National Child Development Study Fourth Follow-up, 1981 Working Paper No 7

SOME PRELIMINARY EDUCATIONAL FINDINGS

Prepared by: Richard Ives

Main Customer: Department of Education and Science

This Working Paper was prepared for the sponsors of the NCDS fourth follow-up. The views expressed are the author's own. Please do not quote or reproduce this paper without the permission of the author.

The National Children's Bureau 8 Wakley Street Islington LONDON EC1V 7QE

MAY 1983

Reproduced by:
National Child Development Study
User Support Group
City University
Northampton Square
LONDON EC1V OHB



# NATIONAL CHILDREN'S BUREAU

## 8 Wakley Street London EC1V 7QE

Telephone 01 278 9441

#### WELLOW-THE ALICA TAMESTAL DEALER TO THE TOTAL OF THE TAMESTAL OF THE TAMESTAL

Working paper No. 7

Preliminary Paper

#### Some preliminary educational findings

Prepared for : DES

Author:

Richard Ives

May 1983



#### Background

- 1. This Working Paper reports on the analysis of data relating to 12,538
  23 year olds living in Great Britain who have been the subjects of a
  longitudinal study since their birth in 1958. The data were obtained
  by means of the interview survey during late 1981 and early 1982. This
  survey and this working paper form part of the fourth follow-up of the
  National Child Development Study which is being sponsored by five Government departments DHSS, DES, DE, MSC and DOE. Preparation for the survey
  began in May 1980 and the project is due for completion by December 1984.
- 2. The National Child Development Study (NCDS) is a longitudinal study which takes as its subjects all those living in Great Britain who were born between 3 and 9th March 1958. Since the original birth survey in 1958, the National Children's Bureau has sought to monitor the social, economic, educational and health circumstances of the surviving subjects. To this end, major surveys were carried out in 1965 (NCDS1), 1969 (NCDS2), 1974 (NCDS3) and 1981 (NCDS4). For the purposes of the first 3 surveys the birth cohort was augmented by including those new immigrants born in the relevant week and information was obtained with the active co-operation of parents, teachers and the schools' health service as well as members of the NCDS cohort. The 1981 survey differs in that no attempt was made to include new immigrants since 1974, and information was obtained from the subjects only.
- 3. The target sample for the 1981 survey was a total of 16450 individuals all those who had participated in NCDS1, NCDS2 or NCDS3, excluding those known to have emigrated or to have died. Following initial tracing by the Bureau, details of names and addresses were passed to NOP Market Research Limited and Social and Community Planning Research, who carried out further tracing and subsequent interviews. The 12538 interviews obtained represent 76 percent of the original target sample and 93 percent of those traced and contacted by interviewers.
- 4. The interview survey was carried out by NOP and SCPR between August 1981 and March 1982. Each interview took approximately 80 minutes and information was

obtained on employment, unemployment and periods out of the labour force; apprenticeship and training; post-school education; marriage, cohabitation and children; housing and household; family income, savings, investment and inheritance; respondent-reported health and health-related behaviour; and voluntary activity and leisure.

5. Completed questionnaires were visually checked by NOP and SCPR and the data then transferred by them to computer. Following preliminary computer editing by NOP and SCPR, more detailed checks have been carried out by NCB.

The majority of open-ended questions were coded by SCPR using coding frames developed by NCB. All open-ended questions related to health states were coded by NCB.

#### Introduction

6. This paper reports some of the preliminary findings relating to educational issues from the fourth stage of the National Child Development Study.

The first results of the study were sent to the sponsoring departments as a four-volume report of cross-tabulations by sex and marital status; volume 1, chapter 2 of that document reported on the educational data, and this paper takes the analysis a stage further in an attempt to respond to some of the requests for analysis requested in the annex to David Timms' letter of 8th October 1981. Other papers are to follow shortly. Analyses reported here are cross-sectional only; longitudinal analyses, relating 23-year data to information collected at earlier stages, are not included, as the computer datafile enabling such analyses is not yet operational. The data used in this report are only partly edited, thus the results reported here must be treated with caution.

#### <u>Definitions</u>

7. (a) Education and training The distinction made in the NCDS4 questionnaire between education and training was, broadly, that training was carried out within a job either on or off the employer's premises, and did not have to be training for any qualification. To be counted, a training course had to include at least 100 hours or 14 days attendance at a college, training centre or skill centre. Information on up to three training courses was collected. (TOPS schemes were included as training even though being on a TOPS scheme implies not having a job). Details of apprenticeships undertaken were collected separately, but, in this paper, the term "training" includes apprenticeships.

Education means "education for qualifications" (a few details were collected on courses which were not for qualifications, which will be reported on elsewhere). Details on up to four education courses for qualifications were collected, with additional detail about the "highest" course (as defined by the respondent), except where the respondent was currently on a course, when further details on the current course were collected instead, even though this might not be the highest course. This is why, at this stage of the analysis, it has not been possible always to separate current course and highest course.

- (b) School leaving year Since all members of the sample are the same age they were legally entitled to leave school in the Summer of 1974. Therefore, the phrase "1974 leaver" refers to the proprtion of the sample leaving at (or near) the age of 16. In this paper, those few who reported leaving earlier than 1974 have been included as 1974 leavers. Similarly, those apparently leaving later than 1976 have been classified along with the 1976 leavers. Tables 2.88 and A2.14 in volume 1 of the Preliminary Tabulations provide a detailed breakdown.
- (c) <u>Qualifications</u> Qualifications referred to are those held by the respondents at the time of the interview survey in 1981-2. Unfortunately, it is not at present possible to distinguish GCE '0' and 'A' levels from SCE '0' and 'H' grades; this will have to await the establishment of the longitudinal data file.

#### Tables 1 to 4:GCE & SCE qualifications by school leaving year

- 8. It has not been possible, at this stage, to separate GCE and SCE qualifications. Over three-fifths of the cohort obtained at least one '0' level (CSE grade 1s are included) or SCE grade. Of those leaving school in 1974, when they were 16, less than half had any '0' levels or '0' grades, but almost everyone leaving at the age of 18 in 1976 had at least one '0' level or '0' grade pass. As many as 86% of the 18-year leavers had an 'A' level or 'H' grade pass, but less than a third of those leaving in the previous year had obtained one. The 6% of the 1974 leavers with '0' levels who claimed to have an 'A' level or 'H' grade qualification consist partly of cases in error, being corrected as editing continues, and partly of Scottish pupils who sat 'H' grade examinations early.
- 9. Of those leaving in 1974 (when aged 16) only 13% had 5 or more '0' levels or '0' grades, compared with 93% of those leaving at 18. Over a third of the 1976 leavers (38%) claimed to have 9 or more '0' levels or (0' grades, while a tenth of the sample as a whole claimed this many. This latter figure appears high when compared with a figure of only 7.6% of the NCDS examinations sample in England and Wales having 9 or more '0' level passes (Ives, 1980). However, as volume one of NCDS4 preliminary tabulations show, about 830 people in the sample obtained '0' levels after leaving school, and not all of these will have been counted in the examinations sample. One might expect a tendency for over-reporting numbers of passes in the self-reports in the current survey when compared with reports from schools. It is also possible that the term " '0' level pass" was mistakenly taken to mean "having an '0' level grade A to E" by some respondents. It is hoped to look further into this question when the longitudinal data set is established, since it will be possible to make comparisons between school reports of examinations and self reports for particular groups of people.
- 10. DES statistics for 1976 show that overall 9% of school leavers in England and Wales had <u>eight</u> or more '0' level "passes" and these figures do not include CSE grade 1's, (DES, 1978). The DES figures also show 39% of leavers who were 17 in January 1976 having eight or more '0' level passes, so the agreement here with NCDS figures is quite close.

11. Table 4, number of 'A' levels or 'H' grades by school leaving year is hard to interpret given the mix of the two types of qualifications, but it does show that, as expected, those who stay on at school longer obtain more 'A' levels or 'H' grades than those who leave school, despite postschool 'A' level and 'H' grade qualifications being included here.

#### Tables 5 to 12: SEG and Industrial classification

- 12. Tables state respondent's jobs were classified, inter alia, according to SEG (Socio Ecomomic Group) and SIC (Standard Industrial Classification.). The 1980 versions of these classifications are the ones used here. SEG classification has been collapsed to seven broader groups as is done in the GHS. Tables state the socio-economic and Industrial Classification of the respondents' jobs at the time of interview by their sex and year of leaving school and by whether '0' levles (0' grades) were obtained. Table 5 shows that while a quarter of the sample who were working at the time of interview were in skilled manyal jobs, only 5% of the women but over two-fifths of the men did this kind of work. However, far more women than men (72%) had jobs which were classified as intermediate. This category was the largest (45% of those in work) and partly reflects the age of the cohort. Looking at differences in socio-economic classification by year of leaving school (Table 6) demonstrates that those leaving school later are less likely to take up manual jobs and more likely to be employed in intermediate or professional jobs. But Table 7 shows the bigger difference by school leaving year for men who enter the intermediate category than for women. Only 16% of men leaving in 1974 were currently in work classed as intermediate, compared to just over half of those leaving in 1976. For women, while leaving school later was associated with a greater likelihood of being employed in intermediate work, the difference by school leaving year was not so great.
- 13. Tables 3 to 10 give a similar breakdown by sex and school leaving year for the Standard Industrial Classification of the current job. The SIC categories used are the ten broad divisions of the 1930 classification. The largest propportion of the sample were classified as 'other services', and here there was an over-representation of women (41% fell into this category, compared to only 18% of the men). Men were more likely to work in Construction, Engineering, and other "heavy" industries. Table 9 shows the industrial classification of current job by school leaving year; the proportion employed in finance and other services inclrease with later school leaving, while all other industries employ larger proportions of earlier school leavers than

late leavers. Taking into account the sex of the respondent (Table 10), it can be seen that this trend is similar for both sexes, although in the Finance category the difference by school leaving year is rather more pronounced for men than women.

Table 11 and Table 12 give the proportions with '0' levels (or '0' grades) 14. in each of the socio-economic groups and industries respectively. Almost everyone working in a job classed as professional had '0' levels ('0' grades), but of those who were classed as employers or managers, just under threequarters had obtained '0' level ('0'grade) qualifications. As many as 60% of those in the Armed Forces had at least one '0' level ('0' grade) (perhaps because many had obtained this qualification while in the Armed Forces), and overa quarter of those in unskilled manual occupations had obtained at least an '0' level ('0' grade). From both Table 11 and Table 12 it can be seen that women in employment are more likely to have one or more '0' levels ('0' grades) than men who are working. This almost certainly reflects the situation whereby many of the less-well qualified women were, at the time of interview, "out of the labour force" bringing up young children. (Since, as Table 2.85 in the preliminary tabulations shows only 65% of all women have obtained at least one '0' level). In certain industries (see Table 12), women employees are considerably more likely to have '0' levels ('0' grades) than men. In construction, whereas only 44% of the men have '0' levels, 77% of the women have them. This could be a result of direct sexual discrimination, meaning that women need higher qualifications to obtain similar work to men, but perhaps a more likely explanation is that in these industries job segregation means that the women tend to be doing the office jobs (requiring academic qualifications) while the men are more likely to be doing manual work for which '0' levels are not so often required.

#### Table 13 : Unemployment and school leaving year

15. Table 13 shows the likelihood of experiencing unemployment by year of leaving school. Forty-five percent of the sample had been unemployed at some time since leaving school and being interviewed, but 1974 leavers were a little more likely than later leavers to have been unemployed. Clearly, since those who left school earlier have had more time to be unemployed, one would expect this group to have been more likely to experience unemployment, and this may wholly explain the small differences between the groups. If leaving school later means having more qualifications (which, in general, it does) then on recent evidence we would have expected the early school leavers to be considerably more likely to have experienced unemployment (see e.g. Dean, 1982), but in the mid- 1970's it was not such a problem for school-leavers to obtain employment, even if they had few qualifications, as it is today. It may be that, when it is possible to look at

total <u>duration</u> of unemployment, differences between the groups will be more pronounced.

Tables 14 and 15: School leaving year and take-up of education courses 16. Overall, over a third (38%) of respondents had been on an education course after leaving school (Table 14) but whether or not they went on a course depended on when they left school. Only a quarter of those leaving school at the earliest date went on to do further study on an education course, while over three-quarters of those leaving in 1976 did further study. There were differences between men and women (Table 14). Over two-fifths of the women, compared to only 34% of the men, had studied further on education courses since leaving school. But whereas women who left school earlier (in 1974) were more likely than men to go on to further educational courses (29% compared with only 20% of men), men who left in 1976 were slightly more likely to go on to further study than women who left in this year (79% of men compared to 77% of women). This imbalance between the sexes is probably explained by the fact that more young women have to get job training through unpaid further education than do men. Whereas a 16-year-old girl might go to the local further education college as a full-time secretarial student, a 16-year-old boy could be attending the same college as part of his job training. Thus, sensible comparisons between the sexes can only be made by taking both education and training courses into account (see below). (A further possible contribution to this difference is that, by the age of 16, girls had slightly more '0' levels than boys, which may have made it easier for them to meet any entrance requirements of further education colleges).

#### Tables 16 and 17 : Education & Training courses

17. Table 16 and Table 17 give figures for education and training courses combined (apprenticeships are included). Seventy per cent of the sample had been on either a training or an education course or both, and again, there was a clear trend of those leaving school later being more likely to go on education or training courses; only 63% of the 1974 leavers, compared to 92% of the 1976 leavers, had been on education or training courses. Men were considerably more likely than women to have been on education or training courses, (Table 17) and this is true for any school leaving year. Overall, four-fifths of the men and only just over three-fifths of the women had been on education or training courses. Differences between men and women are smaller for those who left school later than for those leaving earlier, for whereas three-quarters of the

men, and only half the women leaving school in 1974 had been on education or training courses, for those leaving in 1976 the difference between men and women is only 3%. This may indicate the much greater opportunities for some kind of training via a job which are available to men who leave school early compared to the opportunities available to women who leave as soon as they can; although there may also be an effect relating to a lower take-up rate among women, which the data do not reveal. Some light is thrown on this issue by Bennett & Carter (1983).

#### Table 18: School leaving year and subsequent academic success

18. A recode which gives the "highest" qualification obtained since leaving school (determined by the numerical order of showcard C) on any education or training courses or on apprenticeships has been created; Table 18 gives the results. Half the sample had obtained some kind of post-school qualification, but wereas only 43% of those leaving school in 1974 had obtained post-school qualifications, ever three-quarters of those leaving in 1976 had some qualifications. There was also a marked difference in the type of qualification obtained. The largest qualification category for those leaving school in 1974 is City & Guilds type qualifications (17% have these) while, not surprisingly, few early leavers had a degree (less than one per cent). For those leaving in 1976 the opposite is the case; for while only 1 per cent had City and Guilds qualifications, as many as 43% had a first degree or above.

### Table 19 - 22: Education and Training courses looked at in more detail

19. In order to clarify the relationship between education and training, a recode was created to show who took what combination of education and training courses and who took none.

Thirty per cent had not taken any education or training courses by the age of 23, but on the other hand, 17% had taken both education and training courses. Nearly a third of the sample had undertaken training but not education, while almost a quarter had done some post-school educational study but no training. However, these overall figures mask considerable differences by sex and by year of leaving school. Only two-fifths of the women had been on at least one training course compared to three-fifths of the men. However, women were more likely than men to have been on an education course; just over two fifths of women had been on such a course, compared to only just over a third of men (Table 19). Looking at the results by age left school also reveals large differences (Table 21). Whereas 67% of those who left in 1974, when they were 16, had undertaken further study on education or training courses by the age of 23, 92% of those leaving in 1976 had studied further. The earlier school-

leavers were much more likely than the late school-leavers to go on training courses, and much less likely to go on education courses. Nearly a half of the 1974 leavers had done training, compared to only 36% of the 1976 leavers. This difference is, of course, partly due to the shorter duration of employment of the later school leavers, and also due to the fact that more later leavers had gone on to do further educational study (many on a full-time basis). In fact, over three-quarters of the 1976 leavers had done educational courses after leaving school, compared with only a quarter of the 1974 leavers.

- 20. Looking at the sex differences by year of leaving school in the uptake of education and training courses reveals some interesting differences (Table 21). Whereas men leaving school earlier were much more likely than men leaving later to take training courses (68% of 1974 male leavers, compared to only 41% of 1976 male leavers, had been on a training course), there was little difference between early and late female school leavers in the uptake of training courses. (Although those women leaving in 1975 were more likely than either the early leavers or the later leavers to take training courses .) Similarly, although not so strikingly, the age left school made more difference to the uptake of education courses for men than it did for women (Table 21); only a fifth of the men leaving in 1974 compared to two-fifths of the women took educational courses, but, for those leaving school in 1976, there was little difference between the sexes in the uptake of courses. (Of course, none of this takes into account the type of course undertaken, its duration, mode of study and so on; there may also be large differences here).
- Tables 23 25 :School qualifications and post-school education and training

  21. A factor which may affect the chances of someone going on to do further study after leaving school is how well they have got on during their school years.

  Looking at whether or not a person has obtained '0' levels at school is one measure of their school success (success in Scottish '0' grades exams are included in the following figures). Unfortunately, it is not yet possible to look only at '0' and 'A' levels taken while at school; we will not be able to make this distinction until the NCDS exams data previously collected from schools are merged with the main file later this year. Thus, there is a tautological element in Tables 23 to Tables 25, in that some of those with '0' levels will have obtained

them while in further education From volume one of the preliminary tabulations it can be estimated that less than 10% of those with '0' levels obtained them in this way (Tables 2.8, A2.7, A2.12, A2.13). Bearing this complication in mind, table 23 shows that people who had '0' levels (or '0' grades) were more than five times more likely to go on to do further study on education courses than those without '0' levels ('0' grades). However, the difference between the two groups in the uptake of training courses is much smaller. For men, '0' levels seem to make little difference to their propensity to go on training courses. For women, though, the possession of '0' levels increases considerably their chances of doing training (Table 24).

#### Tables 31 - 33: Post-school education : subjects of courses

- 22. The qualifications taken on post-school courses have already been reported in volume one of the preliminary tabulations, but now that open-coded data have been added to the file, it is possible to report on the subjects taken on these courses. Table 31 shows the sex differences in the subjects taken on courses for a person's highest qualification. Subjects have been grouped up from the 600 or so categories on the data file to 11 broad headings for the purposes of these tabulations. These headings broadly follow the DES subject classification.
- 23. By far the largest subject group is the social, administration and business category; 42% of the women and 29% of the men did their highest qualification course in this subject area. Women are over-represented, too, in the subject categories Education, Health and Languages, but men are particularly prominent in the Engineering and Technology areas (only 19 women studied these two subjects, compared with 325 men) and in Science (more than twice as many men as women studied scientific subjects).

Table 32 shows the proportions in each qualification type who did courses in the various subjects, and Table 33 gives the percentages the other way around, showing the proportion in each subject category who gained qualifications of various types. Predictably, most RSA exams were in the subject area "social, admin. and business" and most City & Guilds were engineering, technology or miscellaneous vocational courses. Most qualifications in the field of education were certificates and degrees, some of the others probably being errors (we are still dealing with unedited data here). It must be remembered, when looking at these tables, that training courses are not included, neither are courses not defined as the "highest qualification or current course" so

that the picture is not of the <u>overall</u> distribution of subjects of courses, but only of a subset of these, which are likely to be, typically, courses for higher level qualifications.

- 25. Table 34 shows the overall subject distribution for those courses for highest qualifications excluding those currently on courses. It can be seen that the exclusion of this group makes little difference to these distributions, and this is chiefly because, in comparison with the numbers of respondents with a highest qualification, the numbers currently on a course are small.
- 26. However, there is not a great deal of difference between the subjects taken on the course for the highest qualification course and current course. The most striking difference between the two is in the larger proportion of those on current courses studying for professional qualifications, but given the age homogeneity of the sample, this is not unexpected.
- 27. Table 36 gives further detail for those currently on a course of the subject and type of course. Differences between the distributions here, and those of the highest qualification course, are small.

#### References

Bennett, Y. & Carter, D. Equal Opportunities Commission 1983, Day Release for Girls

Dean, J., 1982 Educational Choise at 16 Research Study Final Report RS 835/82 ILEA Research & Statistics Branch

DES, 1978 Statistics of Education 1976, Volume 2, School Leavers

Ives, R., 1980 Preliminary Analysis of Public Examination results Final Report to the DES (This document reported on NCDS members' examination results)

#### Directions of further analysis

The present paper covers some of the ground mentioned in requirement 2 of the DES March 1983 document, and following discussions with the department, can be revised in the light of some more detailed consideration of these requirements, which will also involve longitudinal elements. Separate papers to be worked on in the near future will deal with:

- (i) an analysis of careers advice along the lines of that proposed in the DES March 1983 document. An early version of this paper will discuss the methodology and present cross-tabulations based on the cross-sectional file. This can then be revised and extended once the clean longitudinal file is available.
- (ii) Work which looks at participation or otherwise in post-school education in the light of educational, family and social factors. (DES paragraph 4).
- (iii) Some work on the "lifestyles" proposal as contained in the NCB November 1981 document, which will seek to examine the possible links between (inter alia) education and leisure activities, voluntary work and religious and political affiliations.

Table 1 '0' levels, '0' grades by school leaving year\*

whether '0' levels or '0' grades passed

		Yes	No	TOTAL (N=100%)
Recoded School	1974	47	53	8829
leaving	1975	90	10	1180
year	1976	99	1	2497
Whole sample	·	61 (7636)	39 (4870)	12506

Table 2 'A' levels and 'H' grades by school leaving year\*

Whether 'A' levels or 'H' grades passed (given some 'O' levels have been obtained)

		Yes	No	TOTAL (N=100%)
	1974	6	94	4109
Recoded School	1975	29	71	1057
leaving year	1976	86	14	2469
Whole sample		35 (2701)	65 (4934)	7635**·

(\*\*The sample is all those with '0' levels)

<sup>\*</sup> Note: The cohort members were 16 in the spring of 1974

Number of '0' levels or '0' grades passed by school leaving year Table 3 Number of '0' levels or '0' grades passed TOTAL (N=100%) 0 1 7 8 9+ Recoded 1974 53 13 ı School leaving 1975 10 12 10 year 17 19 Whole sample 39 10 

Table .	4	Number	of 'A'	levels	or '	H' grad	des pas	sed by	school leaving year
			Number	of 'A'	leve	ls or	'H' gra	ades pa	ssed
1		0	1	2	3	4	5	6+	TOTAL (N=100%)
Recoded School	1974	97	1	0.9	0.5	0.1	-	-	8829
leaving year	1975	74	6	5	6	4	3	1	1180
year	1976	15	14	23	33	11	2	2	2497
Whole sa	mple	78	5	6	8	3	0.7	0.5	12506

Table 5 Socio-economic group of current job by sex of respondent

Sex	Employer Manager	Professional	Inter- mediate	Skilled Manual	Semi- skilled manual	Unskilled Manual	Armed Forces	Total (N=100%)
Female	4	3	72	<b>-</b>	45	4		
	•	-	12	5	15	1	_	3927
Male	7	6	25	42	14	3	3	5003
Both se	exes 6	5	46	26	14	2	2	8930

Table 5	Socio-economic	group by	school	leaving	year
---------	----------------	----------	--------	---------	------

School leaving year	Employer Manager	Professional	Inter- mediate	Skilled Manual	Semi- skilled	<b>Unskill</b> ed Manual	Armed Forces	Total (N=100%)
1974	5	1	37	33	18	3	2	6138
1975	7	5	61	14	9	1	2	<b>90</b> 8
1976	7	16	66	6	4	_	1	1884

Table 6	Socio-economic group by school leaving year & sex	
~		

	Sex School Leaving year	Employer Manager	Professional	Inter- mediate	Skilled Manual	Semi- skilled	Unskilled Manual	Armed Forces	Total (N=100%)
]	Pemale						·	<del>- 1 </del>	
•	1974	4	-	67	7	20	2	-	2527
	1975	5	3	81	2	8	-	_	451
•	1976	5	9	80	2	4	-	-	949
]	<u>Male</u>					<del></del>			
•	1974	6	1	16	52	17	4	3	3611
	1975	10	8	42	26	10	1	4	457
	1976	10	24	51	9	4	1	1	935

Female	-	8	7	10	_	18	4	15	41	1	3931
Мале	5	5	16	10	12	16	ω	6	8	K	9909
Both sexes	2	4	12	10	7	16	9	7	28	N	1668
Table 9	9 Indus	try of cu	rrent job	Industry of current job by school leaving	ing year						
School leaving year	School Energy leaving Water year	School Energy Minerals leaving Water Chemicals year	Engineer— Other ing Manuf	- Other Manufacturing		Construction Distribution Transport Finance	Transport F	inance	Other Services	Agriculture	Total (N=100%)
1974	4	4	14	12	σ	19	7	8	21	20	6117
1975	8	~	6	9	4	15	9	14	38	Ø	923
1976	8	7	9	9	7	10	ιc	00	٨,	7	, , ,

Table 8 Industry of current job by sex

Table 10 Industry of current job by school leaving year by sex

Total (N=100%)		1162	445	975		909£	478	982
Agriculture Total (N=100%)	7		<b>←</b>	<b>-</b>		4	2	Ø
Other Services	0	25	52	58		13	25	33
Finance	7	4	16	17		4	13	22
Transport	7	ij.	5	4		6	7	5
Construction Distribution Transport Finance Other Services	C	77	14	6		16	16	12
Construction	7	_	<del></del>	1		15	7	4
scturing	,	15	4	9		11	8	9
Sex School Energy Minerals Engineer-Other leaving Water Chemicals ing Manufe year	Ć	٧	2	8		17	14	10
Minerals Chemicals	t	<b>γ</b>	5	<del></del>		Ŋ	4	2
Energy		7	· ·	-		9	4	23
Sex School leaving	Female	1974	1975	1976	Male	1974	1975	1976

Proportion with '0' levels ('0'grades) in each socio-economic group by sex Table 11

	Employer Manager	Profession	Inter- mediate	Skilled Marnal	Semi- skilled mamual	Unskilled Manual	Armed Forces	Armed Overall Forces
Female	62 •	66	81	52	43	38	70	74
Male	70	98	87	43	35	56	59	58
Both Sexes	73	98	83	4	38	29	09	65

Table 12 Proportion with '0' levels (or '0'grades) in each industrial group by sex

Overa.1.1	7.2	59	65
Construction Distribution Transport Finance Other Agriculture Overall	بر 1-	48	48
Other Services	85	75	81
Finance	83	88	85
Transport	76	55	61
listribution	56	54	55
onstruction ]	77	44	45
cturing	55	46	50
Energy Minerals Engineer- Other Water	64	58	59
Minerals	<i>L9</i>	50	55
Energy l Water	. 91	51	56
	Female	Male	Both sexes

Table 13 School leaving year by whether ever employed

		Ever Un	employed?		
		Yes	Мо	TOTAL	(N=100%)
Recoded	1974	46	54	8843	
Year	1975	40	60	1182	
Leaving School	1976	42	58	2497	
Whole sam	ple	45	55	12522	

Table 14 Whether port-school education (excluding training) undertaken by school leaving date

		Has post-school education	No post-school education	TOTAL (N=100%)
	1974	24	76	8795
Recoded School	1975	49	51	1182
Leaving Year	1976	78	22	2495
Whole sam	 ple	38	62	12472

Table 15 Whether post-school education (excluding training) undertaken by by school leaving date

	Has	post-schoo	l education	No post-scho	ol education	Total(N	=100%)
		Women	Men	Women	Men	Women	Men
	1974	29	20	71	80	4381	4414
Recoded School	1975	49	49	51	51	613	569
Leaving Year	1976	77	79	23	21	1227	1268
Whole sample		41	34	59	66	6221	6251

Table 16 Whether post school education or training courses undertaken by school leaving date

	Ha	as post school training or education	No post-school training or education	Total (N=100%)
Deceded	1974	63	37	8843
Recoded School	1975	79	21	1182
Leaving Year	1976	92	8	2497
Whole sam	ple	(8776)70	(3746)30	12522

 ${\color{red} \underline{\sf Table~17}}$  . Whether post school education or training courses undertaken by school leaving date and by sex

		Has post-sch or educ	_	No post-scho	ool training cation	TOT (N=10	
		Women	Men	Women	Men	Women	Men
0 4 1	1974	50	75	50	25	4381	4414
Recoded School	1975	76	82	24	18	613	569
Leaving Year	1976	91	94	9	6	1227	1268
Whole sam	ple	61	80	39	20	6221	6251

Table 18 School leaving year and academic achievement by the age of 23

Highest qualification obtained since leaving school\*

	sch	post nool alification	GCE/ CSE/ SCE	RSA	C&G etc	ONC/ HNC/ TEC/BEC	Profes- sional & Diploma	lst degree & above	(1 Other	TOTAL V=100%)
Donadad	1974	57	4	5	17	5	4	0.7	7	8843
Recoded School Leaving	1975	40	8	5	8	10	12	8	9	1192
Year	1976	24	4	2	1	7	12	43	5	2497
Whole sa	mple	. 49	5	4	13	6	7	10	7	12522

<sup>\*</sup> The categories relate to Showcard C as follows:

GCE etc	03-10
RSA	11-13
C&G etc	14-19
ONC etc	20-23
Professional &	
Diploma	24-28
Degree & above	29-31
Other	02,01

Qualifications include those obtained on education and training courses and on apprenticeships.

Table 19 Participation in post-school education and training by sex

	<u>Female</u>	Male	Total			
Some training	40	61	46			
Some post-school education	41	34	38			
Either training or education	ó1	30	70	(N=12456)	-	

Table 20 Participation in post-school education and training by year of leaving school and sex

		Year left school			
		1974	1975	1976	
Training:	Female	30	39	31	
	Male	68	56	41	
Education:	Female	40	51	77	
	Male	20	49	79	
Tiskle - America					
Elther train	ning or education Female	. <b>:</b> 50	76	91	
	Male	75	82	94	
	Both sexes	63	79	92	

Table 21 Participation in post-school education & training\*by year left school

	No further study	Training only	Training & Education	Education only	Total (N=100%)
1974	37	38	11 _	14.	8781
1975	21	30	17	32	1180
1976	8	14	22	56 ·	2495
Whole sample	30	33	13	24	12456

<sup>\*</sup> Training includes apprenticeships

Table 22 Participation in post-school education and training\* by sex and year left school

	Year left School	No further study	Training only Education	Training & Education	Education only	Total (N=100%
Female	1974	F0	0.4		,	
	1975	50	21	9	11	4381
		24	27	13	38	613
	1976	9	14	17	60	1227
Total Female		39	20	11	30	6221
Male	1974	25	- 56	12	8	4414
	1975	18	33	22	26	568
	1976	6	14	27	53	1268
Total Male		20.	45	16	18	6250
		30	33	13	2.4	12471
Whole sample		(3693)	(4080)	(1666)	(3017)	

<sup>\*</sup> Note: Training includes apprenticeships.

Table 23 Whether '0' levels passed by post-school education & training \*

	No further study	some training	some education	Total (N=100%)
Some 'O'levels	16	48	55	7627
No 'O' levels	51	42	11	4840

Table 24 Whether '0' levels passed by post-school education & training\* & by sex

	No further study	some training	some education	Total (N=100%)
<u>Female</u>				
'0' levels	23	36	56	4017
No 'O' levels	- 69	21	12	2200
<u>Male</u>				
'0' levels	9	62	53	3610
No 'O' level	<del>5</del> 6	60	9 .	2640

<sup>\*</sup> training includes apprenticeships

Table 25 Whether '0' levels passed by post-school education & training\*by sex

weather the second of the seco	No further study	Training only	Training & Education	Education only	Total (N=100%)
Female					
'O'level	s 23	21	15	41	4017
No 'O' levels	<b>69</b>	19	2	10.	2200
Male					
'0'level	<b>3</b> 9	<b>3</b> 8	24	29	3610
No'0' levels	36	55	5	4	2640

<sup>\*</sup> training includes apprenticeships

Table 26 Subject of Highest qualification\* by sex

.

Total .s (M=100%)	2099	1731	3830
01 level	4	7	4
Arts	7	9	7
Janguages	6	9	ω
Education Health Engineering Technology Agriculture Science Soc.Admin Misc.Voc'nal Languages Arts 101 Total Business Professional	6	7	8
Soc.Admin Business	42	53	36
Science	7	15	7
Agriculture	<del>-</del>	W	73
Technology	9•0	7.	3
Engineering	0.4	16	ω
Health	10	4	ω .
Education	11	4	Θ
	Female	Male	Overall

\* includes those currently on courses

Table 27 Subject of highest qualification\* by type of course

	Certificate & degree	Profession	ONC etc	City & Guildes	RSA	School Type	Other	Overall
Education	18	5	1	0	0	1	3	8
Health	6	49	7	` .1		6	4	7
Engineering	7	2	15	31	U	2	5	7
${ t Technology}$	2	2	6	11		1	4	3
Agriculture	1	1	7	3	0	-	3	3
Science	17	1	6	1	0	17	1	10
Social, Admin.	27	32	48	5	95	23	48	36
Business								
Misc. Vocationa	1 4	5	13	46	0	2	18	8
Languages	9			0	3	20	2	8
Arts	10	2	2	2	1	7	12	7
'0'levels	-	-	-	-	-	21	-	4
Total (N=100%)	1341	256	322	221	366	678	378	3562

Table 28 Subject of highest qualification\* by type of qualification

- 0	Certificate & degree	Profession	ONC etc	City & Guildes	RSA	School Type	Other	Total (N=100%)
Education Health Engineering Technology Agriculture Science Social, Admin. Business Misc. Vocations Languages Arts '0' levels	87 29 38 25 27 61 28 17 43 55	5 48 2 5 5 1 6 5 - 3 -	1 1 19 21 37 5 12 15 -	0 - 27 26 10 0.8 1	0 - 0 1 0 0 27 0 4 1	3 15 6 7 2 31 12 5 50 20	5 6 8 15 19 1 14 24 3 18	283 261 250 94 62 371 1293 289 271 241 147
Overall	11	19	10	6	9	7	38	3562

<sup>\*</sup> includes those currently on courses

Table 29 Subject of highest qualification course (excluding those currently on a course) and subject of current course

Subject	Highest Qualification Course	Current Course
Education Health Engineering Technology Agriculture Science Social, Admin., Business Misc Vocational Languages Arts '0' levels	9 8 6 3 2 10 36 9 8 7	5 7 11 3 1 13 39 5 7 8 2
Total (N=100%)	2788	774

Table 30 Course type of highest qualification course and current course

;	Certificate Degree	Professional	ONC etc	City & Ğ21ds	RSA	School Type	Other	Total (N=100%)
Highest qualifi- cation course	38	7	9	6	10	19	11	3562
Current Course	36	21	10	3	4	16	11	774

Table 31 Subject of current course by type of course

Course type									
Subject	Certifica degree	ate Professional	ONC etc	City & Guides	RSA	School Type	Other	0veral1	
Education	11	1	0	5	0	1	7	5	
Health	10	11	1	0	0	0	5	7	
Engineering	8	4	49	43	0	4	6	11	
Technology	2	7	6	10	0	0	2	3	
Agriculture	1	0	5	5	0	0	1	1	
Science	22	. 1	11	5	0	19	4	13	
Soc.Admin. Business	18	73	24	24	97	29	53	39	
Misc. Vocational	7	3	4	10	0	1	7	5	
Languages	7	0	0	C	3	28	4	7	
Arts	15	1	Ō	0	Ó.	4	12	8	
'0' levels	-	- -	-			14	-	2	
Total (N=100%)	279	160	80	21	29	120	85	774	