equivalence scale	
	Head	0.61	
	Spouse	0.39	
	Each additional adult (over 16)	0.45	
	Each child ,by age	0.09-0.36	

This chapter gives each child a weight of 0.23 and excludes additional adults

Income poverty

The poverty threshold was defined as 60 per cent of national median income before housing costs. This is one of the conventional relative poverty measures used by the government in the HBAI series. In 2003-4 the median before housing costs was £333 per week for a childless couple (DWP 2005). The proportion of families in MCS2 with approximate equivalent income below a threshold based on this level (£200 per week) was 26.0 per cent. This is higher than the UK child poverty estimate of 22 per cent in 2003/04 in the HBAI (DWP 2007) but very similar to the cross-sectional result for MCS1, 27.5 per cent (Bradshaw et al 2005), when the HBAI estimate for 2001/2 was 23 per cent⁸.

One reason why the indicator used here exceeds the official one is that the adjustment made for family size and composition assumes a higher cost of young children. This will result, by design, in a lower estimate of equivalised income for families with a three-year-old than the formula used in HBAI (particularly in the McClements formula used up to 2004/5). More families would hence fall below the threshold. This applies particularly to families with many young children or only one adult. A further difference is that MCS counts only the income of the parents (or parent), the 'family', not all the adults in the household, as in the HBAI. In most cases there are no other adults living with families, so that family income and household income are one and the same. However, where the cohort family lives in a larger household, they are not. For example, lone-parent families, who live in larger households with co-residents who may be better off, would be more likely to appear as poor under a family income than a household income definition of 'poverty'. We cannot check precisely, as no income information was collected from other household members, and the definition of family income assumes they neither contribute nor share in it.

The base of the HBAI child poverty rate is children, whereas the MCS rates are based on families with at least one cohort child. In this case, family and child-based rates are almost the same thing; the rate used here differs only to the extent that cohort families with twins and triplets appear only once. This is unlikely to make much difference, since it affects only 1 per cent of families. Another source of the difference could be that the HBAI statistic covers children of all ages, and MCS covers infants and three-year-olds respectively. However, as the HBAI estimate has not been very sensitive to the age of the youngest in the household, this is unlikely to be the major reason for the difference. The use of banded income in MCS, a blunt instrument, contributes to the lack of exact comparability as does the greater chance of measurement error in this non-specialist survey. Finally, various sources of nonresponse bias remain to be investigated and adjusted for. Although the MCS does not attempt to compete with specialised surveys in making detailed and accurate poverty estimates, it does offer the possibility of putting broader-brush estimates of families' financial circumstances in a wider, longitudinal context. First we consider some cross-sectional correlates at age three, then we turn to some preliminary evidence on longitudinal dynamics.

⁸ The HBAI child poverty rate for the UK continued its downward trend to 21 per cent in 2004/05 but rose back to 22 per cent in figures released in 2007 for 2005/06.

Location

Table 10.1 shows the incidence of 'poverty' according to family income across countries and wards (based on the wards in which the families were sampled at MCS1), and across family types. In England, just over a quarter (25.3 per cent) of families were estimated to have income below the poverty line. In both Wales and Northern Ireland, the proportion of families living below the line was greater (30.3 and 29.3 per cent respectively). In contrast to the HBAI child poverty rates, the proportion of families in income poverty was lowest in Scotland (21.3 per cent). This is partly because there are fewer large families in Scotland, but after allowance is made for family size, there remains a statistically significant difference between Scotland and Wales only. No firm conclusions should be drawn until the roles of other covariates and differential attrition have been investigated.

Minority ethnic wards, as defined for this survey, showed a majority of the families (57.0 per cent) living below the poverty income threshold. Families living in other disadvantaged wards were more than twice as likely to be in the poverty income bracket as those in non-disadvantaged wards – 38.6 per cent compared to 16.9 per cent..

Table 10.1
Incidence of family income poverty at MCS2, by country, ward type, partnership, number
of children and mother's age at birth

		Percentage below 60	Total
		per cent	Unweighted n
Country at MCS2	England	25.3	8,184
	Wales	30.3	1,850
	Scotland	21.3	1,489
	Northern Ireland	29.3	1,064
	UK	26.0	12,587
	Chi square	45.56	
	р	0.001	
Ward at MCS1	Minority ethnic	57.0	1,313
	Other disadvantaged	38.6	5,828
	Non-disadvantaged	16.9	5,446
	Chi square	918.36	
	р	0.001	
Partnership status at MCS2	Married	14.2	7,836
	Co-habiting	31.7	2,110
	Lone parent	72.1	2,243
	Chi square	2896.08	
	р	0.001	
Number of children at MCS2	One child	24.0	4, 515
	Two children	21.3	5,167
	Three children	32.0	2,027
	Four or more children	54.4	878
	Chi square	351.82	
	р	0.001	
Mother's age at birth	Up to 20	68.8	1,405
	21-25	41.1	2,292
	26-30	21.4	3,849
	31-35	15.2	3,520
Contd.			

Table 10.1 Incidence of family income poverty at MCS2, by country , ward type, partnership, number of children and mother's age at birth

	Percentage below 60 per cent	Total Unweighted	n
36+	18.3		1,569
Chi square	1655.54		
р	0.001		

Notes: All families in MCS2 (includes natural, adoptive, foster and step-families) who answered the family income question (n=12,587). Weighted by weight 2. Country weighted by weight one for within country analysis and weight 2 for all UK.

Family

There was a very strong link between partnership status and poverty. Married parents were least likely to be in the poverty income bracket (14.5 per cent), followed by cohabiting respondents (30.4 per cent). Lone parents were the most likely to be below the threshold on their own income (72.1 per cent). While the estimates for couple families (17 per cent when combined) are the same as the 2003-4 child poverty rates in HBAI (17 per cent) the estimate here for lone parents is nearly twice that reported for households nationally (38 per cent). Further research will be required to identify the reasons for this discrepancy. But it is at least partly due to the difference between family and household income. At least 10 per cent of the MCS lone parents below the MCS poverty line had other people living in the household whose incomes could have brought household income over the threshold. As noted earlier, it should also be borne in mind that the incomes of lone-parent families are reduced relatively more by the equivalence scale used here than in the HBAI estimates.

The lowest estimated poverty rates were found among families with two children (21.3 per cent), followed by those with one child (24 per cent). Respondents with three children had considerably higher estimated poverty rates (32 per cent), and those with four or more had higher rates still (54 per cent). The rates of child poverty on the household income basis for the UK in 2003-4 (HBAI) were 18 per cent with two children, 19 per cent with one, 24 per cent with three, and 41 per cent with four or more children, showing, as expected from the larger child-equivalence scale, a greater divergence between the two definitions for larger families.

Younger mothers were more likely to be below the poverty line than older mothers. Judging from patterns revealed at MCS1 (Bradshaw et al 2005, Jayaweera et al 2005, Hawkes et al 2004), this is likely to be partly due to the tendency for women from more advantaged origins to delay childbirth, and partly due to people being more financially secure as they get older. Almost 70 per cent of mothers who were aged 20 or younger at the birth of their child were in poverty, compared to 15.2 per cent of mothers in the 31 to 35 age group. However, the oldest mothers (aged 36 and over) were slightly more likely than the 31 to 35 age group to be in poverty. Only 9 per cent of these older mothers in the poverty bracket were first-time mothers.

Ethnicity

Table 10.2 shows the rates of poverty estimated for each ethnic group, as defined by mothers' ethnicity. Whites had the lowest levels of poverty of all the ethnic groups (22.7 per cent). Twenty-eight per cent of Indian families were in the poverty bracket. Black Caribbean and Black African families suffered very similar rates of estimated poverty (41.6 per cent and 41.8 per cent respectively). About two-thirds of Pakistani and Bangladeshi families had poverty-level income. This is in line with HBAI where Pakistanis and Bangladeshis had the highest rates of child poverty (62 per cent in Great Britain in 2003-4), the gap between sources is in the expected direction given the large families in these communities. Note that the ethnic distribution of poverty does not seem to be in line with the ethnic distribution of educational qualifications outlined in Chapter 9 by Ward and Dex, as Black Caribbean respondents had similar levels of qualifications to Whites, while Indians and Black Africans were considerably more likely than Whites to have qualifications at NVQ level 4/5. Groups with above-average poverty were also less likely to be two-parent families or two-earner families.

		,	
		Percentage below 60	Total
		per cent threshold	unweighted n
Mother's ethnicity	White	22.7	10,612
	Indian	23.7	267
	Pakistani	67.9	423
	Bangladeshi	67.0	134
	Black Caribbean	41.6	147
	Black African	41.8	187
	Other	35.7	294
	Chi square	381.91	
	Р	0.001	

Table 10.2 Family income poverty at MCS2 by ethnicity

Notes: All families in MCS2 (includes natural, adoptive, foster and step-families) who answered the family income question (n=12,587). Table excludes 542 cases were ethnicity was unknown. Weighted by weight 2.

Employment and education

Table 10.3 shows rates of poverty according to employment and education status. Employment status was powerfully associated with poverty. Where both partners were employed full-time, only 4.4 per cent were estimated as in poverty. Where the father was employed full-time and the mother part-time, 7.0 per cent were below the 60 per cent threshold. However, if the mother was employed full-time and the father part-time, the rate was much higher (16.0 per cent). In households where the father was employed and the mother was not employed, the rate was 22.1 per cent. Where the mother was employed, and the father not employed, more than half the respondents (51.4 per cent) were in the poverty bracket. Where neither partner was employed, 85 per cent were in poverty. For lone parents in employment, the rate of poverty was 35.5 per cent. Lone parents not in employment were overwhelmingly likely to be in poverty (92.3 per cent estimated to be below the line).

ганну р	overty at MC32 by employment	and by education of pa	
		Percentage below 60 percent threshold	Total unweighted n
Employment status*	Both employed full-time	4.4	1 256
	Father full-time, mother part-	7.0	3,564
	Mother full-time, father part- time	16.0	248
	Mother employed, father not employed	51.4	220
	Father employed, mother not employed	22.1	2,954
	Both not employed	85.0	600
	Lone parent employed	35.5	723
	Lone parent not employed	92.3	1,517
	Chi square	4840.39	
	P	0.001	
Mothers' social class, NS-SEC**	Managerial & professional	4.7	2,577
	Intermediate	8.0	1,622
	Small employers, own account	12.0	421
	Lower supervisory and technical	16.3	269
	Semi-routine and routine	24.7	1,735
	Chi square	421.39	
	P	0.001	
Fathers' social class, NS-SEC**	Managerial and professional	5.4	3,508
	Intermediate	10.3	696
	Small employers, own account	22.0	1,156
	Lower supervisory and technical	18.6	1,257
	Semi-routine and routine	35.9	2,174
	Chi square	918.14	
	P	0.001	
Fathers' education ***	NVQ 4/5	6.0	3,337
	NVQ 3	14.2	1,351
	NVQ 1/ 2	19.1	2,766
	Overseas	31.9	312
	None	44.1	814
	Chi square	3018.59	
	P	0.001	
Mothers' education ***	Mother – NVQ 4/5	8.9	4.182
	NVQ 3	21.3	1.841
	NVQ 1/2	33.8	4.388
	Overseas	54.9	315
	None	65.9	1.349
	Chi square	1895.58	.,
	P	0.001	

Table 10.3 Family poverty at MCS2 by employment and by education of parents

Notes: All families in MCS2 (includes natural, adoptive, foster and step-families) who answered the family income question * Employment status excludes approximately 1,600 cases where the employment details of partners were unknown. ** Mothers' and fathers' social class relates only to those employed. ***Mothers' and fathers' education is based on the qualifications given at MCS1 and updated using MCS2 with any additional qualifications. Table excludes cases where qualifications were unknown. Weighted by weight 2.
The social class of respondents in employment at the time of the survey was coded using the National Statistics Socio-economic Classification (NS-SEC). As one would expect, mothers in higher-status occupations were least likely to be in poverty.

Respondents with better educational qualifications were less likely to be in poverty. For mothers, only 8.9 per cent of those with the highest level of qualifications (NVQ 4/5) were in households in poverty, compared to 65.9 per cent of those with no qualifications. Where a father with no qualifications was present, the poverty rate was 44.1 per cent, compared to 6 per cent where the father had tertiary qualifications at NVQ level 4/5.

Subjective indicators

We now turn to subjective indicators of how the respondent was managing financially, and their quality of life, shown in Table 10.4.

		Percentage with family income below 60% threshold in each row	Percentage of families below the 60% threshold (column %)	Percentage of families at or above the 60% income threshold (column %)	Total unweighted n
Mothers' reports of managing financially	Living comfortably	8.4	9.1	33.9	3,156
	Doing all right	20.1	30.5	34.8	4,792
	Just about managing	39.7	40.1	20.8	3,429
	Finding it difficult	56.3	20.3	5.4	1,210
	n		3979	8608	
	Chi square	1515.27			
	р	0.001			
Mothers' reports of coping with the mortgage/rent*	Very easy to manage	17.5	19.8	29.7	2,829
	Fairly easy	17.2	28.8	45.9	4,618
	Neither	25.8	19.9	19.0	2,2242
	Fairly/very difficult to manage	46.2	11.8	4.5	841
	Don't have rent/mortgage	87.5	20.5	1.0	848
	n		3465	7976	
	Chi Square	1816.62			
	р	0.001			
Life satisfaction 0-10 (10 is most satisfied)*	9-10	18.1	30.3	45.2	4,554
	7-8	22.7	38.1	42.6	4,660

Table 10.4 Subjective indicators at sweep 2 by income poverty

	Subjective indicators at sweep 2 by income poverty							
		Percentage with family income below 60% threshold in each row	Percentage of families below the 60% threshold (column %)	rcentage Percentage of 1 families families at or u ow the above the 60% income eshold threshold olumn %) (column %)				
Contd.								
	6 or less	46.0	31.6	12.2	2,115			
	n		3314	8015				
	Chi Square	589.54						
	р	0.001						

Table 10.4 Subjective indicators at sweep 2 by income pov

Notes: All families in MCS2 (includes natural, adoptive, foster and step-families) who answered relevant questions * Excludes mothers who did not answer the question. Weighted by weight 2.

Mothers' reports of how they were managing financially were associated with their poverty status. A majority (56.3 per cent) of those who were finding it difficult to manage were in poverty, and could accurately be said to be 'suffering' poverty. However, the link between poverty status and subjective poverty was hardly exact. More than four in 10 of those finding it difficult to manage had estimated income above the line, and 8.4 per cent of those who said that they were 'living comfortably' were in poverty by our measure. Nearly three-quarters of those above the poverty line (73.9 per cent) said they were at least doing all right, compared with just under 40 per cent of the families in income poverty. One in five of the latter said they were finding it difficult to manage financially compared with one in twenty of the families with incomes above the line.

Mothers' reports of how easy they found it to pay their rent or mortgage were also linked to their income poverty status. Those who did not have a mortgage or rent to pay were most likely to have income below the poverty line (87.5 per cent). These respondents were likely to include those on housing benefit as well as those who had paid off their mortgages. Of those who found it fairly or very difficult to pay their rent or mortgage, 46.2 per cent were below the poverty income line. Of those who found it very easy or fairly easy to manage to pay their rent or mortgage, only about 17.2 per cent were in poverty.

Mothers were also asked to rate their general life satisfaction on a scale of 0-10 (where 10 is most satisfied). Life satisfaction was strongly linked to poverty. Nearly half (46 per cent) of those who rated their life satisfaction as 6 or lower were in 'poverty', compared to 18.1 per cent of those who rated it at 9 or 10. As a percentage of mothers on low incomes, 31.6 per cent reported life satisfaction scores in the lowest band, compared with 12.2 of those in the rest of the income distribution.

Stability and change

Table 10.5 reports longitudinal results for those 11,847 families with income data at both surveys, which mostly took place during 2001/2 and 2003-4 respectively. This excludes the newly-recruited families at sweep 2 and any who did not have valid income information at sweep 1. Within this group, there was considerable stability in respondents' poverty status between sweeps 1 and 2. Of those who were in poverty in sweep 1, 63.5 per cent were still in poverty in sweep 2, and 36.6 per cent had moved out of poverty. Of those who were not in poverty in sweep 1, only 15.2 per

cent had fallen into poverty by sweep 2. Putting it another way, nearly two-thirds of these families remained above the poverty line at both surveys, while one in six (18.1 per cent) was below the line both times, which can be compared with the estimate for persistent household income (BHC) below 60 per cent of the median at three out of four BHPS surveys, 2001 to 2004, for 13 per cent of children (DWP 2007). The numbers of families changing position, 'churning' in the income distribution, appear on the other diagonal of the table as 13.2 per cent moving into poverty between surveys and 8.8 per cent moving out. It is surprising that the net flow is not in the other direction, i.e. towards fewer in poverty, given that the 'official' child poverty rate was falling between 2000/1 and 2004/5. One should, however, be cautious about the direction of the net flow before the implications of differential attrition are investigated. 'Poor' families at sweep 1 are disproportionately likely to have dropped out of MCS2. They may also have dropped out of poverty.

	From MCS1 to MCS2 Row per cents (Total per cents in italics)									
	MCS2									
	Family income	Above 60 per cent	Below 60 per cent	Total (n)						
MCS1	Above 60 per cent	84.8 <i>(66.2)</i>	15.2 <i>(13.2)</i>	100 (73.2) 8,671						
	Below 60 per cent	36.6 <i>(8.0)</i>	63.5 (18.1)	100 <i>(26.9)</i> 3,176						
	Total	74.2	25.8	100 (11,847)						

 Table 10.5

 From MCS1 to MCS2
 Row per cents (Total per cents in italics)

Notes: All families interviewed in both MCS1 and MCS2 (includes natural, adoptive, foster and step-families) who answered the family income question. Percentages weighted by weight 2.

Conclusion

This chapter has derived a family income variable for the second survey of MCS2, established an operational poverty threshold and generated a poverty category by comparing estimated equivalent family income with the poverty threshold. The resulting indicator is deliberately not identical to the official measure of child poverty based on household income, but it does show some interesting internal patterns of poverty rates for respondents with different characteristics. We have found particularly high rates of poverty on the current definition, among Bangladeshi, Pakistani and lone-parent families.

It is desirable to make more use of other information collected in the survey about the sources and reliability of reported income, about what the families spend their money on and what they could not afford. This, alongside the subjective measures, will provide a better understanding of the overlap of income and other measures of the standard of living to produce a poverty measure more reliable than this one derived from grouped income data alone.

References

Bradshaw, J. Dex S, Joshi, H Matthew, E and Ward K (2005) Socio-economic origins of parents and child poverty, in S Dex and H Joshi (eds) *Children of the 21st Century: from birth to nine months,* Bristol, Policy Press.

Department of Work and Pensions (2007) *Households Below Average Income* (HBAI) 1994/5-2005/06, http://www.dwp.gov.uk/asd/hbai/hbai2006/contents.asp

Department of Work and Pensions (2005) *Households Below Average Income* (HBAI) 1994/95-2003/04 <u>http://www.dwp.gov.uk/asd/hbai/hbai2004/contents.asp</u>

Hawkes, D, Joshi, H and Ward K (2004) Unequal Entry to Motherhood and Unequal Starts in Life: Evidence from the First Survey of the UK Millennium Cohort. CLS Cohort Studies, Working Paper 6, http://www.cls.ioe.ac.uk/core/documents/download.asp?id=299&log_stat=1

Jayaweera, H, Joshi, H, Macfarlane A, Hawkes D and Butler N (2005) Pregnancy and childbirth, in S Dex and H Joshi (eds) *Children of the 21st Century: from birth to nine months*, Bristol, Policy Press.

Chapter 11

CHILDCARE

Anitha George and Kirstine Hansen

Introduction

Involvement in the care of children by people other than their mothers has increased over time, such that most pre-school children now experience some non-maternal care. Childcare outside the family takes a range of forms. It is no longer confined to a service for parents who are unable to cope, and is not seen as simply providing a 'custodial' service for working mothers. Just as early education has come to be viewed as also providing a form of non-maternal care, so too are many childcare services increasingly offering education as well as 'care'. Yet the effect of nonmaternal care on children remains contentious. Recent literature suggests that it depends on the quality of the arrangement, with formal care (nurseries/playschools) providing a higher quality service than informal care by relatives or friends (Bernal and Keane, 2005; Gregg, Washbrook, Propper and Burgess, 2006; Paull and Taylor, 2002). A range of government initiatives, designed to encourage the use of formal childcare, appears to reflect this view. The National Childcare Strategy, launched in 1998, involved increasing the provision and accreditation of facilities across local authority, private and voluntary sectors. It also included a cash subsidy to low-income users of formal childcare as part of the Working Families Tax Credit (now the Working Tax Credit). The expansion of childcare services was encouraged by the Sure Start initiative with its flagship Early Excellence Centres integrating childcare and early education. The National Neighbourhood Nurseries Initiative was set up to expand childcare in the most disadvantaged areas in England.

This chapter looks at childcare arrangements ongoing at the sweep 2 interview for those mothers who were working, as well as the non-maternal care used by non-employed mothers. The sample is made up of lone and two-parent families where natural, step or adoptive parents were interviewed, and, in the case of two-parent families, where data were available on both parents⁹. We focus on the main, usually only, type of arrangement reported. After reviewing the types of provision by various characteristics of the family, we report details of the hours each arrangement was attended and the price per hour where there was payment. We then examine changes in childcare use between age nine months and three years for those families responding to both surveys.

Main childcare arrangement at MCS2

At sweep 2, mothers were asked about their childcare arrangements, starting with information fed forward from the responses at sweep 1, when the child was about nine months old. Where individuals had changed their childcare, or stopped or started a new arrangement, this was recorded, several times if necessary, until the

⁹ We exclude approximately 2,500 respondents who are natural mothers in two-carer households where partner information is unavailable. We also treat families with twins and triplets as a single unit, assuming that any childcare arrangements are the same for all children in the family.

current arrangement was reached. In the sample for which the analysis for this chapter is based, 58 per cent of mothers reported using childcare that was ongoing at sweep 2. Around 32 per cent of MCS children attending any childcare used some type of formal group childcare arrangement as their main form of care. A further 29 per cent of the children with any ongoing care arrangement were primarily looked after by their grandparents and 21 per cent were looked after either by their father, or mother's partner or the mother herself while she was working (mainly where she was self-employed or worked from home). See Table 11.1.

Childcare varies according to several characteristics, as shown in Table 11.1. One is the country of residence at MCS2. For example, the use of formal group childcare in nurseries, crèches, nursery schools and playgroups is higher in England than in other countries (33 per cent). Childcare in Scotland and Wales is marginally less likely to be formal group care, with around 29 and 28 per cent respectively of main arrangements of this type. However, in Northern Ireland only 14 per cent of the MCS main childcare arrangements are nurseries, crèches, nursery schools or playgroups. This may reflect policy differences in childcare. Indeed, some initiatives that have encouraged the use of formal group care, such as the National Neighbourhood Nurseries Initiative, are England-only.

A higher percentage of arrangements in Northern Ireland than elsewhere are formal non-group settings, provided by childminders, nannies, au pairs or other non-relative carers (22 per cent of those reporting any care). So if we look at formal care as a whole (nurseries, crèches, nursery schools and playgroups plus childminders, nannies, au pairs and other non-relative carers) among the reported arrangements, children in Northern Ireland attend formal care settings in similar proportions to those in Wales (36 and 35 per cent respectively), while 40 per cent of the main arrangements in Scotland and 45 per cent of those in England involve some type of formal care, broadly defined.

Few differences in childcare arrangements relate to mothers' ethnicity. Care arrangements for children with White mothers are more likely to be with a childminder, nanny, au pair or other non-relative carer as the main carer. However, children with non-White mothers are more likely to be using a nursery, crèche, nursery school or playgroup among main forms of care. So, as with the country variation, if we consider formal care defined broadly, both groups use it at a fairly similar rate (43 and 40 per cent respectively). It should be noted that the aggregate White / non-White distinction made here may be masking real difference among the various groups of non-Whites. Unfortunately, the number of non-Whites using childcare is low and does not allow further disaggregation in these data.

It is not only working mothers who use childcare. Approximately 1,600¹⁰ non-working mothers (22 per cent of all non-working mothers) also do so. The majority of these reports are of formal group care. Indeed, 54 per cent note attendance at a nursery, crèche, nursery school or playgroup. This compares to 29 per cent and 24 per cent of arrangements reported by full-time and part-time working mothers respectively. Children with working mothers, either full- or part-time, are more likely to have grandparents as the main type of childcare arrangement than those of non-working

¹⁰ Other mothers may send their child to pre-school, but if they did not recognise it as childcare they would not appear in these numbers. The figure of approximately 1,600 non-working mothers represents and is the unweighted number of observations.

mothers. This may indicate that grandparent care is more flexible and accommodates parental work schedules more easily. Alternatively, it may reflect that where hours of care needed are high, grandparent care is much cheaper than any alternative (see Chapter 4 for a more detailed discussion of grandparent involvement with children).

When childcare is analysed by parental education, occupation and family income¹¹, a clear picture emerges. Children with at least one parent in the highest category of each indicator are more likely to attend formal care settings, in particular nurseries, crèches, nursery schools and playgroups, than other children who attend any form of childcare. Indeed, 38 per cent of arrangements for children with at least one parent educated to degree level or higher (NVQ 4 and 5) are a nursery, crèche, nursery school or playgroup as their main source of care. A further 15 per cent involve a childminder, nanny, au pair or other non-relative carer. Thirty-five per cent of arrangements made for children with at least one parent in a professional or managerial position, and 42 per cent for children in families with the highest income band, are in a nursery, crèche, nursery school or playgroup. These more advantaged groups use informal care less frequently than average. For example, only 24 per cent of the arrangements for children with at least one parent educated to degree level or higher (NVQ 4 and 5) use grandparent care, compared to 30 to 36 per cent of children with less educated parents.

The interesting exception to this is that those in the lowest categories of parental education, occupation and income who use childcare are actually more likely to send their children to formal care than some of the other groups. For example, 25 per cent of arrangements where parents do not have five A to C passes at GCSE level (and therefore have the equivalent of NVQ1 and below) use a nursery, crèche, nursery school or playgroup as their main source of care. This compares to 19 per cent of cases with at least one parent who has five A to C passes at GCSE (NVQ 2) and 24 per cent of children with at least one A-level educated parent (NVQ 3). The same pattern emerges for parental occupation and family income. The lowest of four income groups has the second highest proportion (30 per cent) attending formal group care. This could be a sign of success in government policy to encourage the most disadvantaged families to use formal childcare in group settings. Moreover, there is evidence to suggest that disadvantaged children who attend specific forms of childcare (in formal group settings) get no worse quality care than other children (Mathers et al, 2007).

¹¹ Family income has been adjusted for family size and composition using a McClements-type scale, see Chapter 10.

			Other relative/ friend/	Childminder/ nanny/au pair/ non-	Nursery/ crèche/ nursery school/		
	Self/partner	Grandparent	neighbour	relative	playgroup	Total	
All main childcare							
arrangements at	04.0 (4.404)	20.4 (2.207)	0.0 (470)	44.0 (750)		100 (0.000)	
MCS2 England	21.2 (1,434)	29.4 (2,207)	6.0 (470)	11.9 (753)	31.6 (2,005)	100 (6,869)	
England	21.6 (949)	20.1 (1,202)	5.8 (278)	12.0 (471)	32.0 (1,433)	100 (4,393)	
Sootland	21.3 (229)	30.4 (430)	5.0 (01)	10.0 (99)	27.7 (202)	100 (1,055)	
Northern Ireland	16.2 (04)	37.0(301)	10.0 (65)	21.8 (00)	20.9 (243)	100 (800)	
	10.2 (94)	37.2 (214)	10.9 (03)	21.0 (121)	14.0 (07) E-	7.00 P < 0.001	
White mothers	21.8 (1.205)	29.5 (1.961)	5.0 (308)	11.0 (677)	30 8 (1 653)	100 (5 984)	
Non white mothers	18.5 (1,293)	29.3 (1,901)	3.9 (390)	63 (37)	33 6 (230)	100 (5,904)	
NON-WHILE HIOLHEIS	10.3 (100)	33.4 (194)	0.3 (00)	0.5 (57)	55.0 (259) F=4	74 P=0 0011	
Mother's employments	status				1 - 4.	741-0.0011	
Mother does not	10.6 (181)	21 9 (417)	56(111)	8.3 (109)	53 7 (824)	100 (1 642)	
work	10.0 (101)	21.0 (117)	0.0 (111)	0.0 (100)	00.7 (02.1)	100 (1,012)	
Mother works part-	26.1 (986)	32.6 (1,341)	6.0 (253)	11.8 (403)	23.5 (799)	100 (3,782)	
time			()	· · · ·	()		
Mother works full-	19.4 (267)	28.6 (448)	6.5 (106)	16.8 (241)	28.7 (382)	100 (1,444)	
time							
					F=48	8.18 P<0.001	
Highest qualifications of	of parents						
NVQ5/NVQ4	17.9 (513)	24.4 (757)	4.0 (133)	15.2 (417)	38.4 (971)	100 (2,791)	
NVQ3	30.1 (330)	29.8 (371)	5.8 (75)	10.3 (104)	24.0 (252)	100 (1,132)	
NVQ2	29.2 (339)	36.4 (433)	8.0 (93)	7.1 (76)	19.2 (209)	100 (1,150)	
NVQ1/no							
qualifications	29.6 (87)	33.9 (106)	6.0 (25)	5.1 (13)	25.4 (87)	100 (318)	
					F=2 ⁻	1.60 P<0.001	
Highest parental occup	pation						
Managerial and	40.4 (000)		4.0 (404)	45.0 (500)	05 0 (4 440)	100 (0 111)	
protessional	18.4 (633)	26.2 (993)	4.9 (191)	15.3 (509)	35.2 (1,118)	100 (3,444)	
	34.4 (203)	34.2 (315)	6.7 (65)	10.9 (92)	23.8 (177)	100 (852)	
Small employees	22 7 (02)	21 7 (120)	9 5 (27)	67(25)	20 4 (116)	100 (401)	
Low support and	23.7 (93)	31.7 (130)	0.5 (57)	0.7 (25)	29.4 (110)	100 (401)	
technical	30.8 (145)	33 3 (162)	8 5 (35)	47(21)	22 7 (01)	100 (454)	
Semi-routine and	30.0 (143)	33.3 (102)	0.0 (00)	4.7 (21)	22.7 (01)	100 (434)	
routine	15.6 (88)	36 2 (225)	63(41)	86(41)	33 2 (186)	100 (581)	
	10.0 (00)	00.2 (220)	0.0 (11)	0.0 (11)	00.2 (100) F=	8 76 P<0 001	
Equivalised family income per week							
£478-£1.329	10.6 (207)	25,2 (496)	4.4 (91)	17,9 (320)	41.8 (717)	100 (1831)	
£330 £477	25.9 (408)	29.6 (540)	5.4 (97)	11.3 (176)	27.7 (398)	100 (1619)	
£182£329	28.4 (425)	34.2 (557)	6.5 (119)	7.8 (119)	23.1 (348)	100 (1568)	
>=£181	25.1 (234)	30.6 (377)	8.0 (98)	6.4 (64)	30.0 (328)	100 (1101)	
					F=2	26.6 P<0.001	

Table 11.1Main childcare arrangement at age three:Weighted row percentages (unweighted observations)

Notes to Table 11.1

Observations unweighted. Percentages weighted using weight 2. Families where the main respondent is a natural, step or adoptive mother and the partner respondent is a natural, step or adoptive father and data is available on both carers, including lone parents and where the main childcare provision specified is ongoing at the time of the MCS2 interview. Note: Self in self/partner category relates to self-provision while working and does not include non-working mothers who look after their

Note: Self in self/partner category relates to self-provision while working and does not include non-working mothers who look after their children. Highest parental qualifications and occupation is the higher of either of the two parents in two-parent families or the highest qualification or occupation of lone parents.

Hours of care

Other relative/friend/neighbour

Childminder/nanny/au pair/non-relative

Nursery/crèche/nursery school/playgroup

While children of both working and non-working mothers use childcare, children with working mothers spend more hours¹² there than those of non-working mothers (Tables 11.2 and 11.3). If their mothers do not work (Table 11.2), children attend care on average 12 hours per week compared to the 21 hours per week spent by those of working mothers (Table 11.3). The hours vary for both groups depending on the type of care. The variation is fairly small for children with non-working mothers. They spend the most time being cared for by their father or mother's partner (16 hours) and spend the shortest period in nurseries, crèches, nursery schools and playaroups (11 hours). Children with working mothers, whose main source of childcare is provided by nurseries, crèches, nursery schools and playgroups; spend 24 hours a week in that type of care. Those using a childminder, nanny, au pair or other nonrelative carer spend 25 hours a week in their company, and children who are cared for by their working mother while she is working spend 32 hours a week in that form of care. Interestingly, whether the mother is working or not does not appear to be of major importance to the amount of time fathers or mothers' partners care for the children. If mothers do not work, children spend 16 hours a week being cared for by fathers, but even when mothers work this figure increases only to 19 hours per week.

	Mean hours of	Mean hours of Standard				
	care	error	Obs			
All non-working mothers with a childcare						
arrangement who report hours	12.2	0.33	1,458			
Partner/husband	15.7	1.97	115			
Grandparent	12.3	0.78	342			
Other relative/friend/neighbour	12.7	1.37	100			
Childminder/nanny/au pair/non-relative	14.0	1.12	97			
Nursery/crèche/nursery school/playgroup	11.4	0.34	804			
		F=2.54 Prob >	F=0.0397			

Table 11.2

|--|

Observations unweighted. Mean scores weighted using weight 2. Sample families where the main respondent is a natural, step or adoptive mother and the partner respondent is a natural, step or adoptive father, including lone parents and where main childcare provision has been specified.

Mean weekly hours of care for each childcare arrangement of working mothers							
	Mean hours of care	Standard error	Obs				
All working mothers with a childcare							
arrangement who report hours	20.7	0.27	4682				
Self-provision whilst working	32.2	4.48	50				
Partner/husband/wife	18.9	0.42	948				
Grandparent	17.4	0.33	1632				

Table 11.3

Observations unweighted. Mean scores weighted using weight 2. Sample: families where the main respondent is a natural, step or adoptive mother and the partner respondent is a natural, step or adoptive father, including lone parents and where main childcare provision at age three has been specified, and caring is not by the respondent or partner.

18.6

24.7

23.8

0.84

0.63

0.43

F=44.47 Prob > F=0.0000

326

601

1125

¹² This refers to the hours attended at a main childcare arrangement ongoing at the time of the interview.

Price

Mothers using childcare at MCS2 were asked how much it cost. Table 11.4 shows the mean price per hour for three different types of formal care: 1) Childminders, nannies, au pairs and other non-relative carers; 2) nurseries and crèches and; 3) playgroups. On average, the mothers who answered this question paid the greatest amount (£3.77) per hour for nursery and crèche provision. The average price for childminder, nanny, au pair and other non-relative care was slightly below this at £3.54 an hour. Playgroups were the cheapest source of childcare at MCS2, with mothers spending on average £2.67 per hour. Note that these interviews took place between September 2003 and April 2005.

mean price per nour of formal childcare arrangements						
	Mean price per	Standard				
	hour (£)	error	Obs			
Childminder/nanny/au pair/non-relative	3.54	0.21	536			
Nursery/crèche	3.77	0.19	975			
Playgroup	2.67	0.11	66			
		F=12.02 Prob	> F0.000			

 Table 11.4

 Mean price per hour of formal childcare arrangements

Observations unweighted. Mean scores weighted using weight 2. Sample families where the main respondent is a natural, step or adoptive mother and the partner respondents is a natural, step or adoptive father, including lone parents and where main childcare provision at age three has been specified, hours of use have been specified and price paid of care has been specified, caring is not by the respondent or partner and including working and non-working mothers.

Longitudinal relationships between childcare arrangements

Figure 11.1 show childcare arrangements at both MCS1 and MCS2 for those where this is observed at both sweeps. Most of those (83.0 percent) who had stated that they had a childcare arrangement at MCS1 had an ongoing arrangement at the time of the MCS2 interview. Seventeen per cent of children using care at MCS1 had no care at MCS2. Of the children who were not using any care at MCS1, 66 per cent were still not using care at MCS2. However, 34 per cent had switched to some form of care by MCS2.



Figure 11.1 Changes in Use of Childcare Arrangement between MCS1 and MCS2

Observations unweighted. Percentages weighted using weight 2. Sample: families with natural, step or adoptive parents where data is available on both parents in two parent households, and including lone parents. Figure 11.1 includes only observations where respondents were interviewed in both surveys and gave clear responses on whether or not they made childcare arrangements; arrangements at MCS2 were ongoing at the time of the interview.

Conclusion

This chapter has examined the types of childcare reported for three-year-old children in the second sweep of the MCS, where a non-maternal arrangement was reported. The results showed childcare use varied by parental education, occupation and income. Of the families using care, the children with the most advantaged parents are more likely to use formal care. This may be an indicator of early intergeneration transmission of social advantage. However, the fact that relatively high percentages of children from the most socio-economically disadvantaged groups were among those using formal care suggests that government policies in this area, such as the National Neighbourhood Nurseries Initiative, may be achieving their aims of enhancing the early years of the most disadvantaged groups. This research, while descriptive, has generated some findings on which future studies can elaborate, using further data. For example, MCS2 also contains histories of childcare arrangements made between the ages of nine months and three years, supplementary arrangements beyond the main one considered here and nursery observation data for a subset of group care settings (Mathers et al 2007). Along with follow-up data from future sweeps, and analysis in greater depth, such information may be able to reveal how far different childcare provisions do indeed lead to different outcomes.

References

Bernal, R. and Keane, M. (2005). *Maternal Time, Child Care and Child Cognitive Development: The Case of Single Mothers*. Working Paper, Northwestern University.

Gregg, P., Washbrook, E., Propper, C., and Burgess, S. (2006). "The Effects of a Mother's Return to Work Decision on Child Development in the UK." *The Economic Journal 115* (February) F48-F80.

Mathers, S., Sylva K and Joshi, H (2007) *Quality Of Childcare Settings in the Millennium Cohort Study*. Sure Start Report SSU/2007/FR/025 <u>http://www.surestart.gov.uk/_doc/P0002390.pdf</u>

Paull, G. and Taylor, J. (with A. Duncan) (2002). *Mothers' Employment and Childcare Use in Britain*. London: Institute for Fiscal Studies.

Chapter 12

OLDER SIBLINGS

Ian Plewis

Introduction

Data were collected about the older siblings of the cohort children in sweep 2. This chapter presents data for England alone, as only limited information was collected from families in the three smaller UK countries. There were 5,652 responding families in England with older children aged four to 15 (56 per cent of all responding families), and 96 per cent of the main respondents agreed to provide information. The age distribution of these children is shown in Table 12.1. Unsurprisingly, the distribution is skewed towards the younger children.

The selection rules for older siblings, generated for the National Evaluation of the Children's Fund and described in detail in NECF (2003), were designed to reduce the respondent burden for larger families so that information was collected about a maximum of two older siblings. These rules gave more weight to siblings over the age of 10 as they are relatively less common in families with a three-year-old.

Age	per cent
4	12.0
5	17.0
6	14.0
7	11.0
8	9.1
9	6.5
10	8.0
11	6.4
12	4.9
13	4.1
14	3.4
15	2.4
Notes: This repre	sents 8.645 childrer

 Table 12.1

 Age distribution of older siblings, England

Notes: This represents 8,645 children from 5,652 families in England, unweighted numbers.

The numbers of families and children in the age groups corresponding to different parts of the data collection process are given in Table 12.2. Only 10 per cent of the older siblings were excluded by the selection process. Some data were collected directly from older siblings aged 10 and over.

 Table 12.2

 Numbers of older siblings and families, England

Families with child aged 4-15	5,652
Children aged 4-15	8,645
Selected children aged 4-15	7,765
Selected children aged 4-9	5,500
Selected children aged 10-15	2,265

Numbers are unweighted

The self-completion questionnaire for the older children (aged 10 to15) was either completed when the interviewer visited the household or was completed later and mailed in. The overall response rate was just under 77 per cent but with some variations across groups. Girls were slightly more likely to respond than boys (78 per cent compared to 76 per cent); children from 'new families' less likely than those from the original (MCS1) families (68 per cent versus 78 per cent); children with lone parents slightly less likely than those with two parents (74 per cent compared to 78 per cent). There is quite a strong gradient for mother's educational level, with children with mothers lacking qualifications much less likely to respond. There are also ethnic differences, with a high response for the Indian group (86 per cent) but lower for the Black/Black British (69 per cent) and the Pakistani/Bangladeshi (66 per cent) groups.

The results in this chapter do not make any adjustments for children in larger families, especially those under 10, being under-represented in the sample. A weighting scheme that accounts for the selection rules would be somewhat complicated and, as only a small proportion of children were omitted by design, would be unlikely to have an important effect on the results. It is also important to recognise that this sample of older siblings exists by virtue of there being a younger child in the family, that is, the cohort member. Children and young people without a younger sibling of age three are not represented in these results.

Use of services

In this section, we focus on users of breakfast, homework and after-school clubs. A more detailed analysis of these data can be found in Edwards et al. (2006). Table 12.3 gives basic data on use, in the previous 12 months, of these services by age of child.

We see that after-school clubs were used more than breakfast and homework clubs, especially in the primary school years. Breakfast clubs were used more than homework clubs up to age 10, but much less thereafter. For all three services, use increases with age during the primary school years and then starts to fall off during secondary school. The jump in the use of breakfast clubs and after-school clubs between ages nine and 10 could be explained by the change in respondent: the mother up to age nine and the child afterwards.

There is a sharp rise in the use of homework clubs between the ages of 10 and 11, probably connected with the transfer to secondary school. The increase in the use of homework clubs between the ages of 14 and 15 might be linked to preparation for GCSE exams. Use of more than one type of club increases steadily with age until 10, but then falls slowly.

		Age (years)										
Service	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
Breakfast clubs	4.4	4.3	6.6	7.7	8.6	7.3	11.0	11.0	8.9	10	8.8	6.6
(Unweighted $n = 6,494$)												
Homework clubs	1.0	2.4	5.1	6.8	7.6	10.0	10.0	23.0	24.0	18.0	15.0	27.0
(Unweighted $n = 6,432$)												
After-school clubs	7.0	14.0	21.0	31.0	37.0	41.0	54.0	48.0	48.0	37.0	33.0	39.0
(Unweighted $n = 6,437$)												
All selected children	952	1,356	1,099	878	701	496	620	491	383	316	264	182
(Unweighted $n = 7,738$)												

 Table 12.3

 Use (per cent) of breakfast, homework and after-school clubs by age of child, England

Percentages are weighted, age not reported for 27 children.

The following two tables (Tables 12.4 and 12.5) show the associations between the use of these three kinds of services and (i) the minority ethnic group of the child and (ii) the level of mother's educational qualifications.

There are important differences in take-up by different ethnic groupings. Table 12.4 shows that relatively few children from an Indian background used breakfast clubs, whereas those in the Black/Black British, mixed and Pakistani/Bangladeshi groups were more likely to use them than those in the White group. Homework clubs were used more by all minority ethnic groups than by White children, but especially by the Pakistani/Bangladeshi and Black/Black British groups. Ethnic differences in the use of after-school clubs were less marked, although they were used more by the Black/Black British and mixed groups.

Table 12.4 Percentage of children using breakfast, homework and after-school clubs by ethnic group. England

group, England							
	Breakfast	Homework	After-school				
White	6.8	7.0	28.0				
Black/Black British	11.0	18.0	37.0				
Pakistani/Bangladeshi	9.8	20.0	23.0				
Indian	3.8	11.0	26.0				
Mixed	12.0	10.0	33.0				
Other	5.3	11.0	25.0				
n (unweighted)	6,533	6,448	6,451				
Design based p-value	< 0.04	< 0.001	< 0.06				

Percentages are weighted; ethnic group of main respondent.

The association of mother's educational level with service use presents a less clear picture, as Table 12.5 demonstrates. Homework clubs tended to be used more by children with mothers with few educational qualifications, whereas the reverse was true for after-school clubs. The relationship between mother's education and the use of breakfast clubs is less clear-cut, but children with mothers who had overseas or other qualifications (who may be more recent immigrants) used this service less. There is some evidence that mothers with the highest qualifications (NVQ level 5) used the services more than those with middle-level qualifications.

	Breakfast	Homework	After-school
No qualifications.	11.0	14.0	25.0
NVQ1	8.7	11.0	29.0
NVQ2	6.1	6.9	28.0
NVQ3	5.2	6.9	24.0
NVQ4	6.9	6.4	30.0
NVQ5	9.1	8.5	40.0
Overseas or other quals.	3.3	10.0	23.0
n (unweighted)	6,533	6,473	6,476
Design based p value	< 0.005	< 0.001	< 0.001

Table 12.5 Percentage of children by mother's educational level using breakfast, homework and after-school clubs, England

Percentages are weighted

Participation in activities outside and inside school by children aged four to 15

This section covers activities such as sport, art or dance outside school, and sports and music clubs (not regular lessons) in school.

Table 12.6 shows how participation outside school varies by age. There is a marked fall in participation after age nine, with 43 per cent of the seven to nine age group reported (by their mothers) to participate in three or more activities, compared with just 6.4 per cent of 13 to 15-year-olds (self-reported). Girls report more participation than boys although, for the oldest children, gender differences are small. Participation rates rise with mother's educational level and vary by the ethnic group of the main respondent, with notably low rates reported for the Pakistani/Bangladeshi group.

In sports and music clubs run by schools, we find that 58 per cent of 10 to 12-yearolds report participation, falling to 47 per cent for the 13 to 15 age group. Boys participate more than girls but the differences between ethnic groups and across mother's educational level are rather small.

Participation in activities outside school (per cent) by age, England						
Child's age (years)	Number of a					
	0	1	2	≥3	Unweighted n	
4-6	11.0	26.0	32.0	30.0	1,337	
7-9	9.5	19.0	29.0	43.0	1,822	
10-12	29.0	35.0	22.0	13.0	1,702	
13-15	40.0	37.0	16.0	6.4	1,648	
Total	16.0	26.0	28.0	29.0	6,509	

 Table 12.6

 Participation in activities outside school (per cent) by age, England

Notes: Row percentages, weighted, sum to 100; design-based p value < 0.001.

Participation in paid work by children aged 10 to 15

Table 12.7 shows the expected relation with age for participation in paid work: young people aged 13 to 15 are more likely to be in paid work (either after school or at weekends or in the school holidays) than those aged 10 to 12. In addition, boys are more likely than girls to be in paid work, and White young people are more likely to be working than young people from the three main minority ethnic groups (Black/Black British; Pakistani/Bangladeshi and Indian). There is, however, no relationship with mother's educational level.

Child's age	In paid work		
(years)	No	Yes	Unweighted n
10-12	75.0	25.0	1,219
13-15	57.0	43.0	448
Total	69.0	31.0	1,667

 Table 12.7

 _ Participation in paid work (per cent) by age, England

Notes: Row percentages (weighted) sum to 100; design-based p value < 0.001.

Parental control for children age 10 to 15

This scale is based on young people's responses to six questions about parental controls over (i) watching TV (ii) going out (iii) staying out after 9pm (iv) diet (v) household chores and (vi) checking progress at school. There is a tendency for mothers with higher educational qualifications to exercise more control and for boys to face more curbs than girls. There are no differences across ethnic groups but a marked difference by age, as shown in Table 12.8.

Parental control (per cent) by age, England						
Child's age	Child's age Parental control score					
(years)	≤1 (Less)	2	3	4	≥5 (More)	Unweighted n
10-12	11.0	22.0	26.0	28.0	14.0	1,053
13-15	20.0	26.0	28.0	18.0	8.0	529
Total	14.0	23.0	26.0	25.0	12.0	1,582

 Table 12.8

 Parental control (per cent) by age, England

Notes: Row percentages, weighted, sum to 100; design-based p value < 0.001.

Attitudes to school and the local area for children aged 10 to 15

The 'school' scale on attitudes is based on young people's responses to eight questions— including their plans, their views about their school and their opinions of their teachers. There is a marked association with age: 13 to 15-year-olds have much less favourable attitudes than their younger counterparts, as shown in Table 12.9.

	_				
Child's and (vegra)	School attitude so				
1 (Unfavourable) 2 3 (Favourable) Unweigh					
10-12	6.1	41.0	53.0	1,030	
13-15	17.0	51.0	32.0	514	
Total	9.7	44.0	46.0	1,544	

 Table 12.9

 Attitudes to school (per cent) by age, England

Notes: Row percentages, weighted sum to 100, design-based p value < 0.001.

Girls have more positive attitudes than boys. There are no differences by ethnic group but attitudes are generally more favourable where the mother has better educational qualifications.

We find a similar pattern of results for the 'satisfaction with area' scale. The older age group and boys report lower levels of satisfaction, there are no differences by ethnic group and also no differences by mother's educational level.

Crime and anti-social behaviour for children age 10 to 15

The victimisation scale – based on children's experiences of such things as theft and bullying – does not vary by age group or by mother's educational level. Boys, however, report more victimisation than girls (Table 12.10) and White young people

report more than young people in other ethnic groups, especially the two South Asian groups (Pakistani/Bangladeshi and Indian).

Child's sox	Victimisation s			
Cilliu S Sex	0 (None)	Some	A lot	Unweighted n
Male	45.0	39.0	16.0	798
Female	53.0	33.0	13.0	848
Total	49.0	36.0	14.0	1,646

 Table 12.10

 Victimisation (per cent) by gender England

Notes: Row percentages, weighted, sum to 100, design-based p value < 0.01.

The 'theft' scale – based on children's reports of activities such as shoplifting – shows notably higher rates for the older age group (Table 12.11), somewhat higher rates for boys but no differences by ethnic group and mother's educational level. The patterns for anti-social behaviour – based on children's responses to questions involving vandalism or weapons – are similar and the differences between the two age groups are given in Table 12.12.

Child's age	Theft score			
(years)	0 (None)	Some	A lot	Unweighted n
10-12	89.0	8.6	2.1	1,103
13-15	70.0	21.0	8.3	554
Total	83.0	13.0	4.2	1,657

Table 12.11Theft (per cent) by age, England

Notes: Row percentages, weighted, sum to 100; design-based p value < 0.001.

 Table 12.12

 Anti-social behaviour (per cent) by age, England

Child's age	Anti-social	Anti-social score			
(years)	0 (None)	some	a lot	Unweighted n	
10-12	78.0	16.0	6.2	1,109	
13-15	64.0	19.0	17.0	555	
Total	73.0	17.0	9.8	1,664	

Notes: Row percentages, weighted, sum to 100; design-based p value < 0.001.

Conclusion

This chapter sets out some of the findings from the older siblings section of MCS2. We see that age is an important explanatory factor for many of the variables considered here but interesting gender and ethnic differences also emerge. The relationship between the experience of these siblings and that of the cohort three-year-olds remains to be explored, along with commonalities and contrasts within families. These responses may prefigure what is in store for their younger brothers and sisters.

References

Edwards, A., Barnes, M., Plewis, I. and Morris, K. et al. (2006) *Working to Prevent the Social Exclusion of Children and Young People*. Research Report 734, London: DfES.

National Evaluation of the Children's Fund (2003) *Developing Collaboration in Preventative Services for Children and Young People: First Annual Report*, Research Report 528, London: DfES.

CONCLUSIONS

Kirstine Hansen and Heather Joshi

This report draws together descriptive accounts of the different substantive areas covered by the second sweep of the Millennium Cohort Study. It makes no attempt to explore the data exhaustively, nor does it deal in any great detail with the longitudinal link to the first survey. Readers should be aware that there is further material in the dataset that we have not attempted to cover here, such as height, neighbourhood and home observations, and several questions in each topic area. Some sub-groups such as twins and triplets, or children not living with their mothers, have been excluded from many analyses because they require more detailed attention than we can give them at present. We have not included any linkage to geographical datasets or the administrative records from birth registration and maternity hospitals, nor the results of sub-studies such as the survey of mothers who had assisted fertility treatment.

What the report does offer is a mainly cross-sectional, broad-brush overview of the dataset, taking covariates one at a time. It also highlights possible topics and relationships likely to interest policy-makers, academics and wider society. By offering a cross-sectional framework upon which further analysis may hang, it aims to encourage the further exploration of such relationships by external MCS users as well as ourselves. Part of the role of the Centre for Longitudinal Studies is to develop and provide research resources for others as well as carrying out our own studies. Some of the findings reported here will be examined in greater detail by CLS staff and MCS collaborators in a further book in the *Children of the 21st Century* series, to be published early in 2008.

The main findings are outlined in our Executive Summary, and highlights of chapters 2-11, with some graphical illustrations, can be found in briefing papers also available on the CLS website (www.cls.ioe.ac.uk).

The Children of the New Century had reached the age of three by the time of this survey. Virtually all had long since learned to walk, and all but a few (around one in ten) were talking with at least an adequate vocabulary for their age. A large proportion had also reached school readiness standards, and many were attending early-education settings. A few were not yet toilet-trained, and a small minority had serious behavioural problems or poor health.

This report does not reveal whether these problems are experienced by the same individuals. It does show that there are patterns along gender and social lines but it is also clear from our research that a child's progress is not solely dependent on these factors. Every child is different.

Of the three social factors on which the survey design focused, ethnicity is one where there is much multi-directional diversity in the results reported here. The non-White groups are far from homogeneous, and different groups appear to do well or badly on different counts. On economic disadvantage, at the level of community and the individual family, there are signs that parents' circumstances are being reflected in the development of children as young as three. However, though disadvantages are associated with poorer outcomes, this is no more than a raised risk. Many children in 195 difficult circumstances were doing well, and the causal pathways, if any, have not been investigated.

The third feature of the survey design was to permit comparisons between and within the four countries of the UK. In general, the differences between countries were modest. Different attitudes in Northern Ireland to children acquiring religious values were not surprising. Lower poverty rates and higher cognitive scores for children in Scotland are, however, intriguing and cry out for further investigation, as does most of the material in this report.

It should be apparent from this report and these summaries that the MCS is already a very rich source of information with great potential to contribute to policy and scientific uses. This report is one building block in the process of realising this potential. The newly longitudinal dataset monitors the circumstances of children as they grow up. As this report has shown, MCS data collected as early as age three allow us to examine a range of child outcomes such as health, growth, cognitive ability and behaviour. At the same time, they provide an extensive collection of explanatory variables including family, environmental and individual factors which help to analyse differences in outcomes.

This first set of measurements of physical, cognitive and behavioural development of a national cohort of children in their early years is already showing that, despite unprecedented policy initiatives to support young children and their families and to eliminate child poverty, there is still evidence of economic and ethnic disadvantages for three-year-olds. Whether these inequalities would have been greater without the policy interventions and whether they are greater than those charted in previous birth cohort studies are questions left to the further research this report is designed to facilitate.

The range of both outcomes and explanatory data available will expand as the MCS children grow older and the survey collects more information. The outcomes at age three will in turn become the variables that affect future development. Data on the children at age five will become available in late 2007 (assuming a less problematic transition from field to archive than for this sweep), around the same time as the survey at age seven begins. As well as the research potential of further follow-ups in the MCS, there are already possibilities for comparing previous studies. This is beyond the scope of this document, but it is hoped that readers will find much to interest them within its terms of reference and that it will inspire some to take the next steps.