



The BCS70 sample, attrition and nonresponse

Tarek Mostafa Quantitative Social Science t.mostafa@ioe.ac.uk

www.ioe.ac.uk



Outline

- Introduction The BCS70 sample, attrition and non response.
- Plan

Problems of attrition in collecting longitudinal data: the case of BCS70.

What do we know about non-response/attrition? What can we do about it?



British Cohort Study 1970

- BCS70 follows the lives of 17,000 people born in a single week in April 1970.
- Individuals were surveyed at birth and at age 5, 10, 16, 26, 30, 34, 38 and 42.
- BCS70 collects data on health, physical, educational and social development, and economic circumstances among other factors.



- Collaboration between study team and NHS hospitals and maternity units in GB (England, Scotland and Wales)
- Geographically distributed as GB population
- No over-sampling and hence small numbers of those from ethnic minorities - supplemented by 'immigrants' identified in schools during tracing for surveys to age 16
- No weights provided to facilitate analysis



Attrition

Definition

 Attrition is the discontinued participation of some individuals in a longitudinal survey for reasons that are unknown and/or beyond the control of the researcher



Problems of non-response/attrition

- Distinction between unit (respondents') non response and item non-response (focus on former here)
- Types of non-response (have separate reasons)
 Non-contact Refusal Inability
 Out of scope/ineligible
- Non-response on increase in all surveys
- Non-response may not be permanent (in panel survey)
- Effects of non-response/attrition



Response in BCS70

Response categories	Sweep 1	Sweep 2	Sweep 3	Sweep 4	Sweep 5	Sweep 6	Sweep 7	Sweep 8	Sweep 9
Participated	16,569	12,939	14,349	11,206	8,654	10,833	9,316	8,545	9,354
Contact later	715	2,899	1,277	4,004	5,979	1,791	1,497	0	0
Dead	0	565	585	597	697	748	795	824	853
No contact later	0	837	1,071	1,477	1,723	1,792	3,133	0	0
Temporary Emigrant	0	42	0	0	49	37	62	23	2,579
Permanent Emigrant	0	0	0	0	41	250	344	393	0
Refusal	0	2	0	0	141	1,292	1,334	687	145
Unproductive	0	0	0	0	0	541	803	6,812	4,353
Total	17,284	17,284	17,284	17,284	17,284	17,284	17,284	17,284	17,284

www.ioe.ac.uk

Response in BCS70

iminin





Patterns of response

Pattern	Type of non-response
11111111	Participated in all sweeps
11111110	Monotone response
111100000	Monotone response
111101111	Non-monotone response
100000011	Non-monotone response

Pattern	Frequency	Percentage
Monotone	4,716	27
Non monotone	9,153	52.95
Participated in all sweeps	3,423	19.8
Total	17,284	100



Effects of non-response/attrition

- Biases in results (all surveys)
 Disproportionate to some groups (mobile, disadvantaged, young, men, long working hours)
 Problem if linked to survey topic focus/variables
- Missing data

lower cell sizes - fewer transitions; incomplete histories



Ways to detect non-representativeness

- Compare the characteristics of the sample in any sweep to the same characteristics at birth. if sample composition is changing => Bias.
- Use binary regression models (i.e. logit and probit models) to measure the association between these characteristics and the likelihood of response.



Non-response bias

Population mean = W_R (Respondent Mean) +

W_{NR} (Non-respondent mean)

Where W_R = Proportion of respondents

And W_{NR} = Proportion of non-respondents

So, $W_R + W_{NR} = 1$

Define non-response bias ?

Sample composition over time

iminin



www.ioe.ac.uk

Sample composition over time

iminin



www.ioe.ac.uk



	Sweep	Sweep	Sweep	Sweep	Sweep	Sweep	Sweep	Sweep
	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Gender (reference: Men	ı)							
Women	1.00	1.08	1.26***	1.80***	1.49***	1.48***	1.48***	1.44^{***}
	(0.040)	(0.049)	(0.044)	(0.060)	(0.052)	(0.049)	(0.049)	(0.048)
Marital status (referenc	e: Single)							
Married	1.47***	2.18***	1.67***	1.85***	1.89***	1.89***	1.79***	1.42***
	(0.140)	(0.218)	(0.151)	(0.174)	(0.171)	(0.174)	(0.169)	(0.128)
Mother lives in London	in 1970 (r	eference:	not in Lor	ndon)				
In London	0.57***	0.55***	0.47***	0.71***	0.61***	0.62***	0.70***	0.67***
	(0.032)	(0.034)	(0.024)	(0.037)	(0.031)	(0.032)	(0.036)	(0.034)
Parity (reference: 0)								
1	0.97	1.02	0.87**	0.92	0.94	0.89**	0.93	0.92^{*}
	(0.050)	(0.059)	(0.039)	(0.039)	(0.042)	(0.038)	(0.039)	(0.039)
2	0.82**	0.89	0.81***	0.79***	0.84**	0.74***	0.75***	0.81***
	(0.053)	(0.065)	(0.046)	(0.042)	(0.047)	(0.040)	(0.040)	(0.044)
3+	0.72***	0.90	0.70***	0.58***	0.65***	0.58***	0.54***	0.61***
	(0.053)	(0.076)	(0.045)	(0.036)	(0.041)	(0.036)	(0.033)	(0.038)



	Sweep	Sweep	Sweep	Sweep	Sweep	Sweep	Sweep	Sweep	
	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	
Breastfeeding (reference: attempted)									
Not attempted	0.82***	0.84***	0.85***	0.85***	0.92*	0.87***	0.87***	0.80***	
	(0.036)	(0.041)	(0.032)	(0.031)	(0.034)	(0.031)	(0.031)	(0.029)	
Mother's age at Deliver	y (referen	ce: less th	an 20)						
[20-24]	1.42***	1.17	1.20**	1.31***	1.23**	1.33***	1.28***	1.26***	
	(0.105)	(0.098)	(0.080)	(0.085)	(0.080)	(0.085)	(0.083)	(0.081)	
[25-29]	1.51***	1.27**	1.28***	1.46***	1.35***	1.50***	1.45***	1.35***	
	(0.121)	(0.115)	(0.092)	(0.102)	(0.096)	(0.103)	(0.101)	(0.093)	
[30-34]	1.63***	1.36**	1.30**	1.62***	1.44***	1.66***	1.59***	1.39***	
	(0.151)	(0.143)	(0.106)	(0.129)	(0.117)	(0.131)	(0.125)	(0.109)	
35 or more	1.81***	1.56***	1.40***	1.69***	1.51***	1.81***	1.73***	1.45***	
	(0.204)	(0.198)	(0.140)	(0.164)	(0.149)	(0.175)	(0.167)	(0.139)	
Mother's age at comple	tion of edu	ucation (re	eference: 1	14 or less)					
15	1.56***	1.81***	1.29**	1.38***	1.20^{*}	1.32***	1.15	1.04	
	(0.141)	(0.179)	(0.106)	(0.114)	(0.098)	(0.107)	(0.094)	(0.084)	
16	1.63***	1.73***	1.50***	1.50***	1.37***	1.51***	1.34***	1.21*	
	(0.164)	(0.190)	(0.137)	(0.135)	(0.124)	(0.134)	(0.119)	(0.107)	
17	1.47***	1.42**	1.32**	1.56***	1.26*	1.45***	1.32**	1.18	
	(0.172)	(0.180)	(0.138)	(0.160)	(0.131)	(0.148)	(0.134)	(0.120)	
18 or more	1.31*	1.34*	1.30*	1.48***	1.14	1.33**	1.24*	1.05	
	(0.147)	(0.164)	(0.133)	(0.149)	(0.116)	(0.134)	(0.124)	(0.105)	



	Sweep	Sweep	Sweep	Sweep	Sweep	Sweep	Sweep	Sweep
	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Father's social class (reference: SC 1)								
Professional	0.94	0.98	0.85	0.94	0.93	0.99	0.95	0.97
	(0.102)	(0.116)	(0.084)	(0.087)	(0.090)	(0.092)	(0.088)	(0.090)
Clerical, non-manual	1.06	1.20	1.04	1.07	1.10	1.13	0.99	1.00
	(0.122)	(0.151)	(0.107)	(0.102)	(0.111)	(0.108)	(0.094)	(0.095)
Skilled manual	0.90	0.94	0.79*	0.79*	0.83*	0.84	0.74***	0.77**
	(0.097)	(0.109)	(0.076)	(0.071)	(0.078)	(0.076)	(0.067)	(0.070)
Unskilled manual	0.87	0.85	0.75**	0.70***	0.76**	0.75**	0.68***	0.69***
	(0.101)	(0.108)	(0.079)	(0.068)	(0.077)	(0.073)	(0.066)	(0.068)
Lowest grade workers	0.70**	0.77	0.69**	0.56***	0.64***	0.65***	0.56***	0.59***
	(0.091)	(0.111)	(0.081)	(0.063)	(0.074)	(0.072)	(0.063)	(0.065)
Other	0.34***	0.60***	0.70**	0.70^{**}	0.69**	0.76^{*}	0.65***	0.70^{**}
	(0.044)	(0.085)	(0.086)	(0.082)	(0.083)	(0.088)	(0.075)	(0.081)

	Sweep	Sweep	Sweep	Sweep	Sweep	Sweep	Sweep	Sweep
	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Father's age at completion of education (reference: 14 or less)								
15	1.20^{*}	1.24*	1.11	1.02	1.19*	1.03	1.11	1.03
	(0.102)	(0.119)	(0.083)	(0.076)	(0.089)	(0.076)	(0.082)	(0.076)
16	1.09	1.00	1.14	1.07	1.13	1.00	1.10	0.99
	(0.107)	(0.108)	(0.098)	(0.090)	(0.096)	(0.084)	(0.092)	(0.082)
17	0.92	1.04	1.25*	1.21	1.27^{*}	1.10	1.29*	1.08
	(0.107)	(0.136)	(0.131)	(0.122)	(0.132)	(0.111)	(0.130)	(0.108)
18 or more	0.79*	0.82	0.98	0.96	1.05	1.00	1.06	0.92
	(0.083)	(0.094)	(0.092)	(0.088)	(0.097)	(0.091)	(0.097)	(0.083)
N	15270	15270	15270	15270	15270	15270	15270	15270
pseudo R ²	0.036	0.034	0.026	0.040	0.028	0.031	0.033	0.025

Contraction of the



Ways to deal with non-response

- Two ways:
- Avoid attrition from the outset.
- Adjust for unit non-response bias when it happens.



Avoid attrition from the outset.

- Survey mode (face to face, telephone, postal).
- Interviewee characteristics. Inability: (Languageethnicity/immigration; disabilities).
- Interviewers characteristics and experience.
- Cost of survey.
- Non-contact: (Flats, gatekeepers, assisted living; answer machines; caller ID, mobile phones; time of day).
- Non-cooperation-refusal. (people too busy).



Mode Effects.





Impact on Costs per Case

- Costs of surveys are on the rise.
- More extensive use of advance letters (especially in telephone surveys where they weren't used before).
- More extensive use of incentives (revisit issue of cost impact).
- More callbacks
 - Steeh et al. (2001) present evidence that Michigan's Survey of Consumer Attitudes used to take around 6 calls per complete (mid-1990's).
 - By 1999, it took 12 calls on average.



What can we do? Analysis solutions

- Weighting to adjust for unit non-response.
- Imputing by modelling is possible for some missing data.
- In BCS70 the solution depends on the analysis of interest.



Weights

- Unit non-response weights are constructed using Logit models of binary response outcomes. Outcomes in wave M are modelled using respondents characteritics from previous waves.
- Weights are constructed as inverse probability weights.



References

- Singer, E. (2006). Non-response bias in household surveys. Special Issue on nonresponse. Public Opinion Quarterly 70(5), 637-645.
- De Leeuw, E & de Wim. Trends in household survey non-response: a longitudinal and international comparison. In Groves et al., (eds). <u>Survey Non-response</u>. Wiley, New York.



References

- PLEWIS, I. (2007) Non-Response in a Birth Cohort Study: The Case of the Millennium Cohort Study. *International Journal of Social* <u>Research Methodology</u>, 10(5), 325-334.
- PLEWIS, I, CALDERWOOD, L, HAWKES, D and NATHAN,
 G. (2004) <u>Changes in the NCDS and BCS70 populations and</u> <u>samples over time.</u> CLS Technical Report. London: CLS, Institute of Education.
- For further reports/publications see: <u>www.cls.ioe.ac.uk/publications</u>